











Wind Capacity (GW) 2008							
Germany	23.90		Portugal	2.86			
Spain		16.74 France		3.40			
USA	25.17		Netherlands	2.23			
India		9.59	Canada	2.37			
Denmark	3.16		Japan	1.88			
China Cou	Intries	12.21	Austria	0.99			
Italy ord	rank er for	3.74	Australia	1.49			
UK 2	006	3.29	Others	7.92			



Wind Advantages No atmospheric emissions that cause pollution or greenhouse gasses. No fuel costs

- One of the lowest-priced renewable energy technologies available today (4 to 6 cents per kilowatt-hour
- · Sites can coexist with on farms or ranches benefiting the economy in rural areas 10

Northridge

Wind Disadvantages

- Requires a higher initial investment than fossil-fueled generators.
- Wind is intermittent
 - not always available when electricity is needed
 - cannot be stored (unless batteries are used)
 - not all winds can be harnessed to meet the timing of electricity demands.

11

Northridge

Wind Disadvantages II · Good sites are often far from cities where the electricity is needed. Other uses for the land may be more highly valued than electricity generation. · Concern over the noise produced by the

- rotor blades, aesthetic (visual) impacts, and sometimes birds have been killed - Most problems greatly reduced by new
 - technology or by better siting of wind plants. 12

Northridge













20

Wind Turbine Components II

- Gear box: connects the low-speed (30-60 rpm) shaft to the high-speed (1200 to 1500 rpm) shaft required by generator
- Generator: produces 60-cycle AC electricity
- **Nacelle:** contains the gear box, lowand high-speed shafts, generator, controller, and brake

19

21

California State University Northridge

Wind Turbine Components III

- **Pitch:** Blades are pitched (turned) out of the wind when winds too high or too low to produce electricity
- **Rotor:** The blades and the hub together are called the rotor
- **Tower:** Towers are made from tubular steel
- Wind direction: "Upwind" turbines operate facing into the wind Northridge

Wind Turbine Components IV

- Wind direction: "Downwind" turbines operate facing away from the wind
- Wind vane: Measures wind direction and directs yaw drive to orient the turbine with respect to the wind
- Yaw drive: Required on upwind turbines to keep them facing into wind
- Yaw motor: Powers the yaw drive

California State University Northridge











Class	power/are	a(W/m²)	Speed(m/s)/(mph)					
	mim	max	min	max				
1	0	100	0	4.4/9.8				
2	100	150	4.4/9.8	5.1/11.5				
3	150	200	5.1/11.5	5.6/12.5				
4	200	250	5.6/12.5	6.0/13.4				
5	250	300	6.0/13.4	6.4/14.3				
6	300	400	6.4/14.3	7.0/15.7				
7	400	1000	7.0/15.7	9.4/21.1				

Effect of Wind Variations							
 Data for three locations below show same average wind speed (6.3m/s) but increasing power density (W/m²) resulting in increasing wind class 							
Culebra, Puerto Rico 6.3 220 4							
• Tiana Beach, New York 6.3 285 5							
• San Gorgonio, California 6.3 365 6							
 Consistent wind speeds provide more energy at same average speed 							
Northridge		28					

	Wind Classes (50 m)								
Cla	ass	power/are	ea(W/m ²)	Speed(m/s)/(mph)					
		min	max	min	max				
	1	0	200	0	5.6/12.5				
	2	200	300	5.6/12.5	6.4/14.3				
	3	300	400	6.4/14.3	7.0/15.7				
	4	400	500	7.0/15.7	7.5/16.8				
	5	500	600	7.5/16.8	8.0/17.9				
	6	600	800	8.0/17.9	8.8/19.7				
	7	800	2000	8.8/19.7	11.9/26.6				
Californi	a State Univer thridg	e			29				







Wind Power Efficiency• Incoming wind at 12 m/s has 3,046 kW• Rotor with d = 60 m and $c_p = 0.44$ produces 1,340 kW (1,297 kW to generator)• Generator produces 1,252 kW of which 1200 kW are delivered to transformer
- Rated $c_p = (1200 \text{ kW})/(3046 \text{ kW}) = 0.394$

- 1,176 kW delivered to grid from transformer
- Usual grid loss is about 8%
 Eric Hau, *Wind Turbines*, Springer, 2000
 Northridge
 ³³





• Define F(b) = P(x ≤ b) • Use P(a ≤ x ≤ b) from previous slide $P(a \le x \le b) = \int_{a}^{b} p(x)dx \implies F(b) = P(x \le b) = \int_{-\infty}^{b} p(x)dx$ • With this definition we can write $P(a \le x \le b) = \int_{a}^{b} p(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{b} p(x)dx - \int_{-\infty}^{a} p(x)dx = F(b) - F(a)$ • Use equations or tables for F(b) to find P



- For any pdf we define the mean, $\mu,$ and the variance σ^2 as follows

$$\mu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p(x) dx \qquad \sigma^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 p(x) dx$$

- This general expression uses the limits of $-\infty$ and ∞ for random variable, x
- Other upper and lower limits are substituted for specific distributions
 For wind speed pdfs lower limit is zero
 California State University

• Computational formula for variance

$$\sigma^{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^{2} p(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x^{2} - 2x\mu + \mu^{2}) p(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} p(x) dx - 2\mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xp(x) dx + \mu^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dx$$

$$mean \qquad \text{all } x \text{ is } 1$$

$$\sigma^{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} p(x) dx - 2\mu\mu + \mu^{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} p(x) dx - \mu^{2}$$
So the second second







What is $\Gamma(5/2)$?























Commercial Wind 2000

- DOE/EIA Renewable Energy 2000: Issues and Trends, Report
 - Data shown on slide after this not available in later reports
- **NEG/64**: NEG Micron Unipower 64 NM 1500C/64; rotor diameter = 64 m; rated power output = 1.5 MW.
- Ve/V66: Vestas/V66; rotor diameter = 66 m; rated power output = 1.65 MW.

55

59

Northridge

Commercial Wind 2000 II

- **NEG/48**: is a NEG Micron Multipower 48 NM 750/48; rotor diameter = 48.2 m; rated power output = 750 kW
- **Ve/V47** is a Vestas/V47; rotor diameter = 47 m; rated power output = 660 kW
- Zo/Z48 is a Zond/Z48; rotor diameter = 48 meters; rated power output = 750 kW
- Power/area data on next chart is in units of W/m²
 California state University
 Set Conversion

California State University Northridge

Mfg./	Area	Power (kW) at	Power/Area at		
Model	(m²)	11.6	17 m/s	11.6	17 m/s	
NEG/64	3,217	1,168	1,564	363	486	
Ve/V66	3,421	1,161	1,650	339	482	
NEG/48	1,824	610	745	334	408	
Ve/V47	1,735	569	660	328	380	
Zo/Z48	1,810	750	750	414	414	

<section-header> Wind Farm Data 2000 DOE/EIA report *Renewable Energy* 2000: Issues and Trends, Chart after next shows data on actual wind farm and wind farm designs. MW column shows the total capacity WW column is the maximum power output of the individual wind turbines Hub height is the elevation of the center of the rotor CF is the capacity factor.

Wind Farm Data 2000 II

- area represents the swept area of the rotors in square meters.
- Loc column shows locations
 - Loc A is in Denmark. Data shown are averages over different operiods. The capacity of the farm varied from 27.6 to 28.8 MW, hub heights from 40 to 70 m and swept areas from 1,452 to 2,810 m²
- Locs C and D are hypothetical wind farm models based on 1997 DOE projections
 Conformation State University Northridge

Wind Farm Capacity Factors

Loc	MW	Model	kW	H _{hub} m	Area	CF	
Α	28	Micon	600	55	1631	28.5%	
В	19	Vestas	500	40	1408	28.2%	
С	25	DOE97	500	40	1134	26.2%	
D	25	DOE97	500	40	1134	35.5%	
Е	80	Zond	750	63	1963	32%	
F	107	Zond	750	51	1810	28%	
Californi Nor	California State University 60						

62

Wind Energy R&D

- Variable speed generators improve generation over a range of wind speeds
- Gearless turbines that reduce the turbine operating costs
- Lighter tower structures
 - allowed because new turbines and generators reduce or better distribute stresses and strains

61

California State University Northridge

Wind Energy R&D II

- Smart controls and power electronics
 - enable remote operation and monitoring of wind turbines
 - enable remote corrective action in response to system operational problems.
- Turbine designs where power electronics are needed to maintain power quality also have benefited from a reduction in component costs

California State University Northridge

AWEA Economics

- 50 MW wind farm with class 4 winds
- Capital cost: \$65 million
- Annual power: 153 GWh (35% capacity)
- Annual gross: \$6.13 million (@ 4¢/kWh)
- Annual expenses: \$8.30 million
 - Expense for debt service (60% debt finance) at 9.5% for 15 years = \$4.98 million/year (60% of total expenses)

- Distribution costs: \$1.83 million/year

California scate (network) Northridge http://www.awea.org/pubs/factsheets/EconomicsOfWind-Feb2005.pdf

AWEA Economics II

- O&M costs: \$0.664 million/year
- Land costs: \$0.415 million/year
- Administration: \$0.415/year
- Annual Loss: \$2.17 million
- Producer tax credit (1.8¢/kWh): \$2.76 million
- Income after PTC: \$0.588 million
- Annual return on equity investment
 <u>11.2%</u> for 15 years

Northridge http://www.awea.org/pubs/factsheets/EconomicsOfWind-Feb2005.pdf

Residential Wind Turbines

- Installed in rural areas and outer suburban properties greater than 1 acre
- Installed cost \$6,000 to \$22,000
 - Rule of thumb: average wind speed ≥ 10 mph and electricity cost ≥ 10¢/kWh
 Payback period 6 to 15 years
- 80 to 120 ft tower required
- "Quieter than a washing machine"
- http://www.awea.org/faq/rsdntqa.html
 Northridge

Organizations American Wind Energy Association http://www.awea.org/ World Wind Energy Association http://www.wwindea.org/ National Renewable Energy Laboratory http://www.nrel.gov/wind/ DOE wind and hydro programs http://www1.eere.energy.gov/windandhydro/

Global Wind Energy Council
 - http://www.gwec.net/

Northridge







Sample Companies III

Siemens

- Locations worldwide
- http://www.powergeneration.siemens.com/
- 2.3 MW and 3.6 MW turbines for onshore and offshore applications
- Total installed capacity on web site on March 12, 2009 is 7,793 turbines with a total of 8.8 GW capacity

Northridge

71



- Main product is Liberty Wind Turbine
 - 2.5 MW with rotor diameters between 89 m and 99 m depending on wind class
 - Three blades, variable speed drive (9.6-15.5 rpm)
 - http://www.clipperwind.com/

California State University Northridge

Current Technology

- Turbines power range from just under 1 MW to 3-5 MW
- Larger rotor diameters used for larger peak power machines
- Typical rotor diameters on modern machines range from 60 to 90 m

California State University Northridge