COVER SHEET FOR PROPOSED CHANGES TO DEPARTMENT/COLLEGE PERSONNEL PROCEDURES

ECS	Computer Science
COLLEGE	DEPARTMENT

In order to facilitate a complete and expeditious review by the Personnel Planning and Review Committee (PP&R) of the change(s) you propose to your personnel procedures, please adhere to the format described below, and also fill out the Background Information. Attach this memo as a cover sheet for the written material you submit to PP&R. PP&R assumes that the initiating Department or College Committee has determined that the proposed new or revised procedures are consistent with Section 600 and with the Collective Bargaining Agreement.

FORMAT: Please use a complete copy of your existing procedures as the starting point for the proposed revisions that you submit to PP&R for approval. Strike over any text that you wish to have deleted from your written procedures, and/or underline any text that you wish to have added to your written procedures.

BACKO	GROUND INFORMATION:	
1.	Are proposed changes those of College or Department procedures? (check o	ne)
2.	Date that current proposed changes were sent forward Nov 13, 200	99
3.	Department or College initiating proposed changes Department	
4.	Describe briefly the general reason(s) for your proposed change(s) (e.g., "proposed Department in response to a request from the College Personnel Committee, which criteria were too rigorous").	
	in response to request from	ce
5.	The proposed changes have been approved by the faculty of the College or Department of the Coll	artment . (check one)
	EPARTMENT PERSONNEL PROCEDURES:	1/2/2010
Chair, I	Department Personnel Committee	Date
		1/29/2010
Departr	ment Chair	Date
FOR D	EPARTMENT PERSONNEL PROCEDURES & COLLEGE PERSONNEL PR	OCEDURES:
		1/29/10
Chair, C	College Personnel Committee	Date
	C-K-Karesh	1/29/10
College		Date
	Unchain C. Whitny	5-11-10
Chair,	Personnel Planning and Review Committee	Date
(for PP	P&R use only)	х
Appro	S'10 val Date Effective Date (see attached)	2013-3014 Date of Next Review

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, NORTHRIDGE Computer Science Department Faculty Teaching Evaluation

Name of	Instructor evaluated (FT) (PT):	
Date/time	::	No. of students:
	code: 1- low: 2-needs improvement: 3-go	ach as 4.5 for rating between very good
Rating		Comments
	Covers the class material at the appropriate level	
	Presents material clearly	
	Presentation was technically sound	
	Appropriate classroom interaction and ability to communicate to students	
	Creates interest in the subject.	
.,,	Control of class session	
	Well-organized lecture	
	Paces lecture appropriately	
	Ability to use visual aids to students	

			in advance			
Vhere dic	the instru	ictor excel? W	hat were th	eir strong points?		· · · · · ·
						•
here we	ere they we	eak or in need	of improve	ment?		·
valuator	(print):			Chair (print nam	e):	
Cvaluator				Chair (print nam (sign):		

Date