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9.1 INTRODUCTION

The Web Modeling Language (WebML) is a third-generation Web design methodology, conceived in 1998 in the wake of the early hypermedia models and the pioneering works on hypermedia and Web design, like HDM (Garzotto et al., 1993) and RMM (Isakowitz et al., 1995). The original goal of WebML was to support the design and implementation of so-called data-intensive Web applications (Ceri et al., 2002), defined as Web sites for accessing and maintaining large amounts of structured data, typically stored as records in a database management system, like online trading and e-commerce applications, institutional Web sites of private and public organizations, digital libraries, corporate portals, and community sites.

To achieve this goal, WebML reused existing conceptual data models and proposed an original notation for expressing the navigation and composition features of hypertext interfaces. WebML’s hypertext model took an approach quite different from previous proposals: Instead of offering a high number of primitives for representing all the possible ways to organize a hypertext interface that may occur in data-intensive Web applications, the focus was on inventing a minimal number of concepts, which could be composed in well-defined ways to obtain an arbitrary number of application configurations.
This initial design choice deeply influenced the definition of the language and its evolution toward more complex classes of applications. Four major versions of WebML characterize the progression of the language:

- **WebML 1**: The original version comprised only a fixed set of primitives for representing read-only data-intensive Web sites; the focus was on the modular organization of the interface, navigation definition, and content extraction and publication in the interface.

- **WebML 2**: It added support for representing business actions (called operations) triggered by the navigation of the user; in this way, the expressive power was extended to support features like content management, authentication, and authorization.

- **WebML 3**: The introduction of the concept of model plug-ins transformed WebML into an open language, extensible by designers with their own conceptual-level primitives, as to widen the expressive power to cover the requirements of new application domains. This transition emphasized the role of component-based modeling and was the base of all subsequent extensions.

- **WebML 4**: The notion of a model plug-in was exploited to add orthogonal extensions to the core of WebML, covering sectors and applications not previously associated with model-driven development. For example, Web service interaction and workflow modeling primitives were added as plug-in components, to enable the modeling and implementation of distributed applications for multi-actor workflow enactment (Manolescu et al., 2005; Brambilla et al., 2006); other extensions pointed in the direction of multichannel and context-aware Web applications (Ceri et al., 2007).

A distinctive trait of the WebML experience is the presence of an industrial line of development running in parallel to the academic research. One of the original design principles of WebML was implementability, with the ultimate goal of bringing model-driven development (MDD) to the community of “real” developers. To achieve this objective, Politecnico di Milano spun off a company (called Web Models) in 2001, with the mission of implementing and commercializing methods and tools for model-driven development of Web applications, based on WebML. Even before then, WebML had been used for modeling and automatically implementing an industrial project, the Acer-Euro system (http://www.acer-euro.com), comprising the multilingual B2B and B2E content publishing and management applications of Acer, the number 4 PC vendor in the world.
The major result of the industrial R&D is WebRatio (WebModels, 2006), an integrated development environment supporting the modeling of applications with WebML and their implementation with model-driven code generators. Today WebRatio is a consolidated industrial reality: More than 100 applications have been developed by WebModels’ customers, over 4,000 trial copies are downloaded per year, and many universities and institutions worldwide use the tool in their Web Engineering courses. In retrospect, the most fruitful and challenging aspect of the interplay of academic and industrial activity has been the continuous relationship between researchers and “real-world,” “traditional” developers, which produced essential feedback on the definition of a truly usable and effective model-driven development methodology, which is (hopefully) reflected in the current status of WebML and its accompanying tools.

In this chapter we will overview the core features of WebML and some of its extensions and briefly comment on the usage experience. The chapter is organized as follows: Section 9.2 presents an overview of the WebML methodology and, in particular, introduces the WebML notations for the definition of conceptual schemas. Section 9.3 describes the implementation of the methodology and the architecture of the development tool supporting it. Section 9.4 presents extensions of WebML for supporting Web service composition and publication, workflow-driven Web applications, and context-aware Web applications. Section 9.5 shortly summarizes some of the lessons learned in the application of model-driven development with WebML in industrial projects. Finally, Section 9.6 presents the ongoing and future work and draws the conclusions.

9.2 THE WEBML METHODOLOGY

WebML is a visual language for specifying the content structure of a Web application and the organization and presentation of such content in a hypertext (Ceri et al., 2000, 2002).
As reported in Figure 9.1, the WebML approach to the development of Web applications consists of different phases. Inspired by Boehm’s spiral model (Boehm, 1988) and in line with modern methods for Web and software applications development (Beck, 1999; Booch et al., 1999; Conallen, 2000), the WebML process is applied in an iterative and incremental manner in which the various phases are repeated and refined until results meet the application requirements. The product life cycle therefore undergoes several cycles, each producing a prototype or a partial version of the application. At each iteration, the current version of the application is tested and evaluated and then extended or modified to cope with the previously collected requirements as well as the newly emerged requirements. Such an iterative and incremental life cycle appears particularly appropriate for the Web context, where applications must be deployed quickly (in “Internet time”) and requirements are likely to change during development.

Out of the entire process illustrated in Figure 9.1, the “upper” phases of analysis and conceptual modeling are those most influenced by the adoption of a conceptual model. The rest of this section will introduce the WebML notations for the definition of conceptual schemas. It will then illustrate the different activities in the WebML development process, with special emphasis on conceptual modeling activities. Some issues about implementation through automatic code generation will be discussed in Section 9.3, by showing how conceptual schemas defined during the design phases can be translated into a running application using WebRatio.
9.2.1 Requirements Analysis

Requirements analysis focuses on collecting information about the application domain and the expected functions and on specifying them through easy-to-understand descriptions. The input to this activity is the set of business requirements that motivate the application development. The main results of this phase are

- the identification of the **groups of users** addressed by the application. Each group represents users having the same characteristics or playing the same role within a business process, i.e., performing the same activities with the same access rights over the same objects. The same individual user may play different roles, thus belonging to different groups.
- the specification of **functional requirements** that address the functions to be provided to users. For each group of users, the relevant activities to be performed are identified and specified.
- the identification of **core information objects**, i.e., the main information assets to be accessed, exchanged, and/or manipulated by users.
- the decomposition of the Web application into **site views**, i.e., different hypertexts designed to meet a well-defined set of functional and user requirements. Each user group will be provided with at least one site view supporting the functions identified for the group.

Analysts are expected to use their favorite format for requirements specification; for instance, tabular formats can be used for capturing the informal requirements such as group or site view descriptions; UML use case diagrams and activity diagrams can also be used as standard representations of usage scenarios and activity synchronization. In particular, functional requirements might be captured by activity flow, showing sequence, and parallelism and synchronization among the activities to be performed by different user groups.

9.2.2 Conceptual Modeling

Conceptual modeling consists of defining conceptual schemas, which express the organization of the application at a high level of abstraction, independently from implementation details. According to the WebML approach, conceptual modeling consists of data design and hypertext design.
Data design corresponds to organizing core information objects previously identified during requirements analysis into a comprehensive and coherent data schema, possibly enriched through derived objects.

Hypertext design then produces site view schemas on top of the data schema previously defined. Site views express the composition of the content and services within hypertext pages, as well as the navigation and the interconnection of components. For applications where different user groups perform multiple activities, or for multichannel applications, in which users can adopt different access devices, hypertext design requires the definition of multiple site views, addressing the user groups involved and their access requirements.

The models provided by the WebML language for data and hypertext design are briefly described in the following. A broader illustration of the language and its formal definition can be found in Ceri et al. (2000, 2002) and at http://www.webml.org.

9.2.2.1 WebML Data Model

Data design is one of the most traditional and consolidated disciplines of information technology, for which well-established modeling languages and guidelines exist. For this reason, WebML does not propose yet another data modeling language; rather, it exploits the entity-relationship data model, or the equivalent subset of UML class diagram primitives. The fundamental elements of the WebML data model are therefore entities, defined as containers of data elements, and relationships, defined as semantic connections between entities. Entities have named properties, called attributes, with an associated type. Entities can be organized in generalization hierarchies and relationships can be restricted by means of cardinality constraints.

In the design of Web applications it is often required to calculate the value of some attributes or relationships of an entity from the value of some other elements of the schema. Attributes and relationships so obtained are called derived. Derived attributes and relationships can be denoted by adding a slash character (/) in front of their name, and their computation rule can be specified as a logical expression added to the declaration of the attribute or relationship, as is customary in UML class diagrams (Booch et al., 1999). Derivation expressions can be written using declarative languages like OQL or OCL.
Figure 9.2. A fragment of data schema of the Movie database Web application.

Figure 9.2 shows a small fragment of the data schema of the Movie database example, containing the entities Movie, UserComment, RegisteredUser, Actor, and their relationships. The entity Movie contains one derived attribute /NumOfComments, which is computed as the value of the expression Count(Movie.MovieToUserComment). This expression counts the number of comments associated with a movie according to the MovieToUserComment relationship role between the entities Movie and UserComment.

9.2.2.2 WebML Hypertext Model

The hypertext model enables the definition of the front-end interface, which is shown to a user in the browser. It enables the definition of pages and their internal organization in terms of components (called content units) for displaying content. It also supports the definition of links between pages and content units that support information location and browsing. Components can also specify operations, such as content management or user’s login/logout procedures. These are called operation units.

The modular structure of an application front end is defined in terms of site views, areas, pages, and content units. A site view is a particular hypertext, designed to address a specific set of requirements. It consists of areas, which are the main sections of the hypertext, and comprises recursively other subareas or pages. Pages are the actual containers of information delivered to the user.

Several site views can be defined on top of the same data schema, for serving the needs of different user communities or for arranging content as requested by different access devices like PDAs, smart phones, and similar appliances.
Figure 9.3 gives an example of the organization of pages and areas in a site view, considering a fragment of the Movie database Web application. The site view is composed of a **home page**, which is the first page accessed when the user enters the application. The site view also comprises two areas: the **Shopping Cart** area, including only one page through which the user manages his current shopping cart; and the **Movies** area, including three pages that show the list of recent movies, support the search of movies, and allow the user to enter comments.

Pages and areas are characterized by some relevance properties, which highlight their “importance” in the Web site. In particular, pages inside an area or site view can be of three types:

- The **home page** (denoted with a small “h” inside the page icon) is the page at the default address of the site view, or the one presented after the user logs into the application; it must be unique.
- The **default page** (denoted with a small “d” inside the page icon) is the one presented by default when its enclosing area is accessed; it must be unique within an area. In the example in Figure 9.3, the **Shopping Cart Data** page and the **Recent Movies List** page are default pages for their enclosing areas. This implies that the two pages are entry points for the two areas.
- A **landmark page** (denoted with a small “l” inside the page icon) is reachable from all the other pages or areas within its enclosing module. For example, in Figure 9.3 the home page is also a landmark page, meaning that a link to it will be available from any other page of the site view.
Table 9.1. The Five Predefined Content Units in WebML

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Unit</th>
<th>Multidata Unit</th>
<th>Index Unit</th>
<th>Scroller Unit</th>
<th>Entry Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Page composition.** Pages are made of content units, which are the elementary pieces of information, possibly extracted from data sources, published within pages. Table 9.1 reports the five WebML predefined content units, representing the elementary information elements that may appear in the hypertext pages.

Units represent one or more instances of entities of the structural schema, typically selected by means of queries over the entity attributes or over relationships. In particular, data units represent some of the attributes of a given entity instance; multidata units represent some of the attributes of a set of entity instances; index units present a list of descriptive keys of a set of entity instances and enable the selection of one of them; scroller units enable the browsing of an ordered set of objects. Finally, entry units do not draw content from the elements of the data schema, but publish a form for collecting input values from the user.

Data, multidata, index, and scroller units include a source and a selector. The source is the name of the entity from which the unit’s content is retrieved. The selector is a predicate, used for determining the actual objects of the source entity that contribute to the unit’s content. The previous collection of units is sufficient to logically represent arbitrary content on a Web interface (Ceri et al., 2002). However, some extensions are also available, for example, the multichoice and the hierarchical indexes reported in Table 9.2. These are two variants of the index unit that allow one to choose multiple objects and organize a list of index entries defined over multiple entities hierarchically.

**Link definition.** Units and pages are interconnected by links, thus forming a hypertext. Links between units are called contextual, because they carry some information from the source unit to the destination unit. In contrast, links between pages are called noncontextual.
Table 9.2. Two Index Unit Variants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multichoice Unit</th>
<th>Hierarchical Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Multichoice Index" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hierarchical Index" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In contextual links, the binding between the source unit and the destination unit of the link is formally represented by link parameters, associated with the link, and by parametric selectors, defined in the destination unit. A link parameter is a value associated with a link between units, which is transported as an effect of the link navigation, from the source unit to the destination unit. A parametric selector is, instead, a unit selector whose condition contains one or more parameters.

Figure 9.4. Example of contextual and noncontextual navigation.

As an example of page composition and unit linking, Figure 9.4 reports a simple hypertext, containing two pages of the Movies Area. The page Recent Movies List contains an index unit defined over the Movie entity, which shows the list of movies shown in the last month, and a data unit also
defined over the **Movie** entity, which displays the details of the movie selected from the index. Two selectors ([Year=system.year()], [Month=system.month()]) are defined to restrict the selection only to the movies of the current month and year. The arrow between the two units is a contextual link, carrying the parameter **CurrMovie**, containing the object identifier (OID) of the selected item. The data unit includes a parametric selector ([OID=CurrMovie]), which uses the input OID parameter to retrieve the data of the specific movie.

OIDs of the objects displayed or chosen from the source unit are considered the default context associated with the link. Therefore, OID parameters over links and parametric selectors testing for OID values can be omitted and simply inferred from the diagram.

An example of a noncontextual link is shown from the **Recent Movies List** page to the **Search Movies** page: This link does not carry any parameter, because the content of the destination page does not depend on the content of the source page.

The page **Search Movies** shows an interesting hypertext pattern; it contains three units: an entry unit denoting a form for inserting the keyword of the title to be searched, a scroller unit defined over the **Movie** entity and having a selector for retrieving only the movies containing that keyword in their titles ([Title contains keyword]), and a multidata unit displaying a scrollable block of search results. Through the scroller unit it is possible to move to the first, previous, next, and last blocks of results.

**Automatic and transport links.** In some applications, it may be necessary to differentiate a specific link behavior, whereby the content of some units is displayed as soon as the page is accessed, even if the user has not navigated its incoming link. This effect can be achieved by using automatic links. An **automatic link**, graphically represented by putting a label “A” over the link, is “navigated” in the absence of a user’s interaction when the page that contains the source unit of the link is accessed.

Also, there are cases in which a link is used only for passing contextual information from one unit to another and thus is not rendered as an anchor. This type of link is called a **transport link**, to highlight that the link enables only parameter passing and not interaction. Transport links are graphically represented as dashed arrows.
Consider the example in Figure 9.5, extending the content of the page Recent Movies List shown in Figure 9.4. The link between the index and the data unit has been defined as automatic: When the page is accessed, the details of the first movie appearing in the index will be shown to the user, without the need for her interaction. A multidata unit has been added to show the names of the actors playing in the selected movie. A transport link is used to pass the OID of the current movie to the multidata unit. This OID is used by the multidata unit in a parametric selector associated with the MovieToActor relationship defined between the entities Movie and Actor to retrieve only the actors associated with the current movie. Note that the automatic link admits the user’s interaction for selecting a different movie and is thus rendered as an anchor; conversely, the output link of the data unit does not enable any selection and thus is defined as transport and is not rendered as an anchor.

Global parameters. In some cases, contextual information is not transferred point to point during navigation but can be set as globally available to all the pages of the site view. This is possible through global parameters, which abstract the implementation-level notion of session-persistent data.

Parameters can be set through the Set unit and consumed within a page through a Get unit. The visual representation of such two units is reported in Table 9.3. An example of use of the get unit will be shown in the next subsection.

Operations. In addition to the specification of read-only Web sites, where user interaction is limited to information browsing, WebML also supports the specification of services and content management operations requiring write access over the information hosted in a site (e.g., the filling of a shopping trolley or an update of the users’ personal information). WebML offers additional primitives for expressing built-in update operations, such as creating, deleting, or modifying an instance of an entity (represented through the create, delete, and modify units, respectively) or adding or dropping a
relationship between two instances (represented through the connect and disconnect unit, respectively). The visual representation of such units is reported in Table 9.4.

Table 9.3. The WebML Global Parameter Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Get Unit</th>
<th>Set Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

![Diagram of Get and Set Units]

Table 9.4. The WebML Operation Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Create Unit</th>
<th>Modify Unit</th>
<th>Delete Unit</th>
<th>Connect Unit</th>
<th>Disconnect Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

![Diagram of Create, Modify, Delete, Connect, and Disconnect Units]

Other utility operations extend the previous set. For example, login and logout units (see Table 9.5) are respectively used (1) for managing access control and verifying the identity of a user accessing the application site views and (2) for closing the session of a logged user.

Operation units do not publish the content to be displayed to the user but execute some processing as a side effect of the navigation of a link. Like content units, operations may have a source object (either an entity or a relationship) and selectors, may receive parameters from their input links, and may provide values to be used as parameters of their output links. The result of executing an operation can be displayed in a page by using an appropriate content unit, for example, a data or multidata unit, defined over the objects updated by the operation.
Regardless of their type, WebML operations may have multiple incoming contextual links, which provide the parameters necessary for executing the operation. One of the incoming links is the activating link (the one followed by the user for triggering the operation), while the others just transport contextual information and parameters, for example, the identifiers of some objects involved in the operation.

Two or more operations can be linked to form a chain, which is activated by firing the first operation. Each operation can have two types of output links: one OK link and one KO link. The former is followed when the operation succeeds; the latter when the operation fails. The selection of the link to follow (OK or KO) is based on the outcome of the operation execution and is under the responsibility of the operation implementation.

The example in Figure 9.6 shows the content of the Insert Comment page in the Movies area. Through the entry unit the user can insert a comment for the movie currently displayed by the Movie details data unit. A get unit is defined to retrieve the data of the currently logged user, which have been stored in a global parameter after the login. When the user submits a comment, a chain of operations is triggered and executed: First, a new comment instance is created in the UserComment entity, containing the text inserted by the user; then, the new comment is associated to the current user (by creating a new
instance of the relationship \texttt{UserCommentToRegisteredUser}) and to the
current movie (relationship \texttt{UserCommentToMovie}). In the example, KO links
are not explicitly drawn: By default, they lead the user to the page from which
the operation chain has been triggered.

9.2.3 Other Development Phases

The phases following conceptual modeling consist of implementing the
application, testing and evaluating it in order to improve its internal and
external quality, deploying it on top of a selected architecture, and
maintaining and possibly evolving the application once it has been deployed.

As described in more details in Section 9.3, the WebRatio development
environment (WebModels, 2006) largely assists the implementation phase.
First of all, it offers a visual environment for drawing the data and hypertext
conceptual schemas. Such visual specifications are then stored as XML
documents, which are the inputs for the WebML code generator, which then
produces the data and hypertext implementation.

For space reasons, the remaining phases of the application life cycle are
only hinted at in this chapter, but they are nonetheless well supported by
WebML and WebRatio. In particular:

- The model-driven approach benefits the systematic testing of
applications, thanks to the availability of the conceptual model and the
model transformation approach to code generation (Baresi et al., 2005).
With respect to the traditional testing of applications, the focus shifts
from verifying individual Web applications to assessing the correctness
of the code generator. The intuition is that if one could ensure that the
code generator produces a correct implementation for all legal and
meaningful conceptual schemas (i.e., combinations of modeling
constructs), then testing Web applications would reduce to the more
treatable problem of validating the conceptual schema. The research
work conducted in this area has shown that it is possible to quantitatively
evaluate the confidence in the correctness of a model-driven code
generator, by formally measuring the coverage of a given test set (that is,
of a set of sample conceptual schemas) with respect to the entire universe
of syntactically admissible schemas. Different notions of coverage have
been proposed, and heuristic rules have been derived for minimizing the
number of test cases necessary to reach the desired coverage level of the
testing process.

- Model-driven development also fosters innovative techniques for quality
assessment. The research in this area has led to a framework for the
model-driven and automatic evaluation of Web application quality
(Fraternali et al., 2004; Lanzi et al., 2004; Meo and Matera, 2006). The
framework supports the static (i.e., compile-time) analysis of conceptual schemas and the dynamic (i.e., run-time) collection of Web usage data to be automatically analyzed and compared with the navigation dictated by the conceptual schema. The static analysis is based on the discovery in the conceptual schema of design patterns and on their automatic evaluation against quality attributes encoded as rules. Conversely, usage analysis consists of the automatic examination and mining of enriched Web logs, called conceptual logs (Fraternali et al., 2003), which correlate common HTTP logs with additional data about (1) the units and link paths accessed by the users, and (2) the database objects published within the viewed pages.

- In a model-driven process, maintenance and evolution also benefit from the existence of a conceptual model of the application. Requests for changes can in fact be turned into changes at the conceptual level, either to the data model or to the hypertext model. Then, changes at the conceptual level are propagated to the implementation. This approach smoothly incorporates change management into the mainstream production life cycle and greatly reduces the risk of breaking the software engineering process due to the application of changes solely at the implementation level.

### 9.3 IMPLEMENTATION

Application development with WebML is assisted by WebRatio (WebModels, 2006), a commercial tool for designing and implementing Web applications. The architecture of WebRatio (shown in Figure 9.7) consists of two layers: a design layer, providing functions for the visual editing of specifications, and a run-time layer, implementing the basic services for executing WebML units on top of a standard Web application framework.

The design layer includes a graphical user interface (shown in Figure 9.8) for data and hypertext design, which produces an internal representation in XML of the WebML models. A data mapping module, called Database Synchronizer, maps the entities and relationships of the conceptual data schema to one or more physical data sources, which can be either created by the tool or pre-existing. The Database Synchronizer can forward- and reverse-engineer the logical schema of an existing data source, propagate the changes from the conceptual data model to the physical data sources, and vice versa.
Figure 9.7. The WebRatio architecture.

Figure 9.8. WebRatio’s graphical user interface.
A third module (called EasyStyler Presentation Designer) offers functionality for defining the presentation style of the application, allowing the designer to create XSL stylesheets from XHTML mock-ups, associate XSL styles with WebML pages, and organize page layout, by arranging the relative position of content units in each page.

The design layer is connected to the run-time layer by the WebRatio code generator, which exploits XSL transformations to translate the XML specifications visually edited in the design layer into application code executable within the run-time layer, built on top of the Java2EE platform. In particular, a set of XSL translators produces a set of dynamic page templates and unit descriptors, which enable the execution of the application in the run-time layer. A dynamic page template (e.g., a JSP file) expresses the content and markup of a page in the markup language of choice (e.g., in HTML, WML, etc.). A unit descriptor is an XML file that expresses the dependencies of a WebML unit from the data layer (e.g., the name of the database and the code of the SQL query computing the population of an index unit).

The design layer, code generator, and run-time layer have a plug-in architecture: New software components can be wrapped with XML descriptors and made available to the design layer as custom WebML units, the code generator can be extended with additional XSL rules to produce the code needed for wrapping user-defined components, and the components themselves can be deployed in the run-time application framework. As described in the following section, such a plug-in architecture has been exploited to extend WebRatio to support new WebML constructs that have been recently defined for covering advanced modeling requirements.

9.4 ADVANCED FEATURES

The core concepts of WebML have been extended to enable the specification of complex applications, where Web services can be invoked, the navigation of the user is driven by process model specifications, and page content and navigation may be adapted (like in a multichannel, mobile environment). In the next subsections we briefly present the extensions that have been integrated in the WebML model for designing service-enabled, process-enabled, and context-aware Web applications.
9.4.1 Service-Enabled Web Applications

Web services have emerged as essential ingredients of modern Web applications: They are used in a variety of contexts, including Web portals for collecting information from geographically distributed providers or B2B applications for the integration of enterprise business processes.

To describe Web services interactions, WebML has been extended with Web service units (Manolescu et al., 2005), implementing the WSDL (W3C, 2002) classes of Web service operations.

We start by recalling some basic aspects of WSDL, providing the foundation of the proposed WebML extensions. A WSDL operation is the basic unit of interaction with a service and is performed by exchanging messages.

Two categories of operations are initiated by the client:

- One-way operations consist of a message sent by the client to the service.
- Request-response operations consist of one request message sent by the client and one response message built by the service and sent back to the client.

Two other operation categories are initiated by the service:

- Notification operations consist of messages sent to the service.
- Solicit and response operations are devised for receiving request messages sent to the service and providing messages as responses to the client.

WebML supports all four categories of operations. In particular, we interpret the operations initiated by the service as a means for Web services publishing. Therefore, we assume that these operations will not be used within the traditional hypertext schemas representing the Web site, but within appropriate Service views, which contain the definition of published services. The operations initiated by the client are instead integrated within the specification of the Web application. In the following subsections we will see how they can be specified in WebML and present some examples applied to the Movie database running case.

9.4.1.1 Modeling Web Applications Integrated with Web Services

The specification of Web service invocation from within a Web application exploits the request-response and one-way operations. Here we show an example of a request-response operation. Suppose we want to extend the Movie database Web application with the possibility of retrieving books related to a particular movie from a remote Web service (e.g., the Amazon
Web service). Assume that the request-response operation SearchBooks allows one to obtain a list of books meeting search criteria provided as input to the service (e.g., keywords contained in the title). The remote Web service responds with the list of books meeting the given search criteria.

The WSDL request-response operation is modeled through the request-response unit, whose graphical notation is shown in Figure 9.9. This operation involves two messages: the message sent to the service and the message received from the service. The corresponding unit is labeled with the Web service operation name and includes two arrows that represent the two messages. This operation is triggered when the user navigates one of its input links; from the parameters transferred by these links, a message is composed and then sent to a remote service as a request. The user waits until the arrival of the response message from the invoked service; then she can resume navigation from the page reached by the output link of the Web service operation unit.

![Figure 9.9. Example of usage of the request-response operation.](image)

In the example in Figure 9.9, the user can browse to the Search page, where an entry unit permits the input of search criteria, preloaded from the currently selected movie. From this information, a request message is composed and sent to the SearchBooks operation of the Web service exposed by the service provider. The user then waits for the response message, containing a list of books satisfying the search criteria. From these options, a set of instances of the Book entity is created through the XML-in operation unit (which receives as input XML data and transforms them into relational data) and displayed to the user by means of the Book Index unit; the user may continue browsing, e.g., by choosing one of the displayed books. Further details about data transformations and about the storage of data retrieved from Web services can be found in recent publications (Manolescu et al., 2005).

One-way operations are modeled in a similar way: The main difference is that the service will not provide any response. Therefore, once the message is sent to the service, the user continues navigation without waiting for the response.
9.4.1.2 Modeling Web Services Publishing

WebML also supports the publication of Web services that can be invoked by third-party applications. From the application point of view, no user interaction is required in a published Web service. The actions to be performed when the notification or the solicit-response operations are triggered are not specified through pages, but as a chain of operations (e.g., for storing or retrieving data, or for executing generic operations such as sending emails). Therefore, the publishing of Web services can be specified separately from the site view of a Web application. We introduce the following concepts:

- **Service view**: a collection of ports that expose the functionality of a Web service through WSDL operations
- **Port**: the individual service, composed by a set of WSDL operations; each individual WSDL operation is modeled through a chain of WebML operations starting with a solicit-response and/or notification operation

Therefore, the business logic of a WSDL operation is described by a chain of WebML operations, specifying the actions to be performed as a consequence of the invocation of the service, and possibly building the response message to be sent back to the invoker. Each WSDL operation starts with a solicit unit, which triggers the service, and possibly ends with the response unit, which provides a message back to the service. Here we show an example of a solicit-response operation.

Suppose we want to extend the Movie database application with the publication of a service providing the list of movies satisfying search criteria. The WSDL operation is modeled through a chain of WebML operations starting with the solicit unit (**SearchSolicit**), shown in Figure 9.10. The solicit unit receives the SOAP message from the requester and decodes the search keywords, passing them as parameters to the next WebML operation in the sequence. This is a so-called XML-out (Manolescu et al., 2005) operation unit, which extracts from the database the list of movies that correspond to the specified conditions and formats it as an XML document. After the XML-out operation, the composition of the response message is performed through the response unit (**SearchResponse**).

![Figure 9.10. Example of usage of the solicit-response operation.](image-url)
Notice that the schema of Figure 9.10 can be seen as the dual specification of the *SearchBooks* service invocation pattern, represented in Figure 9.9.

In addition to the above-mentioned examples, WebML also supports the exchange of asynchronous messages (Brambilla et al., 2004) and complex Web service conversations (Manolescu et al., 2005).

From the implementation standpoint, the deployment and publishing of Web services required the extension of the run-time WebRatio with a SOAP listener able to accept SOAP requests.

### 9.4.2 Process-Enabled Web Applications

Today the mission of Web applications is evolving from the support of online content browsing to the management of full-fledged collaborative workflow-based applications, spanning multiple individuals and organizations. WebML has been extended for supporting lightweight Web-enabled workflows (Brambilla, 2003; Brambilla et al., 2003, 2007), thus transferring the benefits of high-level conceptual modeling and automatic code generation also to this class of Web applications.

Integrating hypertexts with workflows means delivering Web interfaces that permit the execution of business activities and embodying constraints that drive the navigation of users. The required extensions to the WebML language are the following:

- **Business process model**: A new design dimension is introduced in the methodology. It consists of a workflow diagram representing the business process to be executed, in terms of its activities, the precedence constraints, and the actors/roles in charge of executing each activity.

- **Data model**: The data model representing the domain information is extended with a set of objects (namely, entities and relationships) describing the meta-data necessary for tracking the execution of the business process, both for logging and for constraints evaluation purposes.

- **Hypertext model**: The hypertext model is extended by specifying the business activity boundaries and the workflow-dependent navigation links.

Besides the main models, the proposed extension affects the following aspects of the WebML methodology:

- **Development process**: Some new phases are introduced in the development process, to allow the specification of business processes and their integration in the conceptual models (see Figure 9.11).
• **Design tools**: A new view shall be introduced for supporting the design of the workflow models within the WebML methodology.

• **Automatic generation tools**: A new transformer is needed for translating workflow diagrams into draft WebML specifications of the Web applications implementing the process specification.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 9.11.** Steps of the proposed methodology: Square boxes represent the design steps and the involved tools; bubbles represent the expected results of each step.

The following sections present the details of the process-related extensions, by referring to a specific aspect of the Internet movie database case study, namely the subscription process. Details will be provided about the new features of the development process, the business process modeling, and the data and hypertext modeling.

### 9.4.2.1 Extensions to the Development Process

The development process is enriched by a set of new design tasks and automatic transformations that addresses the workflow aspects of the application. Figure 9.11 shows the expected steps of the development, the results of each steps, and the involved tools: Through a visual workflow editor, the analyst specifies the business process model to be implemented; the designed workflow model can be processed by an automatic transformation that generates a set of hypertext skeletons implementing the specified behavior; the produced skeletons can be modified by designers by means of CASE tools for conceptual Web application modeling; the resulting models can be processed by automatic code generators that produce the running Web application.

### 9.4.2.2 Workflow Model and Design Tool

Many standard notations have been proposed to express the structure of business processes. For our purposes, we adopt the Business Process Management Notation (BPMN), which covers the basic concepts required by WfMC (Workflow Management Coalition) and is compatible with Web service choreography languages (e.g., BPEL4WS) and standard business process specification languages (e.g., XPDL). A visual design tool for business processes has been implemented for covering this design phase. The tool is an Eclipse plug-in and allows one to specify BPMN diagrams.
Figure 9.12 shows a subscription process that could apply to the Movie database scenario (the case study has been extended to avoid a simplistic example): The user specifies whether he is a private customer or a company, then he alternatively submits the company or his own personal information, and finally a user manager accepts the subscription.

Figure 9.12. Subscription process represented in BPMN in the BP design tool.

9.4.2.3 Data Model Extensions: Workflow Meta-Data

The extensions to the data model include some standard entities for recording activities instances and process cases, thus allowing one to store the state of the business process execution and enacting it accordingly. The adopted meta-model is very simple (see Figure 9.13): The Case entity stores the information about each instantiation of the process, while the Activity entity stores the status of each activity instance executed in the system. Each activity belongs to a single case. Connections to user and application data can be added, for the purpose of associating domain information to the process execution. Typical requirements are the assignment of application objects to activity instances and the tracking of the relation between an activity and its executor (a user).

Notice that the proposed meta-model is just a guideline. The designer can adopt more sophisticated meta-data schemas or even integrate with underlying workflow engines through appropriate APIs (e.g., Web services) for tracking and advancing the process instance.
9.4.2.4 Hypertext Model Extensions: Activities and Workflow Links

The hypertext model is extended with two new primitives:

- **Activity**: An activity is represented by an area tagged with a marker “A.” The whole hypertext contained in the area is the implementation of the activity.
- **Workflow link**: Workflow links are links that traverse the boundary of any activity area. They are used for hypertext navigation, but their behavior includes workflow logic, which is not explicitly visible in the hypertext. Every link entering an activity represents the start of the execution of the activity; every outgoing link represents the end of the activity. The actual behavior of the workflow links is specified by a category associated with the link.

Incoming links can be classified as ***Start link***, allowing an existing activity to start from scratch; ***Start case link***, allowing one to create a new case and a new activity and to start them; ***Create link***, allowing one to create a new activity and start it; ***Resume link***, allowing one to resume the execution of an activity once it has been suspended.

Outgoing links can be classified as ***Complete link***, which closes the activity and sets its status to completed; ***Complete case link***, which closes the activity and the whole case, setting their status to completed; ***Suspend link***, which suspends the execution of an activity (that can be resumed later through a resume link); ***Terminate link***, which closes the activity and sets its status to terminated (e.g., for exception management).

Notice that ***if*** and ***switch*** units can be used to express navigation conditions. Moreover, a specific approach has been studied for managing exceptions within workflow-based Web applications (Brambilla et al., 2005; Brambilla and Tziviskou, 2005), but it is not discussed here for the sake of
brevity. Moreover, by combining workflows and Web services extensions, the design of distributed processes can be obtained (Brambilla et al., 2006).

### 9.4.2.5 Mapping Workflow Schemas to Hypertext Models

Workflow activities are realized in the hypertext model by suitable configurations of pages and units, enclosed within an activity area. Workflow constraints must be turned into navigation constraints among the pages of the activities and into data queries on the workflow meta-data for checking the status of the process, thus ensuring that the data shown by the application and user navigation respect the constraints described by the process specification. The description of how the precedence and synchronization constraints between the activities can be expressed in the hypertext model is specified in Brambilla et al. (2003), which describes the mapping between each workflow pattern and the corresponding hypertext.

A flexible transformation, depending on several tuning and style parameters, has been included in the methodology for transforming workflow models into skeletons of WebML hypertext diagrams.

The produced WebML model consists of an application data model, workflow meta-data, and hypertext diagrams. The transformation supports all the main WfMC precedence constraints, which include sequences of activities, AND-, OR-, XOR- splits and joins, and basic loops.

Since no semantics is implied by the activity descriptions, the generated skeleton can only implement the empty structure of each activity and the hypertext and data queries that are needed for enforcing the workflow constraints. The designer remains in charge of implementing the interface and business logic of each activity. Additionally, it is possible to annotate the activities with a set of predefined labels (e.g., create, update, delete, browse), thus allowing the transformer tool to map the activity to a coarse hypertext that implements the specified behavior.

Once the transformation has been accomplished, the result can be edited with WebRatio (WebModels, 2006), thus allowing the designer to refine the generated hypertext and to implement the internal behaviour of each activity.

### 9.4.2.6 Workflow-Based Hypertext Example

Figure 9.14 shows the hypertext diagram for the **Personal Data Submission** activity, which is part of the example process depicted in Figure 9.12. Notice that the shown implementation is the final result of the two steps of automatic hypertext skeleton generation and of hypertext refinement by the designer. The link marked with the “…” label may come from any hypertext fragment in the site view.
Before starting the activity, a condition is checked for verifying that the **Company data submission activity** is not started yet, since it is defined as mutually exclusive with respect to the **Personal Data Submission** activity (a corresponding XOR-split decision gateway is shown in Figure 9.14). Hence, the condition to be checked before starting **Personal Data Submission** is that the instance of **Company data submission** activity within the current case has a status not yet **Active**. Notice that we assume an ordered set of possible values for the status (**Created** < **Inactive** < **Active** < **Suspended** < **Resumed** < **Completed**), and at most one instance of the activity **Company data submission** exists within a case, because of the construction rules of the instances of the workflow. Therefore, the condition extracts the activity of type **Company data submission** not yet started. If this instance exists, the **Start** link is followed and the **Personal Data Submission** activity is started (i.e., its status in the database is set to **Active**). The user submits his own information and the Modify unit updates the database, then the **Complete** link closes the activity and redirects the user to the home page.

![Diagram](image)

*Figure 9.14. Example of hypertext representing the Personal data submission activity.*

### 9.4.3 Context-Aware Web Applications

WebML has also been applied to the design of adaptive, context-aware Web applications (Ceri et al., 2003, 2006, 2007). The overall design process for context-aware applications follows the activity flow typically used for conventional Web applications. However, some new issues must be considered for modeling and exploiting the context at the data level and for modeling adaptive behaviors in the hypertext interface.
9.4.3.1 Modeling User and Context Data

During data design, the user and context requirements can be translated into three different subschemas complementing the application data (see Figure 9.15):

- The User subschema, which clusters data about users and their access rights to application data. In particular, the entity User provides a basic profile of the application’s users, the entity Group allows access rights for a group of users to be managed, and the entity SiteView allows users (and user groups) to be associated with specific hypertexts. In the case of adaptive context-aware applications, users may require different interaction and navigation structures, according to the varying properties of the context.

- The Personalization subschema, which consists of entities from the application data associated with the User entity by means of relationships expressing user preferences for some entity instances, or the user’s ownership of some entity instances. For example, the relationship between the entities User and UserComment in Figure 9.15 enables the selection and the presentation to the user of the comments she has posted. The relationship between the entities User and Movie represents the preferences of the user for specific movies. The role of this subschema is to support the customization of contents and services, which is one relevant facet of adaptive Web applications.

![Figure 9.15. Three subschemas representing context data.](image-url)
• The *Context subschema*, including entities such as **Device**, **Location**, and **Activity**, which describe context properties relevant for providing adaptivity. Context entities are connected to the entity **User** to associate each user with his (personal) context.

### 9.4.3.2 Identifying Context-Aware Pages

During hypertext design, adaptive requirements are considered to augment the application’s front end with reactive capabilities. As illustrated in Figure 9.16, context-awareness in WebML can be associated with selected pages, and not necessarily with the whole application. Location-aware applications, for example, adapt “core” contents to the position of a user, but typical “access pages” (including links to the main application areas) might not be affected by the context of use.

We therefore tag adaptive pages with a *C* label (standing for “Context-aware”) to distinguish them from conventional pages. This label indicates that some adaptivity actions must be associated with the page. During application execution, such actions must be evaluated prior to the computation of the page, since they can serve to customize the page content or to modify the navigation flow defined in the model.

![Context-Aware Pages Diagram](image)

*Figure 9.16. Hypertext schema highlighting context-aware pages. Context-aware pages are labeled with a “C” and are associated with a context cloud.*

As shown in Figure 9.16, adaptivity actions are clustered within a *context cloud*. The cloud is external to the page, and the adaptivity actions that it clusters are kept separate from the page specification. Such a notation highlights the different roles played by pages and context clouds: The former
act as providers of content and services, the latter act as modifiers of such content and services.

In order to monitor the state of the context and execute adaptivity actions, C-pages must be provided with autonomous intervention capabilities. The standard HTTP protocol underlying most of today’s Web applications implements a strict pull paradigm. In the absence of a proper push mechanism, reactive capabilities can therefore be achieved by periodically refreshing the viewed page and by triggering the execution of adaptivity actions before the computation of the page content. This polling mechanism “simulates” the active behavior necessary for making pages sensitive to the context changes.

### 9.4.3.3 Specifying Adaptivity Actions in Context Clouds

Context clouds contain adaptivity actions expressed as sequences of WebML operations and are associated with a page by means of a directed arrow, i.e., a link, exiting the C label. This link ensures communication between the page logic and the cloud logic, since it can transport parameters derived from the content of the page, useful for computing the actions specified within the cloud. Vice versa, a link from the cloud to the page can transport parameters computed by the adaptivity actions, which might affect the page contents with respect to a new context.

The specification of adaptivity actions relies both on the use of the standard WebML primitives and on a few novel constructs, related to the acquisition and use of context data:

1. **Acquisition and management of context data.** This may consist of the retrieval of context data from the context model stored within the data source, or of the acquisition of fresh context data provided by device- or client-side-generated URL parameters, which are then stored in the application data source. These are the first actions executed every time a C-page is accessed, for gathering an updated picture of the current context.

2. **Condition evaluation.** The execution of some adaptivity actions may depend on some conditions, e.g., evaluating whether the context has changed and hence triggering some adaptivity actions.

3. **Page content adaptivity.** Parameters produced by context data acquisition actions and by condition evaluation can be used for page computation. They are sent back to the page by means of a link exiting the context cloud and going to the page. The result is the display of a page where the content is adapted to the current context.

4. **Navigation adaptivity.** The effect of executing the adaptivity actions within the context cloud can be the redirection to a different page. The
specification of context-triggered navigation just requires a link exiting the context cloud to be connected to pages other than the cloud’s source page.

5. **Adaptivity of the hypertext structure.** To deal with coarse-grained adaptivity requirements, e.g., the change of device, role, or activity, the adaptivity actions may lead to the redirection toward a completely different site view.

6. **Adaptivity of presentation properties.** To support finer-grained adjustments of the interface, the adaptivity actions may induce the runtime modification of the presentation properties (look and feel, content position and visibility, and so on).

![Figure 9.17. The WebML specification of adaptivity actions providing users with context-aware information about cinemas.](image)

Figure 9.17 illustrates an example of adaptivity actions, applied to the **Current Area Cinemas** page. Upon page access, some adaptivity actions in the cloud are executed, which may change the content of the page based on the geographical position of the user. Specifically, the user’s **Latitude** and **Longitude** are retrieved by the **Get Longitude** and **Get Latitude** units, which are examples of the **GetClientParameter** operation unit, introduced in WebML to access context data sensed at the client side. In the example, the two parameters **Longitude** and **Latitude** represent the position coordinates sensed through a user’s device equipped with a GPS module. The retrieved position values are used by the **Get Location** unit to identify a (possible)
location stored in the database for the current user’s position. Get Location
is a Get Data unit, a content unit for retrieving values (both scalars and sets)
from an entity of the data model without displaying them on a page. The
location OID is evaluated through an If unit: If it is not null (i.e., the sensed
coordinates fall into a location stored in the application data source), the list
of cinemas in that location is visualized in the Current Area Cinemas page;
otherwise, the user is automatically redirected to the Alert page, where a
message notifies of the absence of information about cinemas in the current
area.

Figure 9.17 also models the Alert page as context-aware; in particular,
this page shares its adaptivity actions with the Current Area Cinemas page.
Therefore, as soon as an automatic refresh of the Alert page occurs, the
shared actions are newly triggered and the application is adapted to the
user’s new position.

More details on the WebML extensions for adaptivity and context-
awareness and on their implementation in WebRatio can be found in Ceri

9.5 INDUSTRIAL EXPERIENCE

We conclude the illustration of WebML with an overview of the most
significant aspects of transferring model-driven development to industrial
users. The reported activities are based on WebML and WebRatio, but we
deam that the achieved results demonstrate the effectiveness and economic
sustainability of MDD in a more general sense. As a case study, we focus on
the applications developed by Acer EMEA, the Europe, Middle East, and
South Africa branch of Acer, for which five years of experience and data are
available. In particular, we will review some of the realized projects,
highlighting their functional and nonfunctional requirements, their
dimensional parameters, and the key aspects of their development,
deployment, evolution, and economic evaluation. The experience started
with the first version of the Acer-Euro application (http://www.acer-
euro.com), which aimed at establishing a software infrastructure for
managing and Web-deploying the marketing and communication content of
an initial group of 14 countries out of the 31 European Acer national
subsidiaries. The content of Acer-Euro 1.0 included the following main
areas: About Acer, Products, News, Service & Support, Partner Area, and
Where to buy.
Figure 9.18. The WebML specification of the home page of a national site of Acer-Euro (left) and its rendition in HTML (right).

Figure 9.18 shows the home page of a national site of Acer-Euro (left) and its rendition in HTML generated by WebRatio. The Acer-Euro 1.0 system supported two main functions:

1. **Content publishing**: comprising the architecture, tools, and processes to make content about the Acer European Web sites available on the Web to the users of the target countries.
2. **Content management**: comprising the architecture, tools, and processes needed to gather, store, update, and distribute to the destination countries the content related to the Acer European Web sites.

Figure 9.19 shows the schedule and milestones of the Acer-Euro 1.0 project. Only 7 weeks elapsed from the approval of the new site map and visual identity to the publishing of the 14 national Web sites and to the delivery of the CMS to Acer employees. In this period, two distinct prototypes were formally approved by the management: Prototype 1, with 50% of functionality, was delivered at the end of week 2; prototype 2, with 90% of functionality, at week 5. Overall, nine prototypes were constructed in six weeks: two formal, seven for internal assessment.

The development team consisted of four persons: one business expert and one junior developer from Acer, and one analyst and one Java developer from Politecnico di Milano.
Figure 9.19. The schedule and milestones of the Acer-Euro 1.0 project.

Figure 9.19 shows the most relevant figures of the project: only six weeks of development plus one week of testing were sufficient for analyzing, designing, implementing, verifying, documenting, and deploying a set of midsized, functionally complex, multilingual Web applications. As illustrated by the dimensional and economic parameters reported in Table 9.6, such result has to be ascribed to

1. The high degree of automation brought to the process by the use of the model-driven approach: More than 90% of the application and database code were synthesized automatically by the WebRatio development environment from the WebML models of the applications, without the need to manually intervene on the produced code.
2. The overall productivity of the development process: The productivity value is obtained by counting the number of function points (FPs) of the project and dividing this value by the number of staff-months
employed in the development. The result is an average productivity rate of 131.5 FP/staff month, which is 30% greater than the maximum value expected for traditional programming languages in the Software Productivity Research Tables (SPR, 2006). This latter result is a consequence of the former: High automation implies a substantial reduction of the manually written repetitive code and a high reuse of design patterns.

Table 9.6 Main Dimensional and Economic Parameters of the Acer-Euro Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time &amp; effort</td>
<td>Number of elapsed workdays</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of development staff-months (analysts and developers)</td>
<td>6 staff-months (6 weeks × 4 persons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of prototypes</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average elapsed man days between consecutive prototypes</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average number of development man days per prototype</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Number of localized B2C Web sites</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of localized CMS applications</td>
<td>4 (Admin, News, Product, Other)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of supported languages</td>
<td>12 for B2C Web sites, 5 for CMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of data entry masks</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of automatically generated database tables</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of automatically generated database views</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of automatically generated database queries</td>
<td>279 for extraction, 89 for update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of automatically generated JSP page templates</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of automatically generated or reused Java classes</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of automatically generated Java lines of code</td>
<td>12,500 Noncommented lines of code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of automation</td>
<td>Number of manually written SQL statements</td>
<td>17 (SQL constraints)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of automatically generated SQL code</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of manually written/adjusted Java classes /JSP templates</td>
<td>10% JSP templates manually adapted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of automatically generated Java and JSP code</td>
<td>90% JSP templates, 100% Java classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity</td>
<td>Number of function points</td>
<td>177 (B2C web site) + 612 (CMS) = 789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average number of FP delivered per staff-month</td>
<td>131.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another critical success factor has been the velocity in focusing the requirements, thanks to the rapid production of realistic prototypes. At the end of week 2, the top management could already evaluate an advanced
prototype, which incorporated 50% of the requested functionality, and this initial round of requirement validation proved essential to the delivery of a compliant solution in such a limited time. With respect to traditional prototyping, which exploits a simplified architecture, WebRatio generates code directly for the actual delivery platform; in this way, stress test and architecture tuning could already start at week 1 on the very first prototype, greatly improving the parallelism of work and further reducing time to market.

The benefits of MDD were manifested not only in the development of the first version, but were even more sensible in the maintenance and evolution phase. Figure 9.20 shows the timeline of the additional releases and spin-off projects of Acer-Euro. Four major releases of Acer-Euro were delivered between 2001 and 2006, and the number of applications grew from the initial 5 to 13 intranet and Internet applications, serving more corporate roles and supporting more sophisticated workflow rules.

![Figure 9.20. The evolution of the Acer-Euro project in five years.](image)

At the end of 2005, Acer-Euro was rolled out in 26 European countries and extended also to the Acer Pan-American subsidiaries, including Latin America and the United States. As early as June 2001, an extension of the Acer-Euro platform was scheduled, to address the delivery and management of content for the channel operators (Acer partners). This spin-off project, called Acer Connect, is a multi-actor extranet application targeted to Acer partners, characterized by the following features:

1. the segmentation of the users accessing the site into a hierarchy of groups corresponding to both Acer’s and partners’ business functions
2. the definition of different access privileges and information visibility levels to groups
3. the provision of an Acer European administration role, able to
dynamically perform via the Web all administrative and monitoring tasks
4. the provision of an arbitrary number of nation-based and partner-based
administration roles, with responsibility for local content creation and
publishing, and local user administration
5. a number of group-tailored Web applications (e.g., sales, marketing)
targeting content to corporate-specific or partner-specific user
communities
6. the management of administrative and business functions in multiple
languages flexibly set by administrators and users
7. a security model storing group and individual access rights into a
centrally managed database, to enforce global control over a largely
distributed application
8. content personalization based on group-specific or user-specific
characteristics, for ensuring one-to-one relationships with partners
9. advanced communication and monitoring functions for the effective
tracking of partners’ activity and of Acer’s quality of services

The first version of Acer Connect was deployed in Italy and the UK in
December 2001, after only seven months of development and with an effort
of 24 staff-months. Today, Acer Connect is rolled out in 25 countries and
hosts 65,000 registered partners, delivering content and services to a
community of over 80,000 users. Acer Connect and Acer-Euro share part of
the marketing and communication content, and therefore the former project
was realized as an evolution of the latter; starting from the data model of
Acer-Euro, the specific functions of Acer Connect were added, and new
applications were modeled and automatically generated. The model-driven
approach greatly reduced the complexity of integration, because the high-
level models of the two systems were an effective tool for reasoning about
the functionality to reuse and develop.

Besides Acer Connect, several other projects were spun off, to exploit the
customer and partner communities gathered around these two portals. Figure
9.21 overviews the delivered B2C projects, which collectively total over
10,800,000 visits per month.

As a remark on the long-term sustainability of MDD, we note that,
despite their complexity and multinational reach, both Acer-Euro and Acer
Connect are maintained and evolved by one junior developer each, working
on the project at part time. In total, only 5 junior developers, allocated to the
projects at part time, maintain the 56 mission-critical Web applications
implemented by Acer with WebML.
On the negative side of MDD, the initial training and switching costs have been reported as the most relevant barrier. MDD requires nontechnical knowledge on the modeling of software solutions, which must be acquired with a mix of conventional and on-the-job training. Furthermore, developers have their own previous consolidated skills and professional history, and switching to a completely new development paradigm is felt to be a potential risk. Acer estimates that it takes developers from 4 to 6 months to become fully acquainted and productive with MDD, WebML, and WebRatio. However, Acer’s figures demonstrate that the initial investment in human capital required by MDD pays off in the mid-term. The number of applications developed and maintained per unit of development personnel increases with the developers’ expertise and exceeds 10 fully operational, complex, and distributed Web applications per developer.

### 9.6 CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this chapter we have described the Web Modeling Language, a conceptual notation for specifying the design of complex, distributed, multi-actor, and adaptive applications deployed on the Web and on service-oriented architectures using Web services. WebML was born in academia but soon spun off to the industrial battlefield, where it faced the development of complex systems with requirements often exceeding the expressive power of the language. This fruitful interplay of academic design and industrial experience made the language evolve from a closed notation for data-centric
Web applications to an open and extensible framework for generalized component-based development. The core capability of WebML is expressing application interfaces as a network of collaborating components, which sit on top of the core business objects. WebML incorporates a number of built-in, off-the-shelf components for data-centric, process-centric, and Web service-centric applications and lets developers define their own components, by wrapping existing software artifacts and reverse-engineering them. In other words, the essence of WebML boils down to a standard way of describing components, their interconnection and passage of parameters, their exposition in a user interface, and the rules for generating code from their platform-independent model.

This flexibility allowed several extensions of the language, in the direction of covering both new application requirements and deployment architectures. The ongoing work is pursuing a number of complementary objectives:

1. Extending the model-driven approach to all the phases of the application life cycle: WebML is being used as a vehicle to investigate the impact of MDD on development activities like business requirement elicitation and reengineering, cost and effort estimation, testing, quality evaluation, and maintenance.
2. Extending the capability of the user interface beyond classical hypertexts: The expressive power of WebML is presently inadequate to express Rich Internet Applications and classical client-server applications; research is ongoing to identify the minimal set of concepts needed to capture the Web interfaces of the future.
3. Broadening the range of deployment platforms: WebML and WebRatio are being extended to target code generation for nonconventional infrastructures. A version of WebRatio for digital television has been already built, and experimentation is ongoing for deploying applications on top of embedded systems and mobile appliances for the DVB-H standard.
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