

COVER SHEET FOR PROPOSED CHANGES TO DEPARTMENT/COLLEGE PERSONNEL PROCEDURES

HHD

Kinesiology

COLLEGE

(PLEASE CLICK "CLEAR FORM" BUTTON AFTER USE! THANK YOU!)


DEPARTMENT

In order to facilitate a complete and expeditious review by the Personnel Planning and Review Committee (PP&R) of the changes you propose to your personnel procedures, please adhere to the format described below, and also fill out the Background Information. Attach this memo as a coversheet for the written material you submit to PP&R. The Department and College Committees are responsible for ensuring that the proposed procedures are consistent with Section 600 or Section 700, and with the Collective Bargaining Agreement.

FORMAT: A complete Word version of your existing procedures is required as the starting point for the proposed revisions. Any proposed changes to your existing procedures must be indicated using the Track Changes feature of Word. Separate signed coversheets are required for Tenure Track Procedures (Section 600) and Lecturer/Part-Time/Temporary Procedures (Section 700).

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

- 1. CHECK ONE: Are proposed changes those of College [] or Department [x] procedures?
2. CHECK ONE: Are proposed procedures Tenure Track (Section 600) [x] or Lecturer/Part-Time/Temporary (Section 700) []
3. Date that current proposed changes were sent forward December 16, 2019
4. For Department Personnel Procedures:
a. Indicate the date the department faculty voted to approve the proposed changes: October 2nd, 2019
b. Indicate the date the CPC voted to approve the proposed changes: October 2, 2019
5. For College Personnel Procedures:
a. Indicate the date the college faculty voted to approve the proposed changes:
6. (Optional) Briefly state the rationale for your proposed changes: Increased number of publications.
Added information about journals.



Please email the following to Faculty Affairs email at faculty.affairs@csun.edu:

- 1. WORD DOCUMENT WITH TRACKED CHANGES showing revisions to the personnel procedures
2. Signed Cover Sheet in PDF format

FOR DEPARTMENT PERSONNEL PROCEDURES: (Sign & Print Name)

BEN B. YASPELKIS, III [Signature] 12/17/2019
Chair, Department Personnel Committee Date

K. Vrontostinos [Signature] 12/16/2019
Department Chair Date

FOR DEPARTMENT PERSONNEL PROCEDURES OR COLLEGE PERSONNEL PROCEDURES: (Sign & Print Name)

[Signature] 12/17/2019
Chair, College Personnel Committee Date

James G. Shell [Signature] Farrell J. Webb 17-DEC-2019
College Dean Date

[Signature] 6/18/20
Chair, Personnel Planning and Review Committee Date

Table with 3 columns: Approval Date (Spring 2020), Effective Date (Fall 2020, Fall 2023 For Changes in Criteria), Date of Next Review (Fall 2024). Includes note '(for PP&R use only)'.

**Department of Kinesiology
California State University, Northridge**

PERSONNEL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The Department of Kinesiology, by its nature, is comprised of many interrelated subdisciplines. As such, the Department embraces a broad view of teaching, scholarship, and service. These policies and procedures should be read and applied with recognition of the diversity of who we are and what we do, and in the context of recognizing and rewarding the wide variety of work the faculty are called upon to do in furthering the mission and goals of the Department, College, and University.

1. Department of Kinesiology Personnel Committee

1.1. Committee Membership

The Personnel Committee shall consist of three tenured faculty of senior rank. Elected members shall serve two-year terms on the Committee. Terms shall be staggered so that there is at least one returning Committee member each year.

1.2. Nomination Procedures

During the first week of the academic year, the Department Chair will disseminate a list of eligible tenured Department faculty members, solicit nominations, and identify a deadline of no more than seven (7) calendar days for receipt of nominations from which to prepare a slate for election by all eligible voting members of the Department faculty. While Section 600 states that it is an obligation to stand for nomination, as a professional courtesy, the nominator shall consult with any faculty member to be nominated.

1.3. Voting Procedures

The voting procedures will be the same as those used by the Faculty Senate for campus-wide elections, where candidates are not ranked. Ballots will list candidates in alphabetical order by last name. Those casting ballots may vote for no more than the number of Committee members to be elected, and any ballot identifying more than the allowable number will be invalid.

1.4. Committee Vacancy

Should a vacancy on the Committee occur, a replacement will be selected by a special election following the original nominating and voting procedures.

1.5. Committee Chair

The Department Personnel Committee Chair shall be determined by the members of the Committee.

1.6. Committee Responsibilities

Personnel Committee responsibilities are set forth in Section 600. In addition, the Department Personnel Committee may be actively involved, as appropriate, with each candidate by providing guidance and support on an ongoing basis, as requested by the candidate.

2. Guidelines for Retention, Tenure, and Promotion

2.1. Retention shall be recommended if the candidate provides evidence of potential success in those areas considered essential for the awarding tenure according to Section 600 and Department criteria.

2.2. Tenure shall be recommended if the candidate has met Section 600 and Department criteria.

2.3. Promotion to Associate Professor shall be recommended if the candidate has met Section 600 and Department criteria.

2.4. Promotion to Professor shall be recommended if the candidate has met Section 600 and Department criteria.

2.5. Consideration for tenure and promotion shall be given for other University responsibilities that are exceptional and are consistent with the requirements for the position and with the mission and goals of the Department, College, and University. The candidate will include a self-assessment of the nature, extent and impact of the responsibilities. The self-assessment could apply to all Section 3.

2.6. Early promotion may be considered for any candidate whose ongoing pattern of teaching effectiveness, scholarly activity, and University and community service meets Section 600 and Department criteria in a period shorter than that normally required for promotion to the next rank.

3. Procedures for Evaluation

3.1. Procedures for Evaluating Teaching Effectiveness

All faculty members are expected to be effective teachers. The candidate will include a self-assessment of teaching effectiveness in the introductory narrative of the faculty member's Professional Information File (PIF).

3.1.1. Indicators for Evaluating Teaching Effectiveness

The following indicators are required and will be used in evaluating teaching effectiveness:

- Self-assessment – to be included in the introductory narrative of the candidate’s Professional Information File (PIF)
- Summaries of student evaluation forms and student comments [included in the Personnel Action File (PAF)]
- Peer Class Visit Evaluations [included in the PAF]
- Course syllabi and materials – A representative sample of syllabi and any supplemental materials must be included for each course taught

Other indicators may be used by the candidate to document teaching effectiveness.

These indicators include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Direct supervision of internships, fieldwork, and service-learning
- New course/curriculum/program development
- Development of instructional technology
- Development of alternative strategies for assessing student learning
- Documentation of student learning
- Evidence of professional development activities
- Supervision of student projects/theses/independent studies
- Mentoring activities
- Student advising
- Written (and signed) comments/letters by students
- Other contributions to student achievement and success (e.g., pursuit of doctoral work, awards, scholarship, research)

In evaluating teaching effectiveness, it is the responsibility of the faculty member to explain the significance of their work in regards to these indicators.

3.1.2. Criteria for Evaluation

Based upon the total evidence provided by the indicators, the reviewers will evaluate the faculty member's overall teaching effectiveness. Faculty members’ teaching performance generally is rated according to the same criteria across all ranks. The evaluation shall take into consideration the breadth of courses taught, including the number of different courses, the number of new preparations assigned to the faculty member, and the class characteristics (e.g., size, level, required or elective, experimental or traditional). The evaluation shall also take into account the faculty member's efforts to improve teaching performance.

3.1.3. Procedures for Class Visits

A minimum of one class visit for each faculty member under review shall be made annually by at least one member of the Department Personnel Committee. The Department Chair (or designee as consistent with Section 600) shall also make separate visits. Class visits are scheduled by mutual agreement between the faculty member and the reviewer at least five working days in advance of

the visit. Reviewers are strongly encouraged to meet with the faculty member under review before the class visit (e.g., to review course syllabus, discuss class content) and after the visit (e.g., to discuss the teaching performance). The reviewer will complete a written report using the Department's Class Visit Form. Written reports will be submitted in conformance with Section 600. Class visits are encouraged to encompass a variety of each candidate's classes to include (as applicable) different forms of instruction (e.g., lecture, discussion, laboratory, hybrid, online, studio, service-learning, distance-learning).

3.1.4. Procedures for Student Evaluations of Teaching Effectiveness

Student evaluations shall be administered for all Departmental faculty in the Fall semester for a minimum of two classes. Student evaluations of first-year faculty shall be conducted in both Fall and Spring semesters (minimum of 2 classes each semester). All other faculty may request additional student evaluations be administered in the Spring semester.

3.1.5. Procedures for Student Consultation

Notice shall be given to all students (written announcements on Department bulletin boards and read in classes) encouraging them to consult with the Department Personnel Committee with regard to the teaching performance of faculty under consideration for retention, tenure, and promotion. In the announcement, the Committee shall provide explicit details of the method and timeline by which students will be able to consult with the Committee. Signed written statements from students regarding faculty shall be handled in accordance with Section 600.

3.1.6. Faculty Activities/Instructional Contributions

In addition to teaching effectiveness in class situations, special consideration shall be given for instructionally related activities, curriculum development, any pioneering work in non-traditional instructional modes listed in 3.1.1, and inclusion of students in research and creative activities beyond the class setting.

3.2. Procedures for Evaluating Contributions to the Field of Study

The Department values and recognizes various forms of scholarship, including the scholarships of discovery, integration, application, and teaching. The candidate will include a self-assessment of scholarship and contributions to the field of study in the introductory narrative of their Professional Information File (PIF).

As defined in Section 600, a candidate for retention, tenure, and promotion is required to demonstrate continued growth as a recognized scholar and contributor to the field of study. To demonstrate growth, the candidate will, in each review period since appointment or last promotion:

- (a) For promotion to the rank of Associate Professor: Demonstrate a clear pattern of scholarly activity and produce a minimum of three (3) peer-reviewed publications or peer reviewed creative scholarly activities. At least one (1) of the publications or creative scholarly activities shall be a first- or senior- authored/created work (see Section 3.2.1.). A first- or senior- authored monograph/book is considered the equivalent of three (3) peer-reviewed publications. Only one (1) accreditation document (see Section 3.2.2.1.d) will be considered within a promotion cycle. Only one (1) externally funded grant will be considered within a promotion cycle.
- (b) For promotion to the rank of Professor: Demonstrate a clear pattern of scholarly activity and produce a minimum of four (4) peer-reviewed publications or peer reviewed creative scholarly activities. At least two (2) of the publications or creative scholarly activities shall be a first- or senior- authored/created work (see Section 3.2.1.). A first- or senior- authored monograph/book is considered the equivalent of three (3) peer-reviewed publications. Only one (1) accreditation document (see Section 3.2.2.1.d) will be considered within a promotion cycle. Only one (1) externally funded grant will be considered within a promotion cycle.

3.2.1. Publication Requirements

3.2.1.1. Publications

The Department defines publication to include the following:

- a. Scholarly articles in recognized peer-reviewed journals.
- b. Scholarly textbooks and chapters in scholarly books that may be textbooks or not.
- c. Monographs/books (these are scholarly studies of a specialized subject that are not textbooks).
- d. Accreditation Documents (e.g. Self-studies, multi-year accreditation reports) where the applicant is the primary author.
- e. Externally funded peer-reviewed grants to generate scholarly activity where candidate is the principal investigator (PI) or CoPI and funds are consistent with the standards in the field.

3.2.1.2. Creative Scholarly Activities

The Department considers the following as creative scholarly activities:

- a. Creative activities in the various areas of dance or other art forms resulting in public performance, exhibitions of artistic work, film, videotape, television program, digital creative work, visual performance, concert hall performance or other similar activity related to the candidate's area of specialization. Such creative

activity must be undertaken independent of CSUN sponsorship and should take place in a recognized professional venue.

3.2.1.3. Criteria for Evaluating Publications and Creative Scholarly Activities

- a. All publications and creative scholarly activities must be peer reviewed.
- b. Criteria for evaluation of publications could include but not limited to: inclusion in indexed journal databases, relative ranking of the journal within the indexed list, impact factor, number of citations (with and without self-citations), author position and number of authors.
- c. For creative scholarly activities, the candidate shall identify the format and public forum in which the creative scholarly activity appeared and provide a statement of its significance to the field of study.
- d. The candidate shall define their role in cases of co-authorship or collaborative creative scholarly activity. While co-authored publications or collaborative creative scholarly activities are acceptable, especially with student co-authors there should be at least one senior-authored work or senior-creator (which may have co-authors or collaborators) for promotion to the rank of Associate Professor, and at least two senior-authored or senior-creator works (which may have co-authors or collaborators) for promotion to the rank of Professor, that provide evidence of the candidate's ability to engage in independent scholarly efforts.
- e. Written proof of acceptance from the publisher/organizer will be accepted as evidence of publication or production.

3.2.1.4. Procedures for Review of Creative Scholarly Activities

With regard to peer review of creative scholarly activities, in cases where reviewers are selected by an outside agency (e.g., accreditation organizations), the reviewers are implicitly approved. In all other cases, the candidate will meet with the Department Personnel Committee and Department Chair to determine the nature of the evaluation process. The evaluation must include, at minimum, two independent external (to CSUN) reviews by individuals with recognized expertise in the area of study. The reviewers will be selected by mutual agreement of the candidate, Department Personnel Committee and Department Chair. In cases where mutual agreement cannot be reached, there will be one additional reviewer, for a minimum of three reviewers, with one selected by each of the candidate, Department Personnel Committee, and Department Chair. Each external reviewer will provide a written evaluation of the work, including assessment of the quality and significance of the work.

3.2.2. Pattern of Scholarly Activity Requirement

Candidates are expected to demonstrate a clear pattern of ongoing scholarly activity, which can be shown via publications or creative scholarly activities (as defined above), and/or other contributions to the field of study. Other contributions to the field include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Scholarly presentations at professional conferences
- b. Editor of scholarly books, journals or published proceedings for professional conferences
- c. Externally and internally funded grants to support research and creative activities
- d. Reviewer for journal articles and other scholarly work
- e. Assessment reports
- f. Government-sponsored reports or other technical reports
- g. Plenary conference speaker
- h. Conference or professional meeting organizer/chair
- i. Published abstracts
- j. Published proceedings
- k. Ancillary and supplemental professionally published materials
- l. Creative collaborations with companies and institutions developing public performances
- m. Clinical field commentary and best practices publications (With justification these may be considered peer-reviewed scholarly activity).

- 3.2.3. In all cases, the *quality* of the scholarly work shall be considered in the evaluation process. It is the responsibility of the faculty member to document the quality of their accomplishments. Examples of quality indicators are evidence of the work's impact in the field of study, journal acceptance records, publication distribution figures, the scope of the publication or equivalency (regional, national, or international), the quality of the editorial board and review process (e.g., blind vs. non-blind), and the extent of the candidate's contribution in the case of co-authored work.

Peer review means that a board of scholarly reviewers in the subject area of the journal reviews materials for quality of research and adherence to editorial standards of the journal before articles are accepted for publication. Peer-reviewed publications have been vetted by scholars in their field for quality and importance. The onus is on the author to ascertain the quality of the journal before submission. The author should always use CSUN's Oviatt Library resources in relation to predatory publishing and verifying the standings of journals.

Exceptions are possible. For example, it is possible that any one work may be so important (qualitatively or quantitatively) that it deserves as much weight as two or three works normally would. It is the responsibility of the candidate to

identify any such exceptions and to explain why special consideration should be given.

3.3. Procedures for Evaluating Contributions to the University and Community

All faculty members are expected to participate in appropriate professional, University, and community activities. The candidate will include a self-assessment of these service contributions in the introductory narrative of their Professional Information File (PIF). In evaluating service contributions, it is the responsibility of the candidate to explain the significance of their work. With regard to service, it is expected that the candidate will make sustained contributions that have meaningful impact.

In the area of professional service, such activity is expected to surpass that of simply belonging to relevant organizations and attending conferences. As faculty members progress through their careers, it is expected that they increasingly play a significant role in professional activities such as serving on professional committees, assuming leadership positions, serving as a program planner, conducting seminars and workshops, and serving as a professional consultant, on editorial boards, and/or as a reviewer of scholarly/professional materials.

Similarly, faculty are expected to actively serve the needs of the University and community by participating in a broad range of campus activities and in external community activities. All faculty, after their first probationary year, are expected to make increasingly noteworthy contributions towards the "work" of the Department, College, and University as they conduct their business and serve their community.

- 3.3.1. Candidates for retention, tenure, or promotion are expected to meet the requirements of Section 600 with regard to contributions to the University and Community. Special consideration shall be given to service that promotes the mission and goals of the Department, College, and University.
- 3.3.2. University service typically (though not always) involves committee membership. For Department consideration, the depth and effectiveness of the candidate's contribution is more important than the level of committee service. Effectiveness shall be judged based on the nature of the service, the extent of the candidate's contribution, and the significance of the work in furthering the mission and goals of the Department, College, and University.
- 3.3.3. Community service involves meaningful contributions to community agencies and/or professional organizations based on the candidate's academic expertise and professional competence.