

## 11-2 Goodness of Fit Test

In This section we consider sample data consisting of observed frequency counts arranged in a single row or column (called a one-way frequency table). We will use a hypothesis test for the claim that the observed frequency counts agree with some claimed distribution, so that there is a *good fit* of the observed data with the claimed distribution.

A **goodness-of-fit test** is used to test the hypothesis that an observed frequency distribution fits (or conforms to) some claimed distribution.

$H_0$ : The random variable follows a particular distribution.

$H_1$ : The random variable does not follow the distribution specified in  $H_0$ .

Ex 1) Consider the observed frequencies and relative frequencies of browser preference from a survey of 200 Internet users.

Browser	Observed frequency	Relative frequency
Microsoft Internet Explorer	140	0.785
Firefox	40	0.15
Safari/other	20	0.065

The following model shows how the market shares are distributed in the null hypothesis:

$H_0$ :  $P_{\text{Ms IE}} = 0.785$  ,  $P_{\text{firefox}} = 0.15$ ,  $P_{\text{Safari/other}} = 0.065$

$H_1$ : The random variable does not follow the distribution specified in  $H_0$ .

## How a Goodness- of -Fit Test Works

The goodness -of -fit test is based on a comparison of the observed frequencies (actual data from the field) with the expected frequencies when  $H_0$  is true. That is, we compare what we actually see with what would expect to see if  $H_0$  were true. If the difference between the observed and expected frequencies is large, we reject  $H_0$ .

As usual, it comes down to how large a difference is large. The hypothesis we conduct to answer this question relies on  $\chi^2$  distribution.

## Performing the $\chi^2$ Goodness of Fit Test

The following conditions must be met:

- The data have been randomly selected.
- The sample data consist of frequency counts for each of the different categories.
- None of the expected frequencies is less than 1.
- For each category, the expected frequency is at least 5.

## Finding Expected Frequencies

The expected frequency for a category is the frequency that would occur if the data actually have the distribution that is being claimed. For the  $i^{\text{th}}$  category, the expected frequency is  $E_i = n \cdot p_i$ , where  $n$  represent the number of trials and  $p_i$  represents the population proportion for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  category.

If we assume that all expected frequencies are equal, then each expected frequency is  $E = n/k$ , where  $n$  is the total number of observations and  $k$  is the number of categories.

The  $\chi^2$  goodness of fit test may be performed using (a) the critical value, and (b) the p-value method.

**(a)  $\chi^2$  goodness of fit test. (Critical value method)**

Step 1: State the hypotheses and check the conditions.

The null hypothesis states that the qualitative random variable follows a particular distribution. The alternative hypothesis states that the random variable does not follow that distribution.

Step 2: Find the  $\chi^2$  critical value,  $\chi^2_{\text{critical}}$ , from table A-4 by using  $k - 1$  degrees of freedom, where  $k$  is the number of categories.

Note, Goodness-of-fit hypothesis are always right tailed.

And state the rejection rule.

Reject if  $\chi^2_{\text{data}} > \chi^2_{\text{critical}}$ .

Step 3: Find the test statistic  $\chi^2_{\text{data}}$ .

$$\chi^2_{\text{data}} = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

Where  $O_i$  = observed frequency, and  $E_i$  = expected frequency.

Step 4: State the conclusion and the interpretation.

**Ex 2)** Perform the hypothesis test shown in example 1, use 0.05 as a significance level.

$H_0$ :  $P_{\text{MS IE}} = 0.785$  ,  $P_{\text{firefox}} = 0.15$ ,  $P_{\text{Safari/other}} = 0.065$

$H_1$ : The random variable does not follow the distribution specified in  $H_0$ .

Browser	Observed freq	Relative freq
Category	$O_i$	$p_i$
<hr/>		
MS IE	140	0.785
Firefox	40	0.15
Safari/other	20	0.065

Interpretation: There is evidence that the random variable browser does not follow the distribution in  $H_0$ . In other words, there is evidence that the market shares for internet browsers have changed.

Note carefully what this conclusion says and what it doesn't say. The  $\chi^2$  goodness of fit test shows that there is evidence that the random variable does not follow the distribution specified in  $H_0$ . In particular, the conclusion does not state, for example, that Firefox's proportion is significantly greater.

**(b)  $\chi^2$  goodness of fit test: (p-value Method)**

Step 1: State the hypotheses and check the conditions.

Step 2: Find the test statistic  $\chi^2_{\text{data}}$ .

$$\chi^2_{\text{data}} = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

Where  $O_i$  = observed frequency, and  $E_i$  = expected frequency

Step 3: Find the p-value.

$$\text{p-value} = P(\chi^2 > \chi^2_{\text{data}})$$

Step 4: State the conclusion and the interpretation.

Ex (3) The following tables show figures on the market share of cable modem, DSL, and wireless broadband from a 2002 survey and a 2006 survey which was based on a random sample of 1000 home broadband users. Test whether the population proportions have changed since 2002, using the p-value method, and level of significance is 0.05.

2002 broadband adoption survey
--------------------------------

Cable modem	DSL	Wireless/other
67%	28%	5%

2006 broadband adoption survey
--------------------------------

Cable modem	DSL	Wireless/other
410	500	90

