FCS 321 FINAL MEAL PLAN RUBRIC (Grading rubric)

| COURSE OBJECTIVES | ACTIVITIES/ASSIGNMENTS | ASSESSMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. BACKGROUND |  |  |
| A. To learn the history of the culture, based on dietary habits, practices. | Provide thorough history of the culture | Up to 5 points <br> Adequate description of the culture. MUST include discussion of development of food practices. Must cite references. |
| B. To be observant of client's specific resources, needs: <br> > Improved observational skills necessary for establishing dietary plans for client | Specifically identify: <br> > Client name, height, weight and goal weight, age, gender, culture, activity level. <br> > Any pertinent information that would affect client/food choices. <br> > Clearly established GOALS must also include meeting cultural, financial demands | Up to 5 points <br> > Must have addressed all of the issues mentioned. |
| II. MEAL PLANS |  |  |
| A. To learn how to plan a dietary intake for the client, considering all of the demands, resources of the client. <br> Increased sensitivity to specific demands and resources of client, as they relate to nutrition | Plan 2 days' menus, following guidelines. This is NOT the computer printout of the foodlist; this is a menu, as one would find in a restaurant. | Up to 10 points <br> > Are the meals well balanced, aesthetically (color, variety)? <br> > Are meals feasible, considering time, money, culture, etc? <br> > Is there a MENU? <br> > Menu plan with all meals, snacks identified? |
| B. Learn accuracy in foodrelated issues | TWO days meals and recipes for the client - your written out meal plans and recipes (with citations). This is different from the computer printouts. | Up to 10 points <br> EXACT serving sizes, ingredients - for EACH item, each day <br> AT LEAST FOUR recipes must be included, neatly typed. <br> > References cited for recipes? <br> > Serving sizes on recipes? |
| C. Match meal plan with cultural and other considerations for client | > Cultural dishes included | Up to 5 points <br> Were recipes realistic from culture, modified if needed, for health considerations? |


| III. NUTRIENT EVALUATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. To be able ACCURATELY input food plans into computer | Input all foods, according to menu plans | Up to 10 points <br> > Were ALL items, ingredients from menus input into computer? <br> > Did they match the plans exactly? <br> If there were differences, did the author explain the differences? (E.g. the meal plan, called for cilantro, but computer only had parsley. Did the author explain the difference?) |
| B. <br> To be able to evaluate the nutrient intake of the client, using information from nutritional software. | Evaluate the nutrient intake, comparing the plans with inputs and outcomes <br> > Suggest changes, based on outcomes - recommendations for refinement (do not have to make corrections, only note suggestions. | Up to 10 points <br> Is the evaluation accurate, based on the bar graph? <br> Was the spreadsheet used as a tool, looking at specific items in the spreadsheet to evaluate? Were changes suggested? Were these appropriate? |
| IV. COST EVALUATION |  |  |
| A. To be able ACCURATELY input food plans into spreadsheets | Perform cost evaluation for EACH recipe, using Excel <br> > Perform cost evaluation for TWO DAYS, using Excel | Up to 10 points <br> > Is the input accurate, EACH item from recipes entered on cost sheets? Is the input accurate, EACH item from menus entered on cost sheets, including recipes? <br> > Are costs done appropriately? |
| B. <br> To be able to evaluate the of the meal plans, using Excel spreadsheet > Be familiar with USDA Food Cost Plans | > Determine Food Costs, based on USDA Food Costing Plans <br> $>$ Evaluate the costing, comparing the plans with inputs and outcomes <br> > Suggest changes, based on outcomes - recommendations for refinement (do not have to make corrections, only note suggestions. | Up to 10 points <br> Is the determination of Low and Moderate Food Cost plans done for the client? <br> Is the evaluation accurate, based on Excel spreadsheet information? Was the spreadsheet used as a tool, looking at specific items in the spreadsheet to evaluate? Were changes suggested? <br> Were these appropriate? |

Names $\qquad$ Country

| V. OVERALL QUALITY |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. Submitted on time? |  | Up to 5 points |
| B. Grammar, spelling, <br> cited all work, proper <br> APA referencing? |  | Up to $\mathbf{1 0}$ points |
| C. Neat, typed, complete, <br> coherent, organized |  | Up to 5 points (References <br> MUST have been included <br> - and used WITHIN the <br> paper. |
| D. Creativity, innovation |  | Up to 5 points |
| TOTAL POINTS |  | Add up all points - out of <br> 100 |

