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Mentoring as the “Giving and Receiving of Wisdom”

• Learning How to “Mentor on the Run” at CSUN
• Building a Community of Mentors at CSUN
• Promoting a Culture of Mentoring at CSUN

When we hear the word mentoring, most of us create the following image in our minds: that of an old sage (almost always male and white with long hair and often a flowing beard) sitting for hours in a secluded space and providing advice to a young person. Of course, in the real world, mentors come from all races of people, are both young and old, female as well as male, and have hair or no hair. However, the popular and dominant image of a mentor helps us to become more aware of an even deeper stereotype we have of mentoring. We tend to define mentoring around “big moments.” Specifically, we conceptualize mentoring as one-to-one, long-term relationships involving a wizened sage transferring wisdom to a single discipline in magical moments created over long periods of interaction. In reality, mentors in our lives can be sages, but they are more likely “ordinary” people all around us. In the real world, mentoring is reciprocal and not one-way — i.e., mentoring involves an interactive process in which both the mentor and mentee benefit. Also, in the real world, mentoring is more than an isolated relationship of two individuals; most mentoring occurs in a web of relationships with others (i.e., within a community). And, perhaps most important, mentoring in the real world occurs not only in “big moments” but mainly in “little moments” — i.e., as part of our daily interactions with others. Nevertheless, our understanding of mentoring is often limited by the stereotype of what we believe it to be.

In their small book, Mentoring: The Tao of Giving and Receiving Wisdom, Chungliang Al Huang and Jerry Lynch remind us about the mentoring opportunities that are always all around us. They define mentoring as “the giving and receiving of wisdom” within a web of relationships. Through the interactions that mentors have with others, they share the “gift of wisdom and [have] it graciously appreciated and received by others who then carry the gift to all those
within their sphere of influence.” Mentoring, Huang and Lynch further emphasize, occurs within a community, and mentoring activities help to promote a culture of wisdom throughout this community.

According to Huang and Lynch’s expanded understanding of mentoring, each of us daily has many opportunities to serve as both a mentor and mentee with those around us. Yes, mentoring does occur in special “big moments” — those built up through relationships with a few individuals that we have worked with intensely for years — but we need to more fully recognize that most of the most powerful acts of mentoring happen during “little moments.” The “little moments” occur in our day-to-day interactions with others all around us; for faculty, these “little moments” of mentoring are infused in our work as teachers in the classroom and as academic advisors to our students. But to capture the potential contained in these “little moments,” we need to expand our consciousness and overcome our stereotype that mentoring consists of “big moments.”

How can this new understanding of mentoring help us at this time at CSUN? Currently, most of us feel besieged by many impersonal forces: massive budget cutbacks, increasing student enrollment, and increasing faculty workloads. Politicians and CSU officials want faculty and students to accomplish more with fewer resources. In the midst of this turbulence, we frequently hear students and our faculty colleagues talk about the need for a greater sense of community on our campus, better communication, and more opportunities for interactions. Students want faculty who not only can help them advance academically but who can understand them as people. This sentiment is keenly felt by students from immigrant backgrounds and by other students who are the first generation in their families attending college. Meanwhile, faculty, while realizing the importance of mentoring their students, feel they have no time to do so. And faculty, like their student counterparts, hunger for opportunities to interact with and learn from colleagues from other departments and colleges across the campus.

If there were ever a time to emphasize mentoring at CSUN, now is the time. Of course, the concept of mentoring by itself cannot solve all the major challenges facing our campus, whether these be responding to budget shortfalls, accelerating student graduation rates, or promoting educational equity and diversity. However, an emphasis on mentoring — or more specifically, the creation of a “culture of mentoring” at CSUN — could help to create the conditions necessary to unleash the creativity and energy of students, staff, faculty, and administrators across our campus.
Still, the persistent stereotype that mentoring consists only of “big moments” holds us back from advancing and seeing all the potential “little moments” of mentoring surrounding us.

Five years ago, following a series of campus townhall meetings, Dr. Gordon Nakagawa coined the concept of “mentoring on the run” to capture the “little moments” of mentoring already happening on our campus. These “little moments” are embedded in the work of some faculty, staff, and student peer mentors who consciously use each interaction with others on our campus as a mentoring opportunity. Countering the widespread belief that mentoring at a large, commuter campus can only impact a handful of students, Dr. Nakagawa found faculty who had embedded “little moments” of mentoring in their classroom instruction and their informal interactions with students outside the classroom. He found professional staff who had infused mentoring into their work as helpers of students. He found student peer mentors who adeptly helped fellow students access campus resources and maneuver through the bureaucratic maze of the university.

During the past four years, the Faculty Mentor Program has strategically focused on increasing awareness about the power of these “little moments” of mentoring and training faculty, staff, and student peer mentors in this approach. We believe that “mentoring on the run” — rather than representing a stunted or limited form of mentoring — is an advanced form of mentoring that is ideally suited to our large, commuter campus. Moreover, because so much of the existing research on the importance of mentoring focuses on the “big moments,” we at CSUN can greatly contribute to the mentoring literature by developing insight into the power of the “little moments.” Thus, our current efforts to advance understanding of “mentoring on the run” here at CSUN may have implications far beyond our campus.

As we develop our capacity to “mentor on the run,” we will be able to change the deeply ingrained image of mentoring within our minds. Gradually, a new image will take shape — one that is rooted in the reality of the little mentoring moments and the “giving and receiving wisdom” in our daily interactions with others. This new image will not only center on the power of “little moments” of mentoring but the importance of building a “community of mentors” here at CSUN and promoting a “culture of mentoring” on our campus. We can all be part of this change.
As a Faculty Member, You’re Mentoring When . . .

By Linda de Vries

In your classes, you encourage student participation.

In your classes, you create opportunities for collaborative interaction.

As a teacher, you develop your students’ abilities to work with peers.

You encourage a sense of joy in the classroom and around class work.

You emphasize professional discipline, work habits, and personal integrity.

You develop students’ capabilities to seek out new uses for traditional ideas.

You write comments on all student papers you receive.

You develop sensitivity, vulnerability, and responsibility.

You take students’ suggestions for testing techniques.

You miss no class, except for clear necessity.

You arrive ten minutes early for class, so students have an opportunity to talk to you.

You recognize that education involves teaching oneself to be surprised.

You demonstrate respect and courtesy with all individuals.

You teach your students to write thank you notes for special acts others perform for them.

You care about the whole student and not just achievement in your course.

As an academic advisor, you listen to what students really want and not what they should want.

You know a student’s GPA and previous academic performance and watch trends.

You help a student to understand the course catalog.

You encourage students to take advantage of aspects of other departments and programs of which they may not be familiar.

You share the successes and failures you have taken in the path to where you are today.

You demonstrate through your behavior that teaching is a calling and not a job.
“Mentoring on the Run”

How Faculty Are Responding to the Challenge of Mentoring at CSUN

“Mentoring on the Run” is a concept coined by Dr. Gordon Nakagawa, Faculty Mentor Coordinator from 1997-2000, to respond to the special challenge facing faculty at our ever-growing commuter campus. At a series of townhall meetings in 1997 and 1998, Dr. Nakagawa asked several critical questions: How can we “mentor on the run,” given the realities of a mostly commuter campus and concerns about faculty workload? How can we use existing mentoring resources effectively? How can we increase our institutional commitment to mentoring? Here are gleanings from the meetings:

• At a large commuter institution such as CSUN, mentoring works best when it is infused in everyday faculty-student interactions (i.e., teaching and advising) rather than through formal programs.

• Mentoring can and does occur everyday, in many forms and many ways. Mentoring can take place in brief, not only extended, encounters. Brief contacts may have a powerful impact on students (not only first meetings, but incidental contacts).

• Mentoring often works most effectively when it is done with a purpose: developing a portfolio, collaborating on a research project, pursuing a common interest (ranging from the political to the recreational). Just as often, mentoring without a specific “purpose” can and does work (e.g., being available as a “sounding board”).

• Mentoring can be encouraged by building “learning communities” within disciplines.

• Issues related to diversity and equity are central for mentoring on our campus. Sometimes diversity is seen as a problem rather than an opportunity for enriching teaching and mentoring.

• In the face of a range of competing demands — workload, budget cuts, RTP process — mentoring (and students) may come to be seen as a burden rather than as central to the mission of education.

• The timing of mentoring opportunities is often crucial: mentoring may follow a developmental arc (e.g., mentoring first-time freshmen may be different from mentoring upper-division majors).

• Students most in need of mentoring are precisely those who “fall between the cracks” (e.g., under-prepared students). In fact, research shows that teachers spend the most time with high-achievers.

• Effective mentoring can be characterized as:
  • a sincere desire to be open to the diverse needs of students;
  • belonging to a village where wise elders teach their children, such as to swim in a river inhabited by crocodiles;
  • requiring the efforts of only one person;
  • student-centered;
  • relationship-building;
  • related to, but not synonymous with teaching, advising, tutoring, counseling;
  • continually growing and open to ongoing learning (both the mentor and mentee);
  • collaborative, not hierarchical;
  • a passionate involvement with the well-being of students;
  • invitational rather than adversarial.
The Impact of Mentoring on Increasing Students’ Academic Achievement

By Glenn Omatsu

What impact does mentoring have on student academic achievement? Why do universities that emphasize mentoring demonstrate higher student retention rates than those that do not?

One of the leading researchers on why students leave college is Vincent Tinto (see Vincent Tinto, *Leaving College: Rethinking the Causes and Cures of Student Attrition*, 2nd ed. (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1987 and 1993). Tinto’s research also helps to identify critical factors underlying student persistence in college and academic success. In his analysis, Tinto moves beyond past research that has tended to over-emphasize individual student attributes; he examines instead factors relating to what we might be called “institutional culture” — i.e., the academic and social environment of each college, such as faculty-student interactions, student-student interactions, academic interactions in the classroom, the intellectual and social ethos outside the classroom, student support services, etc. Of course, all of these factors deal with mentoring relationships, both formal and informal relationships. In the following summary taken from Tinto’s book, I focus on ideas relating to two concepts important for CSUN at this time: the relationship between mentoring and student academic success and the significance of learning communities (or “communities of mentors”) for student persistence and achievement.

In his analysis of why students either leave college or persist to graduation, Tinto identifies the key role of faculty interactions and peer interactions and their impact on students. According to Tinto, “the faculty, more than any other group, represents the primary intellectual orientation of the institution. Their actions, within and without the classroom, provide the standards by which individuals come to judge the intellectual ethos of the institution. . . . The classrooms, the hallways, and the offices of the institution become testing grounds for student judgement as to the intellectual character and worth of the college experience” (p. 53). In addition, for students, interactions with their peers are particularly important in the decision to leave or stay in college. For students, “social identity is sometimes as important as intellectual identity, especially in the first year of college” (p. 53). For large, commuter campuses in urban areas, the impact of student contact with others is important for persistence for those students who are regarded as having “marginal” status on campus, such as “high-risk” students (p. 79). Overall, “the degree and quality of personal interaction with other members of the institution (whether with faculty or with peers) are critical elements” in students’ decisions to either stay in or leave college (p. 56).

Tinto emphasizes that frequent contact with the faculty appears to be a particularly important element for high student persistence rates in colleges (p. 56). “This is especially true when that contact extends beyond the formal boundaries of the classroom to the various informal settings which characterize social life. Those encounters which go beyond the mere formalities of academic work to broader intellectual and social issues and which are seen by students as warm and rewarding appear to be strongly associated with the continued persistence” (p. 57).

Moreover, faculty behavior within the classroom often serves as the “important precursors to
subsequent contact,” according to students. “Classroom behaviors influence student perceptions as to the receptivity of faculty to further student contacts outside the classroom” (p. 57). Thus, according to Tinto, “it is of little surprise to discover that institutions with low rates of student retention are those in which students generally report low rates of student-faculty contact” (p. 58).

Overall, Tinto concludes that student academic success and their intellectual and social development are greatest in those universities “where students interact with both faculty and student peers,” whether through formal or informal mentoring relationships (p. 70).

Tinto’s summary of educational research also highlights the importance of what we can call “communities of mentors” on student persistence and academic success. He emphasizes the role that “supportive relationships” play in “preventing and reducing the harmful effects of stress and enhancing individuals’ ability to cope effectively with stress in specific social settings” (p. 122). According to Tinto, “the establishment of supportive personal relationships — with faculty, peers, and other significant persons — enables students to better cope with the demands of the college environment,” and this, in turn, has positive impacts upon students’ academic success (p. 122). These research findings point to the importance of “collaborative effect of a variety of actors, faculty and staff alike, across the campus” (p. 122).

Tinto’s focus on the importance of collaborative efforts by faculty and staff to promote student success leads to his emphasis on conceptualizing colleges as “learning communities.” He identifies student involvement and integration in college life, especially through contact with faculty, as “a key determinant for a variety of educational outcomes” (pp. 130-131). This involvement and integration is related to the quality of students’ investment in their learning, which, in turn, is related to the amount that they learn. Thus, Tinto argues that faculty need to understand the importance of promoting student involvement in their classrooms through the creation of classroom learning communities (p. 131). Tinto further contends that the first several weeks of college for students are a very critical period. In this period, student social attachments (i.e., their capacity to involve themselves in learning communities) “may be a necessary precondition” for subsequent involvements at their institutions, including academic involvement (p. 134). In this initial period of adjustment to college life, Tinto emphasizes the importance of student contact with faculty, “specifically accessibility and contact with faculty outside classrooms, helpfulness of faculty, and the concern they show for students” (p. 135). According to Tinto, “once social membership has been achieved or at least once concerns over it have been addressed, student attention appears to increasingly center on academic involvements” (p. 134). In short, Tinto advocates for designing students’ first-year college experience around learning communities and mentoring relationships, especially with faculty.

Works cited by Tinto:
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Moffatt, M., Coming of Age in New Jersey: College and American Culture (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1989).


Pace, C. R., Measuring the Quality of College Student Experience (Los Angeles: University of California, Higher Education Research Institute, 1984).


Developing a Mentoring Perspective

Mentor Roles and Responsibilities

What a Mentor Is . . .

By Dr. Gordon Nakagawa

Mentor roles and responsibilities are varied and complex. Serving as a guide, facilitator, role model, and/or ally to the mentee, a mentor must be prepared to take on a range of roles and responsibilities that may change as the mentor/mentee relationship develops over time, as the needs and goals of the mentee shift, and as specific contexts and situations require different strategies. Although it’s not possible to pigeonhole any mentor, mentee, or mentoring relationship, a mentor will generally enact a number of common roles and responsibilities. It’s worth emphasizing that whatever role the mentor may take, the mentor’s principal goal, as Paulo Freire reminds us, is to invite and nurture the “total autonomy, freedom, and development of those he or she mentors.”

A mentor is . . .

• A **knowledgeable and experienced guide who teaches (and learns)** through a commitment to the mutual growth of both mentee and mentor.

• A **caring, thoughtful, and humane facilitator** who provides access to people, places, experiences, and resources outside the mentee’s routine environment.

• A **role model** who exemplifies in word and deed what it means to be an ethical, responsible, and compassionate human being.

• A **trusted ally, or advocate**, who works with (not for) the mentee and on behalf of the mentee’s best interests and goals.
Web Resources on Mentoring

Mentoring peer resources
http://www.mentors.ca/mentor.html

Mentoring Guides from the University of Michigan
http://www.rackham.umich.edu/StudentInfo/Publications/FacultyMentoring/Fmentor.pdf
http://www.rackham.umich.edu/StudentInfo/Publications/StudentMentoring/mentoring.pdf

Formal mentor programs

Teacher mentoring resources
http://www.middleweb.com/mentoring.html

Mentoring categories
http://www.teachermentors.com/MCenter%20Site/MCategoryList.html

Mentoring resources and links
http://www.mentors.net/Links.html

100 mentoring tips for teachers in science
http://www.brown.edu/Administration/Science_Education/100Tips/mentor.html

Mentoring in Faculty Development
http://www.mcw.edu/edserv/facdev/mentor.html

The Brain Lab: Teaching resources based on brain-based learning
http://www.newhorizons.org/blab.html#resources

Who Mentored You?
http://www.whomentoredyou.org/
Join Our Community of Mentors at CSUN

COLLEGE OF ARTS, MEDIA, AND COMMUNICATION

Jerry Abbitt Theatre
Lili Berko Radio-Television-Film
Ann Chisholm Communication Studies
Linda De Vries Theatre
Anamarie Garcia Theatre
Julia Heinen Music
Alexis Krasilovsky Radio-Television-Film
Garry Lennon Theatre
Christie Logan Communication Studies
Gordon Nakagawa Communication Studies
Gary Pratt Music
Bridget Sampson Communication Studies
Paul Smith Music
Michelle Stanton Radio-Television-Film
Juliann Wolfgram Art
Mary Ann Danin (Emeritus) Art
William Davis (Emeritus) Art

COLLEGE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION & ECONOMICS

David Ackerman Marketing
Robert Barker Accounting
Jay Berger Finance
Daniel Blake Economics
Deborah Cours Marketing
Carol Docan Business Law
Keith Evans Economics
Steven Freeman Business Law
Barbara Gross Marketing
Robert Hanna Management
Deborah Heisley Marketing
Arunndhati (Rita) Kumar Management Science
Bruce Lammers Marketing
Paul Lazarony Office Systems/Business Education
James Manos Office Systems/Business Education
Susan Plutsky Office Systems/Business Education
Gerald Rossy Management
Leonard Rymsza Business Law
Richard Tontz Economics
Barbara Wilson Office Systems/Business Education
James Bartsch Business
Susan Leslie Business
Agia Meleka Management
Kevin Mulcahy Economics
Joe Prinzinger Economics

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION
Hooshang Bagheri Elementary Education
Deborah Chen Special Education
Sandra Chong Elementary Education
Irene Cota Elementary Education
James Cunningham Secondary Education
William De La Torre Educational Leadership and Policy Studies
Don Dorsey Educational Psychology and Counseling
Rosa Margarita Gonzalez Special Education
Charles Hanson Educational Psychology and Counseling
Beth Lasky Special Education
Rie Rogers Mitchell Educational Psychology and Counseling
Sabrina Peck Elementary Education
Thomas Potter Elementary Education
Luis Rubalcava Educational Psychology and Counseling
Sue Sears Special Education
Dolores Escobar (@CSU San Jose) Education
Kaye Fearn (Emeritus) Special Education
Juan Flores Elementary Education
Elizabeth C. Ringsmuth Educational Psychology and Counseling
Natalie White Education

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
Shan Barkataki Computer Science
Michael Barnes Computer Science
Behzad Bavarian Civil and Manufacturing Engineering
Laurence Caretto Engineering and Computer Science
Ileana Costea Civil and Manufacturing Engineering
Steve Dan Computer Science
Gerald Davis Civil and Manufacturing Engineering
Roger Di Julio Civil and Manufacturing Engineering
Greg Dixon Mechanical Engineering
Richard Dominguez Civil and Manufacturing Engineering
Sharlene Katz Electrical and Computer Engineering
Dorothy Landis Computer Science
Ray Landis Engineering
Miguel Macias Mechanical Engineering
Gloria Melara Computer Science
Dorothy Miller  Computer Science
Thomas Mincer  Mechanical Engineering
Nirmal Mishra  Electrical and Computer Engineering
Ray Pettit  Electrical and Computer Engineering
Jagdish Prabhakar  Electrical and Computer Engineering
Matthew Radmanesh  Electrical and Computer Engineering
James Roberts  Civil and Manufacturing Engineering
Ramin Roosta  Electrical and Computer Engineering
Robert Ryan  Mechanical Engineering
Tarek Shraibati  Manu. Sys. Eng. & Management
Jon Shively  Civil and Manufacturing Engineering

COLLEGE OF HEALTH AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT
Carolyn Barbian  Kinesiology
Alyce Blackmon  Family Environmental Sciences
Vicki Ebin  Health Sciences
Jack Foley  Leisure Studies and Recreation
Victoria Brinn Feinberg  FES & Urban Planning
Lillie Grossman  Family Environmental Sciences
Carol Kelly  Child Development
Carol Koprowski  Family Environmental Sciences
Goteti Krishnamurty (deceased)  Health Sciences
Deirdre Larkin  Family Environmental Sciences
Gerry Luethy  Family Environmental Sciences
Allen Martin  Family Environmental Sciences
Roberta Mauksch  Family Environmental Sciences
Mary Painter  Kinesiology
Dianne Philibosian  Leisure Studies and Recreation
Jennifer Romack  Kinesiology
Ann Stutts  HHD (Dean)
Jan Tolan  Leisure Studies and Recreation
David Wakefield  Child Development
Veda Ward  Leisure Studies and Recreation
Letha Zook  Health Sciences

COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES
Pam Bourgeois  English
David Diaz  Chicana/o Studies & Urban Studies & Policy
Edith Dimo  Modern and Classical Languages and Literatures
Jorge Garcia  Humanities (Dean)
James Goss  Religious Studies
Akiko Hirolta  Modern and Classical Languages and Literatures
Amir Hussain  Religious Studies
Marta Lopez-Garza  Women’s Studies & Chicana/o Studies
Gina Masequesmay  Asian American Studies
Patricia Miller  Modern and Classical Languages and Literatures
Glenn Omatsu  Asian American Studies & EOP  
Cheryl Spector  English  
Edda Spielmann  Modern and Classical Languages and Literatures  
Nayereh Tohidi  Women’s Studies  
Patricia Watkins  English  
Teresa Williams  Asian American Studies

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS
Larry Allen  Biology  
Suzanne Ankerstrom  Science and Mathematics  
Nancy Bishop  Biology  
Julio Blanco  Physics & Astronomy  
Randy Cohen  Biology  
Catherine Coyle-Thompson  Biology  
Lorence Collins  Geology  
Duane Doty  Physics  
John Dye  Mathematics  
Al Gustadt  Geology  
Margaret Holzer  Chemistry  
Daisy Kuhn  Biology  
David Ostroff  Mathematics  
Vicki Pedone  Geology  
Jacek Polewczak  Mathematics  
Richard Potter  Biology  
Ed Rosenberg  Chemistry  
Ralph Sabella  Mathematics  
Harbnajan Sandhu  Physics  
Lydia Savedoff  Chemistry  
Gerry Simila  Geology  
Paul Wilson  Biology  
Omar Zahir  Chemistry  
Ali Zakeri  Mathematics

COLLEGE OF SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES
Karren Baird-Olson  American Indian Studies & Sociology  
Cathy Costin  Anthropology  
Herman DeBose  Sociology  
Bruce Gelvin  Anthropology  
Sheila Grant-Thompson  Psychology  
Donna Hardy  Psychology  
Antonia Hussey  Geography  
Vickie Jensen  Sociology  
Brennis Lucero-Wagoner  Psychology  
Sabina Magliocco  Anthropology  
Dorothy Meier  Sociology  
James Mitchell  Political Science
Nancy Nishikawa  Urban Studies and Planning, Geography
Patricia O’Donnell-Brummett  Sociology
Barbara Rhodes  Pan-African Studies
Tiyo Soga  Pan-African Studies
Wen (Wendy) Wang  Sociology
Loretta Winters  Sociology
Guiding Principles
CSUN Faculty Mentor Program

1. The process of both formal and informal mentoring at Cal State Northridge is understood to be an integral part of the university’s overall efforts in support of educational equity and diversity, student achievement, retention, and graduation.

2. Mentoring is predicated upon a holistic concern about students as complex, multi-faceted individuals, whose needs, goals, interests, and aspirations include but are not limited to the academic setting. Career, social, and personal considerations warrant equal consideration and care.

3. Mentoring, mentor training, and mentoring services can and should take multiple forms. There is no single prescription or formula that mentoring follows or provides in meeting the needs of students. Equally, all members of the campus community are potential mentors: faculty, staff, administrators, and students.

4. Mentoring pervades the overall repertoire of academic practices (teaching and conducting scholarly work) and student support services (advisement, tutoring, and counseling). Mentoring is a liberatory process by which teaching, advising, tutoring, and counseling can be practiced.

5. Mentoring and mentoring services are best delivered in “local” (college-and/or department-specific) venues and through “naturally occurring” (informal) practices. Local, naturally occurring mentoring is most responsive to situational demands, practical constraints and most importantly, to students’ developmental needs and long-term goals.
Faculty Mentor Training Program
Fall 2002

Co-Facilitators
Rie Rogers Mitchell, Educational Psychology and Counseling
Glenn Omatsu, FMP Coordinator for EOP & Asian American Studies

Participants
Julio Blanco, Physics & Astronomy
Sandra Chong, Elementary Education
Linda de Vries, Theatre
Victoria Brinn Feinberg, Family Environmental Sciences & Urban Studies
Deborah Heisley, Marketing
Gina Masequesmay, Asian American Studies
Tarek Shraibati, Manufacturing Systems Engineering & Management

Please contact any of us to conduct trainings in your department or program around the concept of “mentoring on the run”

Faculty Mentor Program
Glenn Omatsu, Coordinator
c/o Educational Opportunity Program (EOP)
205 University Hall
(818) 677-4151

http://www.csun.edu/eop/htdocs/fmp.html