

**AVERAGE BEHAVIORS OF INVARIANT FACTORS IN
MORDELL-WEIL GROUPS OF CM ELLIPTIC CURVES
MODULO p**

KIM, SUNGJIN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
MATH SCIENCE BUILDING 6160
E-MAIL: 707107@GMAIL.COM
TEL: 213) 393-5507

ABSTRACT. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} and with complex multiplication by \mathcal{O}_K , the ring of integers in an imaginary quadratic field K . Let p be a prime of good reduction for E . It is known that $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has a structure

$$(1) \quad E(\mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d_p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/e_p\mathbb{Z}$$

with uniquely determined $d_p|e_p$. We give an asymptotic formula for the average order of e_p over primes $p \leq x$ of good reduction, with improved error term $O(x^2/\log^A x)$ for any positive number A , which previously $O(x^2/\log^{1/8} x)$ by [W]. Further, we obtain an upper bound estimate for the average of d_p , and a lower bound estimate conditionally on nonexistence of Siegel-zeros for Hecke L-functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , and p be a prime of good reduction. Denote by $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ the group of \mathbb{F}_p -rational points of E . It is known that $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has a structure

$$(2) \quad E(\mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d_p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/e_p\mathbb{Z}$$

with uniquely determined $d_p|e_p$. By Hasse's bound, we have

$$(3) \quad |E(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p + 1 - a_p$$

with $|a_p| < 2\sqrt{p}$. We fix some notation before stating results. Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} . Let $E[k]$ be the k -torsion points of the group $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Denote by $\mathbb{Q}(E[k])$ the k -th division field of E , which is obtained by adjoining the coordinates of $E[k]$ to \mathbb{Q} . Denote by n_k the field extension degree $[\mathbb{Q}(E[k]) : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let $\text{Li}(x)$ be the logarithmic integral defined by $\int_2^x \frac{1}{\log t} dt$. We use the notation $F = O(G)$ if $F(x) \leq CG(x)$ holds for sufficiently large x and a positive constant C .

Recently, T. Freiberg and P. Kurlberg [FK] started investigating the average order of e_p . They obtained that for any $x \geq 2$, there exists a constant $c_E \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} e_p = c_E \text{Li}(x^2) + O(x^{19/10}(\log x)^{6/5})$$

under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH), and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} e_p = c_E \text{Li}(x^2) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log^{1/8} x}\right) \right)$$

unconditionally when E has a complex multiplication (CM). Here, the implied constants depend at most on E , and the GRH is for the Dedekind zeta functions of the field extensions $\mathbb{Q}(E[k])$ over \mathbb{Q} . (In the summation, we take 0 in place of e_p when E has a bad reduction at p .) More recently, J.

Wu [W] improved their error terms in both cases

$$(6) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} e_p = c_E \text{Li}(x^2) + O(x^{11/6}(\log x)^{1/3})$$

under GRH, and

$$(7) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} e_p = c_E \text{Li}(x^2) + O(x^2/(\log x)^{9/8})$$

unconditionally when E has CM.

In this paper, we improve the unconditional error term in the CM case by using a number field analogue of the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem due to [H, Theorem 1]. Also, the result is uniform in the conductor of the elliptic curves under consideration.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} and with complex multiplication by \mathcal{O}_K , the ring of integers in an imaginary quadratic field K . Let N be the conductor of E . Let $A, B > 0$, and $N \leq (\log x)^A$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} e_p = c_E \text{Li}(x^2) + O_{A,B}(x^2/(\log x)^B)$$

where

$$c_E = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{dm=k} \frac{\mu(d)}{m}.$$

We are also interested in the average behavior of d_p . In [K, Corollary 5.33], E. Kowalski proposed several problems concerning the structure of Mordell-Weil groups of elliptic curve over finite fields, and obtained

$$(8) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} d_p \ll_E x \sqrt{\log x}$$

by applying the number field analogue of the Brun-Titchmarsh inequality (see [HL, Theorem 4]). (In the summation, we take 0 in place of d_p when E has a bad reduction at p .) In fact, this is true for any CM elliptic curve over any field containing its CM field. In this paper, we improve this upper bound.

Theorem 1.2. *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} and with complex multiplication by \mathcal{O}_K , the ring of integers in an imaginary quadratic field K . Let N be the conductor of E . Let $A > 0$, and $N \leq (\log x)^A$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} d_p \ll x \log \log x$$

where the implied constant depends at most on K and A .

Again, if we only consider a fixed CM elliptic curve over a field containing its CM field. The result is also uniform in the conductor of the elliptic curves under consideration.

Getting a lower bound for the average of d_p is much more difficult than getting an upper bound. Thus, we present those in a separate section. (see section 5.)

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the same notations $d_p, e_p, E[k]$, and $\mathbb{Q}(E[k])$ as in the previous section, and let $K(E[k])$ be the field extension obtained by adjoining coordinates of $E[k]$ to K . Here, K is an imaginary quadratic field otherwise stated, and \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of integers of K .

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} and with complex multiplication by the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K of an imaginary quadratic field K . Then for $k > 2$,*

$$\phi(k)^2 \ll n_k \ll k^2$$

where ϕ is the Euler's totient function, and the implied constants depend only on K .

Proof. One way is to use the Kronecker's Jugendtraum. The proof is outlined in [CM, page 611, Proposition 3.8]. Another way is to use Deuring's theorem on the Galois representation for the CM elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} . (see [Se, Section 4.5].) \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , and p be a prime of good reduction. Then*

$$k|d_p \Leftrightarrow p \text{ splits completely in } \mathbb{Q}(E[k]).$$

Proof. This is done by considering Galois theory on residue fields of the division fields $\mathbb{Q}(E[k])$ over \mathbb{Q} . The proof is given in detail in [M, page 159, Lemma 2]. \square

Let N be the conductor of E , and let

$$\pi_E(x; k) = \#\{p \leq x : p \nmid N, \text{ } p \text{ splits completely in } \mathbb{Q}(E[k])\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *For $2 \leq k \leq 2\sqrt{x}$, we have*

$$\pi_E(x; k) \ll \frac{x}{k^2}$$

where the implied constant is absolute.

Proof. The main idea is to identify each endomorphisms of a CM elliptic curve as a member of the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K of K . The proof is given in detail in [M, page 163, Lemma 5], and note that there are only nine possibilities for K . (as known as the class number 1 problem for imaginary quadratic fields, see [S].) \square

We state some results from the class field theory. For the proofs, see [AM, Lemma 2.6, 2.7].

Lemma 2.4. *If $k \geq 3$ then $\mathbb{Q}(E[k]) = K(E[k])$.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let E/\mathbb{Q} have CM by \mathcal{O}_K and $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Then there is an ideal \mathfrak{f} of \mathcal{O}_K and $t(k)$ ideal classes mod $k\mathfrak{f}$ with the following property:*

If \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K with $\mathfrak{p} \nmid k\mathfrak{f}$, then

\mathfrak{p} splits completely in $K(E[k]) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \sim \mathfrak{m}_1$, or \mathfrak{m}_2 , or \dots , or $\mathfrak{m}_{t(k)}$ mod $k\mathfrak{f}$.

Moreover

$$t(k)[K(E[k]) : K] = h(k\mathfrak{f}),$$

where

$$t(k) \leq c\phi(\mathfrak{f}) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{f}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p}) - 1}\right).$$

Here c is an absolute constant and $\phi(\mathfrak{f})$ is the number field analogue of the Euler function.

Denote by $\pi_K(x; \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{a}) = \#\{\mathfrak{p} : \text{prime ideal of } \mathcal{O}_K; N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x, \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \sim \mathfrak{a} \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}\}$. Let \mathfrak{q} be an integral ideal of K . Define a \mathfrak{q} -ideal class group by an abelian group of equivalence classes of ideals in the following relation:

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{b} \pmod{\mathfrak{q}},$$

if $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^{-1} = (\alpha)$, $\alpha \in K$, $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$, and α is totally positive. Let $\alpha, \beta \in K$. Denote by $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{* \mathfrak{q}}$ if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{q}) \leq v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha - \beta)$ for all primes \mathfrak{p} and $\alpha\beta^{-1}$ is totally positive. Then we can rewrite the equivalence relation \sim by

$$\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^{-1} \in P_K^{\mathfrak{q}} = \{(\alpha) : \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{* \mathfrak{q}}\}.$$

Denote by $h(\mathfrak{q})$ the number of the equivalence classes for this equivalence relation \sim . Denote by $T(\mathfrak{q})$ the cardinality of the image of the unit group $U(K)$ of K in $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{O}_K)^{\times}$. Thus, $T(\mathfrak{q}) \leq 6$ for imaginary quadratic fields, since there are at most 6 units in them. We will use the following is a number field analogue of the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem due to Huxley [H, Theorem 1].

Lemma 2.6. *For each positive constant B , there is a positive constant $C = C(B)$ such that*

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{q}) \leq Q} \max_{(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{q})=1} \max_{y \leq x} \frac{1}{T(\mathfrak{q})} \left| \pi_K(y; \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{a}) - \frac{Li(y)}{h(\mathfrak{q})} \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^B}$$

where $Q = x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-C}$. The implied constant depends only on B and on the field K .

We will also use a number field analogue of the Brun-Titchmarsh inequality due to J. Hinz and M. Lodemann [HL, Theorem 4].

Lemma 2.7. *Let \mathfrak{H} denote any of the $h(\mathfrak{q})$ elements of the group of ideal classes mod \mathfrak{q} in the narrow sense. If $1 \leq N\mathfrak{q} < X$, then*

$$\sum_{\substack{N\mathfrak{p} < X \\ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{H}}} 1 \leq 2 \frac{X}{h(\mathfrak{q}) \log \frac{X}{N\mathfrak{q}}} \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log 3 \frac{X}{N\mathfrak{q}}}{\log \frac{X}{N\mathfrak{q}}}\right) \right\}.$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. From now on, E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} that has CM by \mathcal{O}_K , where K is one of the nine imaginary quadratic fields with class number 1. Let N be the conductor of E .

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.1

By Hasse's bound, we have

$$(9) \quad \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} e_p = \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} \frac{p}{d_p} + O\left(\sum_{p \leq x} \sqrt{p}\right),$$

where the error term is $O(\sqrt{x} \sum_{n \leq x} 1) = O(x^{3/2})$. As done in both [FK] and [W], we use the following elementary identity

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{k} = \sum_{dm|k} \frac{\mu(d)}{m}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} \frac{p}{d_p} &= \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} p \sum_{dm|d_p} \frac{\mu(d)}{m} \\ &= \sum_{k \leq \sqrt{x}+1} \sum_{dm=k} \frac{\mu(d)}{m} \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N, k|d_p} p. \end{aligned}$$

We split the sum into two parts as in [W]:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{k \leq y} \sum_{dm=k} \frac{\mu(d)}{m} \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N, k|d_p} p, \\ S_2 &= \sum_{y < k \leq \sqrt{x}+1} \sum_{dm=k} \frac{\mu(d)}{m} \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N, k|d_p} p. \end{aligned}$$

Here y is a parameter satisfying $3 \leq y \leq 2\sqrt{x}$, and which will be chosen optimally later. We treat S_2 using trivial estimate

$$(11) \quad \left| \sum_{dm=k} \frac{\mu(d)}{m} \right| \leq 1$$

and Lemma 2.3, obtaining

$$(12) \quad |S_2| \ll \sum_{y < k \leq \sqrt{x}+1} x \cdot \frac{x}{k^2} \ll \frac{x^2}{y}.$$

Let $E_k(x)$ be defined by the relation $\pi_E(x; k) = \frac{\text{Li}(x)}{n_k} + E_k(x)$. Our goal for treating S_1 is making use of Lemma 2.6. First, we take care of the inner

sum by partial summation. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N, k | d_p} p &= \int_{2^-}^x t d\pi_E(t; k) \\ &= \frac{1}{n_k} \text{Li}(x^2) + O\left(x \max_{t \leq x} |E_k(t)|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we combine this with the trivial estimate (11) and Lemma 2.1, obtaining

(13)

$$S_1 = c_E \text{Li}(x^2) + O\left(x \max_{t \leq x} |E_2(t)|\right) + O\left(\frac{x^2}{y \log x} + \sum_{3 \leq k \leq y} x \max_{t \leq x} |E_k(t)|\right)$$

where

$$c_E = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{dm=k} \frac{\mu(d)}{m}.$$

The series defining c_E is convergent by (11) and Lemma 2.1, and positive due to [FK]. Here, Lemma 2.1 is used in bounding $\sum_{y < k} \frac{1}{n_k} \text{Li}(x^2)$.

Let \mathfrak{f} be a nonzero integral ideal of K which appears in Lemma 2.5. Let $\widetilde{\pi}_E(x; k) = \#\{\mathfrak{p} : N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x, \mathfrak{p} \nmid k\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{p} \text{ splits completely in } K(E[k])\}$. By Lemma 2.4 and [AM, (3.2)], we have

$$(14) \quad \pi_E(x; k) = \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\pi}_E(x; k) + O\left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{\log x}\right) + O(\log N) \text{ uniformly for } k \geq 3.$$

The factor $1/2$ comes from rational prime p which splits in K , and the first error term comes from counting rational primes $p \leq x$ of degree 2 in K , while the second error term comes from possible primes dividing N . For a detailed explanation, we refer to [AM, page 9]. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$(15) \quad \widetilde{\pi}_E(x; k) - \frac{\text{Li}(x)}{[K(E[k]) : K]} = \sum_{i=1}^{t(k)} \left(\pi_K(x, k\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{m}_i) - \frac{\text{Li}(x)}{h(k\mathfrak{f})} \right)$$

for a fixed nonzero integral ideal \mathfrak{f} of K . Again using Lemma 2.5 to bound $t(k)$ and applying Lemma 2.6 as in [AM, page 10],

(16)

$$\sum_{3 \leq k \leq \frac{x^{1/4}}{N(\mathfrak{f})(\log x)^{C/2}}} \max_{t \leq x} \left| \widetilde{\pi}_E(t; k) - \frac{\text{Li}(t)}{[K(E[k]) : K]} \right| \ll_{A,B} N \log N \frac{x}{(\log x)^{A+B+1}},$$

where $C = C(A, B)$ is the corresponding positive constant in Lemma 2.6 for the positive constant $A + B + 1$.

Note that $T(\mathfrak{q}) \leq 6$. Writing $\widetilde{E}_k(x) = \widetilde{\pi}_E(x; k) - \frac{\text{Li}(x)}{[K(E[k]) : K]}$, and using a

bound $\max_{t \leq x} |E_2(t)| \ll x / \log^B x$ (see [AM, Lemma 2.3]), we have
(17)

$$S_1 = c_E \text{Li}(x^2) + O_{A,B} \left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^B} \right) + O \left(\frac{x^2}{y \log x} + \sum_{3 \leq k \leq y} x \max_{t \leq x} |\widetilde{E}_k(t)| + \frac{x^{3/2} y \log N}{\log x} \right)$$

Now, taking $y = \frac{x^{1/4}}{N(\mathfrak{f})(\log x)^{C/2}}$, we obtain
(18)

$$S_1 = c_E \text{Li}(x^2) + O_{A,B} \left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^B} + x^{7/4} N(\mathfrak{f})(\log x)^{C/2-1} + \frac{x^2 N \log N}{(\log x)^{A+B+1}} + \frac{x^{7/4} \log N}{N(\mathfrak{f})(\log x)^{1+C/2}} \right).$$

Note that $N = N(\mathfrak{f})|d_K|$ as in [AM, AM, page 7, Remark 2.8], where d_K is the discriminant of K . Combining with the estimate of $|S_2|$ in (12), it follows that

$$(19) \quad \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} \frac{p}{d_p} = c_E \text{Li}(x^2) + O_{A,B} \left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^B} + \frac{x^2 N \log N}{(\log x)^{A+B+1}} + x^{7/4} N (\log x)^C \right).$$

Theorem 1.1 now follows.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let N be the conductor of a CM elliptic curve E satisfying $N \leq (\log x)^A$. We use the following elementary identity

$$k = \sum_{dm|k} m \mu(d).$$

We unfold the sum similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} d_p &= \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} \sum_{dm|d_p} m \mu(d) \\ &= \sum_{k \leq \sqrt{x}+1} \sum_{dm=k} m \mu(d) \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N, k|d_p} 1. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce a variable y and split the sum as shown in the proof of Theorem 1.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} d_p &= \pi_E(x; 2) + \sum_{3 \leq k \leq \sqrt{x}+1} \phi(k) \pi_E(x; k) \\ &\leq \frac{2x}{\log x} + \sum_{3 \leq k \leq y} \phi(k) \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\pi}_E(x; k) + \sum_{y < k \leq \sqrt{x}+1} \phi(k) \pi_E(x; k). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality in the last line is due to the primes \mathfrak{p} in K which lie above primes p in \mathbb{Q} that split completely in K . For each rational prime p that splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(E[k]) = K(E[k])$, corresponds to two primes $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}'$ in

K that lie above p . Let S_1, S_2 denote the second sum and the third term respectively:

$$S_1 = \sum_{3 \leq k \leq y} \phi(k) \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\pi}_E(x; k),$$

$$S_2 = \sum_{y < k \leq \sqrt{x}+1} \phi(k) \pi_E(x; k).$$

Now, we use Lemma 2.5, and 2.7 to give an upper bound for each $\widetilde{\pi}_E(x; k)$:

$$(20) \quad \widetilde{\pi}_E(x; k) \leq 2 \frac{t(k)x}{h(kf) \log \frac{x}{N(kf)}} \left\{ 1 + O \left(\frac{\log \log 3 \frac{x}{N(kf)}}{\log \frac{x}{N(kf)}} \right) \right\}.$$

Then we treat S_1 by (19), and S_2 by the trivial bound ($\pi_E(x; k) \ll \frac{x}{k^2}$) in Lemma 2.3. As a result, we obtain

$$S_1 \ll x \sum_{3 \leq k \leq y} \frac{\phi(k)}{n_k \log \frac{x}{k^2 N(f)}},$$

$$S_2 \ll x \sum_{y < k \leq \sqrt{x}+1} \phi(k) \frac{1}{k^2} \ll x \log \frac{\sqrt{x}}{y},$$

where the implied constants are absolute. We apply partial summation to S_1 with $\phi(k)^2 \ll n_k$, and $\sum_{k \leq t} \frac{1}{\phi(k)} = A_1 \log t + O(1)$. Note that, we have $3 \leq y \leq 2\sqrt{x}$. Let $M = N(f)$. We use $a_k = \frac{1}{\phi(k)}$, $A(t) = \sum_{k \leq t} a_k = A_1 \log t + O(1)$, and $f(t) = \frac{1}{\log \frac{x}{t^2 M}}$.

Thus

$$f'(t) = -\frac{1}{\log^2 \frac{x}{t^2 M}} \frac{1}{t^2 M} (-2) \frac{x}{M} t^{-3} = 2 \frac{1}{t \log^2 \frac{x}{t^2 M}}.$$

We also restrict y with $3 \leq \frac{x}{y^2 M}$. By the way, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\log \log \frac{x}{t^2 M} \right) = \frac{1}{\log \frac{x}{t^2 M}} \frac{1}{t^2 M} \frac{x}{M} (-2) t^{-3} = -2 \frac{1}{t \log \frac{x}{t^2 M}}.$$

This yields $\frac{f(t)}{t} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\log \log \frac{x}{t^2 M} \right)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{3 \leq k \leq y} \frac{1}{\phi(k) \log \frac{x}{k^2 M}} &= \sum_{3 \leq k \leq y} a_k f(k) = \int_{3-}^y f(t) dA(t) \\ &= A(t) f(t) \Big|_{3-}^y - \int_3^y A(t) f'(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} A_1 \left(\log \log \frac{x}{9M} - \log \log \frac{x}{y^2 M} \right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$(21) \quad S_1 \ll x \log \log \frac{x}{N(f)} \ll x \log \log x,$$

provided that $3 \leq \frac{x}{y^2 N(\mathfrak{f})}$.

Choosing $y = \sqrt{\frac{x}{3N(\mathfrak{f})}}$, it follows that

$$(22) \quad S_1 + S_2 \ll_A x \log \log x$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.2 now follows.

Note that the trivial bound in Theorem 1.2 given by Lemma 2.3 is $\ll x \log x$. The number field analogue of Brun-Titchmarsh inequality (Lemma 2.7) contributed to the saving.

5. LOWER BOUND RESULTS

Let E be a CM elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} with CM field K , and d_p , e_p as before. Recall that

$$(23) \quad \sum_{p \leq x, p \nmid N} d_p = \sum_{k \leq \sqrt{x}+1} \phi(k) \pi_E(x; k)$$

as in the previous section.

In [K], E. Kowalski gives the following unconditional result.

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d_p \gg_E \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x}.$$

A. T. Felix, and M. R. Murty (see [FM]) provided a detailed proof of a slightly stronger version than this,

$$\left(\sum_{p \leq x} d_p \right) / \left(\frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} \right) \rightarrow \infty$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. They also provided a result which is conditional on GRH for Dedekind zeta functions,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d_p \gg_E x.$$

E. Kowalski (see [K, Theorem 3.8]) provided an asymptotic formula for shorter range of k in the sum (23) conditionally on GRH,

$$\sum_{k \leq \frac{x^{1/4}}{\log x}} \phi(k) \pi_E(x; k) = cx + O_E \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right).$$

In this section, we derive a stronger lower bound than the unconditional result, but weaker than the GRH-conditional result, by assuming a weaker hypotheses than GRH. To this end, we use a classical zero-free region result for Hecke L-functions. (see [F])

Lemma 5.1. (*Fogels, 1962*) *Let K be a number field, χ be a Grossencharacter of K defined modulo its conductor \mathfrak{f} . Denote by $L(s, \chi)$ the associated L-function. Let $D = |\Delta| N \mathfrak{f} = D_0 > 1$ where Δ denotes the discriminant of*

the field, and $N\mathfrak{f}$ the norm of \mathfrak{f} . Then there is a positive constant c (which depends only on $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$) such that in the region

$$(24) \quad \sigma \geq 1 - \frac{c}{\log D(1 + |t|)} \geq \frac{3}{4} \quad (\sigma = \operatorname{Re} s, t = \operatorname{Im} s)$$

there is no zero of $L(s, \chi)$ in the case of a complex χ . For at most one real χ there may be in (24) a simple zero β of $L(s, \chi)$ (which we call Siegel-zero).

Here, we use the prime ideal theorem in the following form.

Lemma 5.2. *Let K be a number field, \mathfrak{m} be a nonzero integral ideal, and \mathfrak{a} be an integral ideal prime to \mathfrak{m} . Let*

$$\psi(x, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}) := \sum_{\substack{N\mathfrak{b} \leq x \\ \mathfrak{b} \sim \mathfrak{a} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}}} \Lambda(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Then

$$(25) \quad \psi(x, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}) = \frac{x}{h(\mathfrak{m})} - \frac{\overline{\chi_1}(\mathfrak{a})}{h(\mathfrak{m})} \frac{x^{\beta_1}}{\beta_1} + O\left(xe^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}\right)$$

for some positive constant c depending only on K . The term involving Siegel-zero only occurs if it exists.

A direct consequence of this lemma is as follows

$$(26) \quad \pi(x, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}) := \#\{N\mathfrak{p} \leq x \mid \mathfrak{p} \sim \mathfrak{a} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}\} = \frac{\operatorname{Li}(x)}{h(\mathfrak{m})} - \frac{\overline{\chi_1}(\mathfrak{a})}{h(\mathfrak{m})} \operatorname{Li}(x^{\beta_1}) + O\left(xe^{-c'\sqrt{\log x}}\right)$$

for some positive constants c, c' depending only on K . Here, χ_1 is a real character having a Siegel-zero β_1 , and the implied O -constant depends only on K . The term involving Siegel-zero only occurs if it exists.

Theorem 5.1. *Let E be a CM elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} with quadratic imaginary CM field K . Let χ be a Grossencharacter of K defined modulo its conductor \mathfrak{f} . Suppose that there is no zero of $L(s, \chi)$ in the region (24) (which we will abbreviate it as NSZC-nonexistence of Siegel-zero condition). Then*

$$(27) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} d_p \gg_E \frac{x}{\sqrt{\log x}}.$$

Proof. We begin with the same method as in [FM, page 23], and use (14), (15):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p \leq x} d_p &= \sum_{k \leq \sqrt{x+1}} \phi(k) \pi_E(x; k) \\
&\gg \sum_{3 \leq k \leq y} \phi(k) \left(\frac{\text{Li}(x)}{[K(E[k]) : K]} + \widetilde{\pi}_E(x; k) - \frac{\text{Li}(x)}{[K(E[k]) : K]} \right) + O\left(\frac{y^2 \sqrt{x}}{\log x}\right) \\
&\gg \frac{x \log y}{\log x} + \sum_{3 \leq k \leq y} \phi(k) \left(\widetilde{\pi}_E(x; k) - \frac{\text{Li}(x)}{[K(E[k]) : K]} \right) + O\left(\frac{y^2 \sqrt{x}}{\log x}\right) \\
&= \frac{x \log y}{\log x} + \sum_{3 \leq k \leq y} \phi(k) \sum_{i=1}^{t(k)} \left(\pi_K(x, kf, \mathbf{m}_i) - \frac{\text{Li}(x)}{h(kf)} \right) + O\left(\frac{y^2 \sqrt{x}}{\log x}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, an important point is that the O -term in Lemma 5.2 does not depend on \mathbf{m} .

Applying this to our lower bound, and using the bound of $t(k)$ (see Lemma 2.5), we deduce under NSZC,

$$(28) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} d_p \gg_E \frac{x \log y}{\log x} + O\left(xy^2 e^{-c' \sqrt{\log x}}\right) + O\left(\frac{y^2 \sqrt{x}}{\log x}\right).$$

Choosing $y = e^{c'' \sqrt{\log x}}$ where $2c'' < c'$, the lower bound becomes

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d_p \gg_E \frac{x}{\sqrt{\log x}} + O\left(x e^{(2c'' - c') \sqrt{\log x}}\right).$$

Theorem 5.1 now follows. \square

6. REMARKS

The author investigated a possibility of obtaining Theorem 5.1 unconditionally. However, Siegel-zero can occur for some modulus \mathfrak{q}_1 satisfying $N\mathfrak{q}_1 < \sqrt{x} + 1$ and multiples of \mathfrak{q}_1 . Although Siegel-zero only occur for sparse set of modulus, the set of exceptional modulus indeed consists of the modulus giving primitive characters. Thus, this was a barrier in removing NSZC.

Acknowledgements The author thanks William Duke for helpful comments and guidance. The author also thanks Tristan Freiberg and Jie Wu for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [AM] A. Akbary, K. Murty, *Cyclicity of CM Elliptic Curves Mod p* , Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 41 (1) (2010), 25-37
- [C] A. Cojocaru, *Cyclicity of CM Elliptic Curves Modulo p* , Transaction of Americal Mathematical Society, volume 355, number 7
- [CM] A. Cojocaru, M. R. Murty, *Cyclicity of elliptic curves modulo p and elliptic curve analogues of Linniks problem*, Math. Ann. 330, 601.625 (2004)

- [F] E. Fogels, *On the zeros of Hecke's L-functions I*, Acta Arithmetica VII, 87-106
- [FK] T. Freiberg, P. Kurlberg, *On the Average Exponent of Elliptic Curves Modulo p* , Int Math Res Notices 2013 : rns280v1-29
- [FM] A. T. Felix, M. R. Murty, *On the asymptotic nature of elliptic curves modulo p* , J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 28, No.3 (2013) 271-298
- [H] M. Huxley, *The Large Sieve Inequality for Algebraic Number Fields III*, J. London Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 233-240
- [HL] J. Hintz, M. Lodemann, *On Siegel Zeros of Hecke-Landau Zeta-Functions*, Monashefte für Mathematik, Springer-Verlag 1994
- [K] E. Kowalski, *Analytic problems for elliptic curves*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 21 (2006), 19-114.
- [M] R. Murty, *On Artin's Conjecture*, Journal of Number Theory, Vol 16, no.2, April 1983
- [S] H. Stark, *A complete determination of the complex quadratic fields of class number one*, Michigan Mathematics Journal (1967), 1-27.
- [Se] J-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques.*, Inventiones mathematicae volume 15; pp. 259 - 331
- [W] J. Wu, *The Average Exponent of Elliptic Curves Modulo p* , Journal of Number Theory, Volume 135, February 2014, 28-35