

Risk Information in Decision-making

Thomas H. Hatfield, Dr.P.H.
Professor, Environmental Health

California State University,
Northridge, California, USA

Risk Analysis:

- v Risk Assessment
- v Risk Communication
- v Risk Management

Outrage Factors

- v Factor analysis of risk perceptions
- v Outrage factors: anger, priority, action
- v Relevance?

Outrage and Risk Assessment:

outrage



stress



illness

Outrage and Risk Communication:

outrage



doubt



conflict

Outrage and Risk Management:

outrage



perceptions



decisions

Strategy

- v outrage factors
- v recommendations
- v decision models

1. Coerced

v **Voluntary:**

home

v **Group:**

office

Strategy: give people a choice

2. Industrial

- v **Natural:**

farms

- v **Industrial:**

labs, manufacturing, offices

Strategy: avoid comparisons

3. Exotic

- v **Familiar:**

heat, cold stress

- v **Exotic:**

mycotoxins

Strategy: educate

4. Memorable

- v **Positive:**

homes, farms

- v **Negative:**

hospitals, prisons

Strategy: acknowledge

5. Dread

- v **Minor:**

previous positive experiences

- v **Major:**

previous negative experiences

Strategy: acknowledge

6. Catastrophic

- v **Chronic:**

 - one or two complaints

- v **Catastrophic:**

 - mass psychogenic illness

Strategy: use the same time frame

7. Unknowable

- v **Well known, understood:**
common microbial pathogens
- v **Uncertain:**
mycotoxins

Strategy: research

8. Outside control

- v **Individual controls:**

 - home heating and ventilation

- v **Outside control:**

 - office heating and ventilation

Strategy: empower

9. Unfair

- v **Less powerful groups:**
clerical staff
- v **More powerful groups:**
CEO's, Deans, etc.

Strategy: study distribution

10. Immoral

v **Moral:**

church

v **Immoral:**

slum landlords

Strategy: examine ethical models

11. Suspicious source

- v **Credible sources:**

T.V. stations, some scientists

- v **Suspicious sources:**

government, industry

Strategy: enlist credible sources

12. Unresponsive process

- v **Responsive process:**
established mechanisms
- v **Unresponsive process:**
ignoring complaints

Strategy: training

13. Vulnerable populations

- v **Powerful populations:**

wealthy populations

- v **Vulnerable populations:**

children

Strategy: acknowledge and address

14. Delayed effects

v **Acute:**

heat, cold

v **Delayed:**

sensitized responses

Strategy: prepare contingencies

15. Affects future generations

- v **Short term:**

acute toxins

- v **Long term:**

asbestos, mutagens

Strategy: educate

16. Identifiable victims

- v **Anonymous:**
unidentified victims
- v **Identifiable:**
identified victims

Strategy: search for victims

17. Not preventable

- v **Preventable:**

 - # of people allowed in buildings

- v **Not preventable:**

 - unknown agents

Strategy: stress risk reduction

18. Few benefits

- v **Benefits:**

production, research, teaching

- v **Few benefits:**

storage, unsuitable in other ways

Strategy: Cost-Benefit Analysis

19. Media attention

- v **Positive attention:**

HVAC systems

- v **Negative attention:**

suspected outbreaks

Strategy: study other outrage factors

20. Opportunity for collective action

- v **Individual:**
small facilities
- v **Collective:**
large complexes

Strategy: minimize collective opportunities

Implications for Environmental Health Professionals:

- v **When hazards are high,
cultivate outrage.**
- v **When hazards are low,
reduce outrage.**
- v **Provide training
throughout the organization.**

Decision Models:

- v Optimist's model
- v Pessimist's model
- v Minimization of Regret model
- v Expected Value model

Optimist's model:

- v Room A: 2 - 8 *
- v Room B: 3 - 7
- v Room C: 4 - 6

- v Therefore, select Room A

Pessimist's model:

- v Room A: 2 - 8
 - v Room B: 3 - 7
 - v Room C: 4 - 6 *
-
- v Therefore, select Room C

Minimization of Regret model:

	Range	Regret	
v Room A:	2 - 8	0 - 2	
v Room B:	3 - 7	1 - 1	*
v Room C:	4 - 6	2 - 0	

v Therefore, select Room B

Expected Value Model:

- v Room A: $2 - 8 = 5$
- v Room B: $3 - 7 = 5$
- v Room C: $4 - 6 = 5$

- v Therefore, all the options are of equal value.

Ethical systems:

- v Utilitarian: maximize
 $U_y + U_z$
- v Egalitarian: maximize the minimum of
 U_y, U_z
- v Elitist: maximize the maximum of
 U_y, U_z
- v Libertarian: increase both
 U_y, U_z

Economic Models

- v Willingness to pay
- v Equitable allocations
- v Human Capital
- v Environmentalism

Legal Models

- v Market incentives
- v Regulatory standards
- v Advisories
- v Technological controls