Basic Principles: Academic Oversight of Self-Support Programs

1. All CSUN degree and credit certificate programs, whether offered using state or self-support funding, are CSUN programs and, as such, subject to all CSUN academic policies and standards governing CSUN degree and credit certificate programs. These policies and standards include the requirements for approvals, accreditation, academic oversight, assessment and periodic program review.

2. Self-support programs can set higher -- but never lower -- standards for admission and student academic performance. For example, since self-support programs are often designed to serve mid-career professionals, they may require additional years of work experience, a higher GPA, or a more robust personal statement as part of admission criteria. However, they will never have a standard lower than CSU and CSUN baseline criteria for undergraduate or graduate admissions standards.

3. Whether a self-support program is a single discipline (offered by a single department) or multidisciplinary (offered by a single college with participation from multiple departments within or across college lines), the department (through the chair or designee) is responsible for approving the course content and the instructor for any course carrying that department acronym and/or covering content from that department in a program acronym. For example, the College of Social and Behavioral Sciences is the academic home of CSUN’s Master of Public Administration (MPA) multi-disciplinary degree program. While all courses in CSUN’s Masters of Public Administration program carry the MPA acronym, each course is tied to a particular department within and outside of the College of Social and Behavioral Sciences, based on the course content. It is that department which approves the course syllabus (and any changes in it) and identifies and/or approves the instructor for that course.

4. Self-support degree or credit-certificate programs are developed by CSUN faculty selected to participate in program development by the department chair. This is true when the development shifts an existing CSUN program to the cohort format and integrates the curriculum more tightly, and also in the creation of an entirely new program.

5. CSUN self-support degree and credit certificate programs go through the same department, college, University and CSU-required approvals as required for a state-funded CSUN program. The same approvals are required for any program modification in a CSUN self-support program as are required for a CSUN state-support program. In addition to the academic oversight of the department for
course content and instructor selection, and the oversight of the CSUN approval processes, all CSUN self-support programs also have a department/college appointed faculty member who serves as the Academic Lead. For single discipline programs, the Academic Lead is nominated by the department chair and approved by the dean of the college. For multidisciplinary programs, the Academic Lead is a faculty member appointed by the dean of the college in which the program resides, in consultation with the department chair(s). See Attachment A, Basic Principles of the Role of Academic Lead below for details on the role of the Academic Lead.

6. CSUN’s self-support degree and credit certificate programs for the past decade have been developed using an established approach (see Attachment B, Basic Principles of Program Development for CSUN Academic Degree and Credit Certificate Programs below). The appointed Academic Lead and the faculty appointed and approved by the department(s) and college(s) participating in the development of the program are responsible for the academic cohesion and the academic integrity of the program. They work together in close collaboration to refine the program, establish learning goals, integrate the curriculum to create a cohesive learning experience at the program level, and develop instructional approaches.

7. Once a CSUN self-support program is developed and approved, the Academic Lead is responsible for regular oversight of the faculty teaching in the program, successful student progress and continuous improvement of the program. To facilitate continued program improvement, the full program development group will be periodically convened. The Tseng College will organize and host. The Academic Lead will lead the discussion of the reconvening of the full program development group. The frequency of these reconvening is described in the Basic Principles of Program Development for CSUN Academic Degree and Credit Certificate Programs. Because CSUN offers its self-support programs (with one exception) in the cohort format, the faculty for each program, working with the Academic Lead, must pay close attention to the learning community created, student achievement, the way one course builds on another, and how faculty in the program can work together to enhance the program and student success. This program level of academic oversight and regular review and improvement are in addition to regular department oversight of course content and approval of instructors, and in addition to the CSUN regular processes for approvals, periodic program review, WASC standards and processes, and any special accreditation that pertains to the program. For example, the self-support online CSUN Master’s Degree in Communication Disorders and Sciences is subject to the same special accreditation requirements and reviews as the CSUN on-campus state-support version of that degree program.
ATTACHMENT A

Basic Principles of the Role of Academic Lead

For each CSUN self-support degree and credit certificate program, there is an appointed academic lead. Each CSUN degree and credit certificate program the University chooses to offer using self-support funding is subject to all of the standard CSUN academic policies and practices. Each CSUN self-support degree and credit certificate program is offered by the relevant CSUN academic department (or college for multidisciplinary programs) and is under the full academic oversight of that department which applies to the self-support programs the same academic standards it would for any other program in the department/college. To further ensure that each self-support program receives focused academic attention, an academic lead is appointed. What follows are the basic principles guiding the administration of this role:

1. The dean of the college in which the program resides selects the academic lead for any program. The selection is discussed with the dean of The Tseng College. The agreed upon candidate is then appointed.

2. The appointed academic lead is accountable to the dean of the college in which the program resides for meeting the performance expectations and academic and ethical standards of the role. For day-to-day reporting the dean may designate the department chair for single discipline programs or the associated dean for multidisciplinary programs.

3. The dean of the college in which the program resides would confer as appropriate with the relevant department chair(s) before selecting an academic lead.

4. The academic lead should be a member of the college's faculty. Generally it would be a member of the faculty in the department in which the program resides for a single discipline program. For multidisciplinary programs it would generally be a member of the faculty from one of the departments represented in the program’s multidisciplinary curriculum.

5. Given the primary duties of the academic lead, the faculty member selected should have recognized achievements in instructional excellence. The academic lead should be a faculty member able to guide and mentor others as needed and engage faculty in the ongoing improvement of the instructional excellence of the program in question.

6. The academic lead serves for two years from the time of appointment. At that point, the term can be renewed or a new academic lead can be appointed. For renewal or reappointment, the process outlined in 1 above is followed.

7. If the academic lead fails to perform effectively in the role or violates any standards of academic integrity in the decisions made, the term of the academic
lead may be ended at once by the dean of the college in which the program resides.

8. The academic lead cannot assign themselves to teach in the program for which they serve as academic lead. If they are to teach in the program that assignment must be approved in writing by the chair of the department in which the course in question (the one the academic lead is to be assigned to teach) resides and dean of the college in which the program resides.

9. The primary responsibilities of the academic lead are to provide leadership for and facilitate academic oversight, instructional excellence, and continuous program excellence. One aspect of the academic lead’s responsibilities is to ensure that those assigned to teach in the program in question are prepared to teach successfully in the program. This includes introducing new instructors to the program and effective instructional strategies for midcareer students that are the focus of the program in question. This process is facilitated by the collaboratively designed Program Narrative, which documents and outlines program differentiators and distinctions that have an impact on teaching and learning for the program in question. The academic lead also uses the Program Narrative as an onboarding tool to introduce new instructors to the learning goals of the program overall, how one course builds on others in the program, and how the course in question fits in to the full program. The academic lead provides coaching and mentoring for all faculty teaching in the program in order to support instructional excellence and an optimal faculty/student experience.

10. The academic lead monitors the instructional excellence of the program and if assigned instructors are not meeting expected obligations (showing up on time to class and teaching for the full scheduled time, providing timely feedback to students on assignments, being active and engaged at the expected levels for any online courses, getting grades in on time, and the like), addresses problems as they arise. If necessary, the academic lead engages the chair for the department in which the program resides (or the dean for multidisciplinary programs) to address instructional problems that might put the reputation of the program and the academic achievements of the students at risk.

11. Once the program launches, The Tseng College works with the academic lead appointed for the program in question to reconvene the full program development group one year after a new program is launched. This will be a long (1/2 day or longer) meeting at which the faculty teaching in the program can discuss how the program is going in light of their original plan and vision for the program (as captured in the final program narrative). The program development group will consider how students are responding to the educational experience the program offers and whether or not students are succeeding in achieving the specified measurable student learning outcomes (based on how successfully students are completing key program assignments as well as other measures of student engagement and success). The group will also discuss what changes, if any,
should be made in the first part of the program prior to the start of the next scheduled cohort to enhance student achievement and/or enrich the educational experience the program offers. The program development group will discuss how those teaching in the remaining part of the program for the first cohort might anticipate the learning dynamic of the group and/or enhance or refine program components in light of the experience of those teaching in the first half of the program in question. The program development group for new programs will also meet at the end of the first cohort for a similar meeting to consider the experiences of those teaching in the program and make decisions about how the program and/or the instructional strategies should be refined or enhanced to make the program increasingly excellent. The dean of the partner academic college(s) and/or the relevant department chairs can join these reflective conversations as listeners (and information resources as needed) to enhance their understanding of the program and its strengths and distinctions. Thereafter the program development group for the program in question would be convened by The Tseng College in collaboration with the academic lead for the program in question once every 12 to 24 months (18 months being the standard with 12 to 18 months being recommended for programs still being refined as the first few cohorts of the program are offered – but, never to exceed 24 months between such review meetings). The academic lead would facilitate discussion and guide academic decision-making at these meetings focusing on continuous improvement of the excellence, distinction, and educational impact of the program in question. The faculty team that develops and teaches in each of CSUN’s degree and credit certificate programs offered by CSUN through self-support funding are the academic community that works collaboratively and regularly to ensure the continuous improvement of the program and its ongoing enrichment through the regular exchange of ideas, experience, and possibilities among program faculty. It should be noted that faculty are paid a modest honorarium for participating in such program enhancement meetings and doing so is part of the obligations of those who accept an assignment to teach in the program in question.

12. The academic lead is expected to align decisions that fall within the scope of the role with a focus on the academic quality of the program and the academic achievement of the students. Making decisions to advantage colleagues or the like may be grounds for the dean of the college in which the program resides to end the term of service in the role.

13. For single discipline programs, the academic lead works with the department chair to make instructional assignments. For multidisciplinary programs, instructors are recommended and then reviewed and approved by the chair of the department in which the course in question resides.

14. Each program’s curriculum is determined through standard CSUN approvals processes and in keeping with WASC and any special accreditation requirements. Any changes to the curriculum must be done through those same processes.
The college’s associate dean in which the program resides is responsible for leading any curriculum change process as with any other program modification process. The academic lead manages the offering of the program’s curriculum as it is approved. For multidisciplinary programs that reside at the college-level, the dean of the college in which the program resides can choose to have program modifications start with the college-level curriculum committee if that is the college’s standard practice or the dean can choose to appoint a committee of the chairs of the participating departments to act as a department level curriculum review committee when there is a program modification being proposed.

15. Each program is approved through CSUN standard academic approvals processes with admission requirements specified in keeping with CSUN and CSU policies and practices. The academic lead manages the program admission process in keeping with the approved admission standards for the program. No new standards can be added without going through the CSUN formal approval process. No exceptions can be made to the admission standards without the written approval of the dean (or the associate dean of the college if designated by the dean) in which the program resides and that permission would only be given in keeping with the academic standards and practices of CSUN and the college in question for all programs regardless of funding source.

16. The self-support program in question is determined to be appropriate for offering via CSUN self-support funding after market research and/or consultation with leaders in the relevant field(s) of practice; ongoing review of other programs serving the same target market; and ongoing review of national and international best practice models in advanced education for midcareer professionals. Not all CSUN programs are appropriate for self-support funding. The dean of CSUN’s Tseng College is responsible for determining whether or not a given program is appropriate for CSUN to offer via self-support funding. Changes in a program’s structure and delivery mode may change its viability for self-support funding. In that light, once a program with a particular curriculum and a particular structure and delivery mode (online, hybrid, with a stackable curriculum, in an intensive format, off site, etc.) has been approved for offering using self-support funding that curriculum and its approved structure and delivery mode cannot be altered except with the approval of the dean of The Tseng College and the dean of the college in which the program resides. The academic lead manages the program as it has been approved in the planning and development stage, including – both the curriculum content and its self-support structure and delivery mode.

17. Each CSUN program offered via self-support funding has an established minimum enrollment required to go forward with a particular offering of a cohort/program/course and a maximum enrollment for each cohort/program/course. The minimum and maximum for a given program are determined by financial viability for the minimum and academic viability for the maximum with attention to attrition rates for the program in question. The minimum and maximum are determined in advance of offering the program in
question and agreed upon by the dean of the college in which the program resides (in consultation with the relevant department/s) and the dean of The Tseng College. Any changes in the minimum and maximum for a program must be approved in advance by the dean of the college in which the program resides and the dean of The Tseng College.

18. The academic lead working with the department chair for single discipline programs and the college dean for multidisciplinary programs determines whether or not an admission committee should be formed to review applications for the program in question. The dean of the college (or the associate dean if the dean designates) and/or the department chair in which the program resides may choose to review some or all admission decisions for the program in question at any time to ensure compliance with the programs admission standards.

19. The academic lead works in close collaboration throughout the planning and offering of the cohort/program in question with the assigned administrative lead for the cohort/program in question.
ATTACHMENT B

Basic Principles of Program Development for CSUN Academic Degree and Credit Certificate Programs

1. The programs California State University Northridge (CSUN) offers through its Tseng College are focused on providing excellent and purposefully distinctive advanced professional education for midcareer professionals/working adults.

Excellence is defined in both terms of:

- each program’s insightful and forward focused connection to scholarship and contemporary practice in the field(s) in question as well as the program’s ability to foster advanced conceptual skills in program participants, and;
- student achievement - as measured by graduate rates, students' mastery of the measurable student learning goals for the program, and graduates of the program finding a viable career path in the field(s) in question.

Distinction is defined in terms of how the choices made in the crafting of the program create educational excellence in a thoughtful and innovative way, looking at aspects of program development such as:

- how thoughtfully the curriculum is developed by the faculty program development team and integrated from one course to the next to provide a comprehensive program of study focused on achieving the program’s learning goals;
- how carefully the program is crafted and instructional strategies chosen to ensure graduates have developed the advanced conceptual skills needed to be a reflective, innovative, and agile leader in the field(s) in question;
- how effectively the program engages students in acquiring the professional knowledge, skills, and leadership abilities needed to be an effective senior professional in the field(s) in question;
- how well the program is created to link scholarship in the field to the excellence in practice in the field and/or to the broader forefront conversations that are shaping the future of the field (preparing students to make meaningful contributions and to be life-long learners in the field growing in habits of mind, knowledge, and reflective practice across the career-span);
- how innovatively the program’s structure is purposefully designed to provide an exceptional educational experience focused on ensuring that students achieve measurable student learning outcomes.
2. Before a program reaches the program development stage, there will have been a number of idea exchanges among the deans of the academic partner college(s) in question and the dean of The Tseng College, conversations with relevant department chairs, and formal and/or informal market research done prior to consensus on whether or not a given degree or credit certificate program would be a valuable addition to the educational opportunities CSUN offers working adults.

3. Each program CSUN offers through its Tseng College is crafted with the focus on excellence and distinction as outlined above. Whether a program is already offered by CSUN on the campus or is entirely new, there is a careful program development process that ensures that the program is well crafted for the advanced professional preparation of midcareer professionals/working adults.

4. CSUN programs that are offered through its Tseng College are developed through a close collaboration among The Tseng College, the University’s academic colleges and departments that are the academic home of the fields/disciplines most directly relevant to the program in question, and leading representatives from the field(s) of professional practice in question. This ensures that CSUN programs offered through The Tseng College are carefully crafted to draw on the University’s academic strengths and distinction and are enriched through links to extended research and educational relationships that the University has with industry and practitioners in the field(s) in question. This allows CSUN programs offered through its Tseng College to respond effectively to the educational needs and learning modes of the fields of professional practice in question with particular emphasis on professional practice in emerging and changing urban contexts.

5. The program development process is focused at the level of the full program first, rather than at the level of individual courses, in order to promote program excellence and a cohesive integrated student experience.

6. After extensive exploration of the viability of developing the program in question, if a given program is approved for development, the program development process begins with a conversation between the dean of The Tseng College and the dean of the partner CSUN academic college(s). This conversation focuses on identifying the faculty members from the partner academic college(s) that should be involved in the program planning process. Those faculty members identified are those who are recognized as excellent in both their teaching abilities and their scholarship. They are also faculty members who are current in the field(s) in question and they understand the realities of practice in the professional field(s) in question (they are “in touch” with practice in the field today). It is also an advantage if the faculty members chosen are familiar with the structure, content, and points of distinction in the forefront programs in the field(s) in question. The dean of The Tseng College and the dean of the partner academic college(s) also
work together to identify leading practitioners in the field who should also be a part of the curriculum development process.

*Note: faculty members who participate in the program development process as part of the program development group are compensated or given reassigned time. During the program planning and development phases, there is compensation for participating in the full program development group meetings, additional compensation for developing a specific course if assigned to do so, and, if the program is to be fully online, there is also additional compensation for working with the Distance Learning team to produce the course online.*

7. The dean of the partner academic college(s), in consultation with the dean of The Tseng College, also selects a faculty member to serve as academic lead for the program in question. The work of the academic lead begins with the program planning and development stages, continues through the production stage, and ideally continues through the launch and ongoing academic offering of the program, leading the full program development group. For the length of their tenure in the role, the academic lead is responsible for oversight of the ongoing academic quality of the program, the integrity of the curriculum designed, and the quality of the program’s instruction and instructional strategies. The academic lead works with assigned senior professional(s) in The Tseng College to reconvene the program faculty, every 12 to 18 months, to ensure that the faculty remains engaged in collaborating on both the effective delivery of the program and continuous improvement, evaluation, and refinement of the program.

*Note: The academic lead is compensated per term (or given reassigned time) for this role.*

8. Senior professional staff from The Tseng College will bring expertise in fields and areas of instructional design, learning theory, online teaching and learning best practices and national models, educational technologies, program design best practices and national models with a focus on working adults/midcareer professionals, program design options available through the flexibility offered by self-support funding, relevant CSUN and CSU policies and approval processes, budgeting and pricing, accreditation and regulatory compliance issues, market factors that may impact the success of the program, marketing, and the like. Senior professional staff from The Tseng College collaborates with each program development group to participate in and facilitate the program development process.

9. The process begins with the planning stage, in which The Tseng College convenes participating faculty and practitioners to discuss the realities of practice in the field(s) today, how a reflective and effective practitioner in that field(s) would need to think (conceptual skills), and what they would need to know, understand, and be able to do to be a leading practitioner in the given field in the decades ahead. From this conversation (which may require several meetings) a
set of program learning goals are developed along with measureable student learning outcomes that align to those program learning goals.

10. Once the program learning goals and measureable student learning outcomes are determined and agreed upon, the program development group goes on to determine what courses would have to be offered, created, and/or redesigned and in what order those courses would need to be offered to achieve the learning goals at the program level and foster student success and achievement of the essential measurable student learning outcomes (in keeping with CSUN and WASC standards). CSUN programs offered through The Tseng College are offered in the cohort format with all students starting the program at the same time, taking the same set of courses, and completing the program at the same time. This cohort approach allows courses to be developed with a focus on the cumulative impact of the program by designing the program so that one course builds on another to foster the development of increasingly advanced conceptual skills and to support achievement the program level learning goals at the highest possible levels.

11. Once the program development group agrees upon the courses and sequence of those courses, the group determines which faculty and practitioners will work on the development of each course. This is an iterative and collaborative process with each course development effort tied back to the work and the goals of the full program development group as a whole during meetings of the program development group convened by The Tseng College. This approach that allows all program development group members to see how each course in the program is taking shape, work with one another to ensure that courses have a strong link to one another, and ensure alignment with the learning goals/outcomes of the program overall.

12. The program development process is an iterative process that begins with meetings of the full program development group. Once decisions are made about the courses needed, individual faculty members (or small faculty teams – which might at some points in the process include small working teams composed of faculty members developing, for example, courses to be offered in the same term within the program’s course sequence so they can work on tighter connections between those courses to enhance the impact of that term) will work on developing drafts of assigned courses in keeping with the decisions made by the full program development group about learning goals, the sequence of the courses, the purpose of each course in the overall program, and how each course fits into the cohort sequence (building on prior courses and providing a purposeful learning platform on which later courses will build). The courses are drafted and then shared in additional meetings of the full program development group so that the full group can respond to, refine, and enhance the cohesiveness among courses and promote sequential and increasingly substantial learning across the program in order to increase the educational impact of the overall program. The individual faculty members (or small faculty
teams) then go back to work further on their assigned courses taking them closer
to final form in light of the full group co-creative discussion. The full program
development group then meets again to review the evolving courses and the
evolving program to make further co-creative changes. This process of
alternation between full group meeting and individual (or small group) working
time continues until the full program development group considers the program to
be complete.

13. Throughout the program development process the lead senior professional staff
member (most often the assistant dean for program development or the
associate dean) from The Tseng College will capture in a narrative format the
evolving “story” of the program – including, but not limited to how the program
approaches the field in question, who it is designed for, program learning goals,
student learning outcomes, the purpose of each course, the content/learning
experience to be built into each course, how each course in the program builds
on prior courses, how each course prepares students for successful professional
practice, and how the program prepares students to be impactful leaders at the
forefront of their professional practice, and the like. This evolving program
narrative will be regularly shared with the full program development group to
ensure a shared understanding of program decisions made and the parts in the
context of the whole, as well as document how the program is taking shape
overall. By the end of the program development process, the program narrative
will capture all of the features of the program as well as the thinking behind the
design and content of the program. This in turn will serve as the foundation for
accurately and effectively explaining the value of the program to prospective
students and serve as a useful onboarding tool for any new program
development group members.

14. In keeping with new standards put in place by WASC and by CSUN, the program
development group crafts key assignments designed to measure how well
students have achieved the measurable student learning outcomes established
by the faculty program development group for the program in question. Once the
courses and program are well along in development, the faculty program
development group works on the design of these key assignments. This step in
the program development process helps to ensure that key assignments in the
program are designed by the full program development group so that these key
assignments purposefully draw together the learning across courses and push
forward both the refinement of conceptual skills and the demonstration of the
essential professional knowledge, skills, and abilities that the program planning
group has determined to be essential by the program learning goals and, in turn,
the defined measureable student learning goals.

15. The key assignments designed as part of the program planning process are also
those assignments that will form the foundation for program assessment.
Collecting student work from the key assignments (via electronic portfolio for
each cohort or the like) will allow program faculty to assess if the program’s
curriculum is indeed successful in ensuring that students achieve the specified student learning outcomes expected from each assignment and from the program as a whole.

16. When the “penultimate” version of the full program curriculum is ready, it is often shared with leading scholars and/or practitioners in the field to get final feedback from those who are widely respected in the field but who have been outside of the planning process and who, in that light, can offer a fresh eye. The faculty program development group makes any final refinements of the program based on feedback from this broader sharing.

17. All programs require CSUN and CSU approvals and reviews at the department, college, University, and Chancellor’s Office level. The associate dean of the collaborating College and the associate dean of The Tseng College working with the program’s academic lead and The Tseng College assistant dean for program development guide the final curriculum through the required approvals. The approval process will vary depending on whether this program is a modification of an existing program or an entirely new program. So all parties are aware of the expectations for the approval process, a timeline is developed for the approval process and the launch of the program taking into consideration the 9 months needed prior to the first application deadline for marketing.

18. The Tseng College is responsible for developing a budget for the program as it has been developed by the program development group working in keeping with CSUN and CSU policies and practices, setting a price in keeping with CSU principles, and marketing the program. The details of the working agreement and financial plan are captured in an MOU that is crafted by The Tseng College executive director of business operations and finance working with the assigned dean, the associate dean, and the assistant dean for program development in The Tseng College. For new programs, the dean of The Tseng College works with the dean of the partner academic college(s) to review the program plan and the program budget. Any needed adjustments are made following this conversation. The Tseng College executive director of business operations and finance then works with the collaborating College(s)' manager of academic resources to finalize the MOU.

Note: The Tseng College takes all the risk for the development and marketing of the program. If the program fails to achieve the required minimum enrolment, The Tseng College assumes responsibility for the loss and does not pass any of it on to the partner academic college(s) or department(s). Further, if the program achieves the required minimum enrollment (as outlined in the MOU) to offer the program, The Tseng College commits to offering the full program and paying all instructional and program costs regardless of attrition in the program and, in turn, financial loss such that no loss is passed on to the partner academic college(s) or departments.
19. Once the program launches, The Tseng College works with the academic lead appointed for the program in question to reconvene the full program development group one year after a new program is launched. This will be a long (1/2 day or longer) meeting at which the faculty teaching in the program can discuss how the program is going in light of their original plan and vision for the program (as captured in the final program narrative). The program development group will consider how students are responding to the educational experience the program offers and whether or not students are succeeding in achieving the specified measureable student learning outcomes (based on how successfully students are completing key program assignments as well as other course assignments). The group will consider levels of student engagement in the program. The group will also discuss what changes, if any, should be made in the first part of the program prior to the start of the next scheduled cohort to enhance student achievement and/or enrich the educational experience the program offers. The program development group will discuss how those teaching in the remaining part of the program for the first cohort might anticipate the learning dynamic of the group and/or enhance or refine program components in light of the experience of those teaching in the first half of the program in question. The program development group for new programs will also meet at the end of the first cohort for a similar meeting to consider the experiences of those teaching in the program and make decisions about how the program and/or the instructional strategies should be refined or enhanced to make the program increasingly excellent. The dean of the partner academic college(s) and/or the relevant department chairs can join these reflective conversations as listeners (and information resources as needed) to enhance their understanding of the program and its strengths and distinctions.

Thereafter the program development group for the program in question would be convened by The Tseng College in collaboration with the academic lead for the program in question once every 12 to 24 months (18 months being the standard with 12 to 18 months being recommended for programs still being refined as the first few cohorts of the program are offered – but, never to exceed 24 months between such review meetings). The academic lead would facilitate discussion and guide academic decision-making at these meetings focusing on continuous improvement of the excellence, distinction, and educational impact of the program in question. The faculty team that develops and teaches in each of CSUN’s degree and credit certificate programs offered by CSUN through self-support funding are the academic community that works collaboratively and regularly to ensure the continuous improvement of the program and its ongoing enrichment through the regular exchange of ideas, experience, and possibilities among program faculty.

It should be noted that faculty are paid a modest honorarium for participating in such program enhancement meetings and doing so is part of the obligations of those who accept an assignment to teach in the program in question.