APPENDIX 5

LITERARY THEORY AND CRITICAL PERSPECTIVES

Literature is what gets taught.
— Roland Barthes

In the opening pages of his book Literary Theory, the contemporary English critic Terry Eagleton wrote that "hostility to theory usually means an opposition to other people's theories and an oblivion of one's own." You may feel that literary theory is formidable, but as you begin to explore the various commentaries by short story writers and critics in this anthology, you will discover that they make available many different approaches to the study of literature. In the Commentaries section, for example, Sandra M. Gilbert and Susan Gubar give a feminist reading of Charlotte Perkins Gilman's "The Yellow Wallpaper" (p. 1458) and J. Hillis Miller develops a deconstructive reading of Herman Melville's "Bartleby, the Scrivener" (p. 1503), to list only two selections in the anthology. Reading different critical interpretations may also make you become more aware of the assumptions that underlie your own thoughts about literature.

Literary theory is the term used in academic criticism to characterize particular methods of inquiry into the nature and value of literature. Formulating general critical principles — rather than analyzing particular literary texts — is the job of theorists like Terry Eagleton, whereas your assignment is usually to analyze a specific story, poem, or play. The following critical approaches to literary texts are the ones you will encounter most frequently in your reading of the commentaries, or secondary sources. They offer some useful perspectives to consider in your critical thinking and writing about literature.

FORMALIST CRITICISM

Formalist criticism is probably the most basic approach to the analysis of literature. Along with other theoretical perspectives, critics often use it to develop their interpretation of literary texts. René Wellek and Austin Warren,
the pioneering practitioners of formalism in the United States, wrote in their *Theory of Literature* (1942) that "the natural and sensible starting point for work in literary scholarship is the interpretation and analysis of the works of literature themselves."

Formalists regard a work of literature as a world in itself that can be understood by its intrinsic nature — focusing on form over content. A pure formalist would approve of the chapter on the elements of fiction, but he or she would not include headnotes about the authors, considering facts about the author's life and historical times irrelevant to the appreciation of a text. Instead, a formalist would concentrate on analyzing how the various elements of a literary work are integrated into the complex and unique structure of a self-contained aesthetic work.

For example, the academic critic Cleanth Brooks Jr. and the poet Robert Penn Warren give a formalist analysis of Poe's "The Fall of the House of Usher" on page 1668. Their discussion was included in *Understanding Fiction* (1943), a college textbook that introduced generations of teachers and students to the formalist techniques of what was then called "New Criticism" based on a close reading of the text. In Brooks and Warren's discussion of Poe's tale, they looked for the presence of irony in the text. Their critical approach worked best when explicating the complexity of an author's linguistic and cultural erudition.

**Biographical Criticism**

In "The Formalist Critic," Cleanth Brooks Jr. stated that

the formalist critic is concerned primarily with the work itself. Speculation on the mental processes of the author takes the critic away from the work into biography and psychology. There is no reason, of course, why he should not turn away into biography and psychology. Such explorations are very much worth making. But they should not be confused with an account of the work. Such studies describe the process of composition, not the structure of the thing composed. . . .

Unlike formalist criticism, *biographical criticism* starts with the premise that stories, poems, and plays are written by human beings, and that important facts about the life of an author can shed light on literary texts. Usually this kind of critical approach develops the thesis of an essay by suggesting the connection of *cause and effect*. That is, you maintain that the imaginative world of the text has characteristics that originate from causes or sources in the author's background.

A biographical approach to literature requires at least as much care as formalist criticism — care in presenting only the relevant facts of an author's life and in using a sensitive interpretation of them to show clear connections between the writer's experience or personality and the work. Richard Ellman gives a biographical perspective on James Joyce's story "The Dead" on page 1443 of the Commentaries section, analyzing how the creative process functioned in an author's life.
PSYCHOLOGICAL CRITICISM

Psychological criticism is indebted to modern psychology, which began with the psychoanalytic theories of its founder, the Austrian psychoanalyst Sigmund Freud (1856–1939). Freud wrote that he learned nearly as much about psychology from reading authors such as Sophocles and Shakespeare as he did from his clinical work with his patients in Vienna as an analyst and physician. Freud’s writing about psychology, along with books by his disciples including Carl Jung, Marie Bonaparte, and Bruno Bettelheim, modified our understanding of human behavior, introducing such concepts as the unconscious forces of the id and the superego active within every individual.

Three approaches are most often taken by critics interested in exploring the psychological aspect of literature. First is the investigation of the creative process and the nature of literary genius. This field of investigation can also include other forms of genius — musical, mathematical, and so forth. The second is the study of an individual writer (or artist or musician or scientist), particularly appropriate if the individual was deeply involved in psychological therapy or analysis. The third is the analysis of fictional characters, which began with Freud’s study of the character of Oedipus when he analyzed Sophocles’ play in his book The Interpretation of Dreams (1900). Moving from literary analysis to psychological generalizations, Freud used literature to formulate universal theories about human psychology that have continued to influence our ideas for over a century.

In the Poe casebook, D. H. Lawrence’s approach in 1919 to his discussion of “The Fall of the House of Usher” and “The Cask of Amontillado” (p. 1663) is an early form of psychological criticism.

HISTORICAL CRITICISM

Historical criticism approaches a literary work through its historical context, the events that were occurring in the world during the time the author wrote a particular story, poem, or play. This method is often combined with the biographical approach, if the historical events contributed to the author’s thought process and resulted in the creation of a work of literature. Historical critics may also explain the meaning that the work had for its original readers, especially if the text includes words that had different connotations in the past.

The critic Sally Fitzgerald, who was a close friend of the writer Flannery O’Connor, takes a historical approach in her discussion of the 1953 short story “A Good Man Is Hard to Find” (p. 1641). During the years of their friendship, O’Connor sent Fitzgerald clippings of articles with “lurid headlines” from local newspapers of her hometown, Milledgeville, Georgia. Although O’Connor was merely trying to amuse Fitzgerald, the critic saved the clippings and used them in an article about O’Connor titled “Happy Endings,” published in Image in 1997. The newspaper clippings from the early 1950s included accounts of a prize-winning child singer “decked out in ribbons and tutu and sausage curls,” whose winning song was titled “A Good Man Is Hard to
Find," as well as a series of articles about a criminal "aloose" in the region who
totaled up a record of twenty-six kidnappings and ten car thefts, among other
high jinks, in what Fitzgerald described in her essay as "two fun-filled
weeks." The critic suggests how these documents may have influenced
O'Connor when she created the fictional character of The Misfit. Fitzgerald
concludes by using the newspaper articles to shed light on the theme of
redemption dramatized in the story.

**READER-RESPONSE CRITICISM**

For much of the twentieth century, the formalist approach to "close
reading" of stories, poems, and plays was the most popular method of analy-
sis in American college classrooms. After the turbulent social changes of the
1960s, literary critics in the United States became receptive to many new
approaches to the text. The critic Ross Murfin has summarized the reaction
against the "New Critical" practices:

> About 1970, the New Criticism came under attack by reader-
response critics (who believe that the meaning of a work is not in-
herent in its internal form but rather is cooperatively produced by
the reader and the text) and poststructuralists (who, following the
philosophy of Jacques Derrida, argue that texts are inevitably self-
contradictory and that we can find form in them only by ignoring or
suppressing conflicting details or elements). In retrospect it is clear
that, in their outspoken opposition to the New Criticism nonwith-
standing, the reader-response critics and poststructuralists of the
1970s were very much like their formalist predecessors in two impor-
tant respects: for the most part, they ignored the world beyond the text
and its reader, and, for the most part, they ignored the historical con-
texts within which literary works are written and read.

**Reader-response criticism** postulates that reading is as much a creative
act as the writing of a text, because both involve the play of imagination and
intelligence. Some reader-response critics even go so far as to say that a lit-
erary text has no existence outside of a reader's mind. Recognizing that dif-
ferent readers can find different meaning in works of literature, reader-response
critics also emphasize the fact that the same reader can, at different periods
of his or her life, find the experience of reading a book changes with maturity.
If you keep the notes you take on reading *Hamlet* as a college freshman, for
example, you will probably find that in twenty years or so, your interpreta-
tion of the play will change if you read it again or see a new production in the
theater.

On page 1555 of this anthology, the literary critic Cheryl B. Torsney gives
a reader-response interpretation of Alice Walker's "Everyday Use" based on
an experience at Parchman Farm, the Mississippi state penitentiary, meeting a
prisoner who was a skilled quilter. This encounter taught Torsney a great deal
about the life of many poverty-stricken southern blacks and caused her to
revise her reading of Walker's short story.
POSTSTRUCTURALIST AND
DECONSTRUCTIONIST CRITICISM

Poststructuralist and deconstructionist criticism are two modern approaches to critical theory that, like reader-response criticism, focus on the multiple, sometimes self-contradictory meanings that exist in a literary work—meanings that resist a final interpretation. Critics who practice these approaches believe in a basic logical syllogism:

A. Human language is fundamentally unstable, as its meaning is dependent on changing but omnipresent social and historical factors.
B. Literary texts are composed of human language.
C. Therefore, literary texts are fundamentally unstable. Q.E.D.

Arguing that the literary text is unstable, deconstructionist critics like the French authorities Roland Barthes and Michel Foucault have called for "the death of literature" and "the death of the author." In 1968 Barthes explained in his essay "The Death of the Author" that

Once the Author is removed, the claim to decipher a text becomes quite futile. To give a text an Author is to impose a limit on that text, to furnish it with a final signified, to close the writing. Such a conception suits criticism very well, the latter then allotting itself the important task of discovering the Author (or its hypostases: society, history, psyche, liberty) beneath the work: when the Author has been found, the text is "explained"—victory to the critic.... In the multiplicity of writing, everything is to be disentangled, nothing deciphered: the structure can be followed, "run" (like the thread of a stocking) at every point and at every level, but there is nothing beneath.... writing ceaselessly posits meaning ceaselessly to evaporate it, carrying out a systematic exemption of meaning.

While formalists find coherence in the different elements of a text, deconstructionists show how the author's language can be broken or "deconstructed" into irreconcilable meanings. Their efforts have influenced many contemporary critics, and their theories are worth investigating if you continue your study of literature in upper-division courses and graduate school.

You can sample their approach in the books listed at the end of this section, as well as in J. Hillis Miller's deconstructive reading of Herman Melville's "Bartleby, the Scrivener" on page 1503 of this anthology. Miller takes a mythological approach (analyzing versions of the Pygmalion myth) in his deconstruction of Melville's text. The critic illuminates how Melville "disables reading" any one particular interpretation into the story by selecting the lawyer, Bartleby's employer, as his narrator.

GENDER CRITICISM

Gender criticism emerged in the wake of the development of feminist criticism on American college campuses in the 1970s, gradually evolving into gender criticism with the inclusion of gay and lesbian critics. This branch of
critical theory is indebted to early works such as the French critic Simone de Beauvoir's *The Second Sex* (1949) as well as the American feminists Betty Friedan's *The Feminine Mystique* (1963) and Kate Millett's *Sexual Politics* (1970).

Gender critics are concerned with the gender and sexual orientation of both writers and readers of literature. They argue that our patriarchal culture is so imbued with assumptions of heterosexual male superiority that we must continuously correct the imbalance by identifying and analyzing its components and negative influences. Explaining how gender has influenced both an author's work and a reader's response to a literary text, this approach often contains aspects of reader-response criticism.

On page 1458 of this anthology you can read the feminist commentary of the eminent critics Sandra M. Gilbert and Susan Gubar on Charlotte Perkins Gilman’s “The Yellow Wallpaper.” This excerpt is taken from their book, *The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination* (1979). Gilbert and Gubar place the story within the context of what they call the “literature of confinement,” where a woman trapped by the patriarchal society attempts to free herself “through strategic re-definitions of self, art, and society.”

**CULTURAL CRITICISM**

Cultural criticism, like gender criticism, can be viewed as an important contemporary development in literary studies erected upon the sturdy but limited foundation of formalist practice. Cultural critics, including New Historicians, do not advocate any one particular approach to literary study. Frequently they participate in interdisciplinary approaches, combining more than one field of academic study due to their assumption that individual works of literature should be approached as part of a larger cultural context. For example, if you investigated the changes in 1960s popular music as reflected in Joyce Carol Oates’s “Where Are You Going, Where Have You Been?” (p. 977), incorporating musical history into your essay, you have been practicing cultural criticism.

As the poet X. J. Kennedy realizes,

> In theory, a cultural studies critic might employ any methodology. In practice, however, he or she will most often borrow concepts from deconstruction, Marxism analysis, gender criticism, race theory, and psychology. . . . Whereas traditional critical approaches often sought to demonstrate the unity of a literary work, cultural studies often seeks to portray social, political, and psychological conflicts it masks.

Leslie Marmon Silko uses the methodology of a cultural critic in her essay analyzing "Language and Literature from a Pueblo Indian Perspective" on page 1542 of this anthology.
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