



Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

OUTLINE

- **Reminders/Admin**
 - Semester wind-down
 - HW9 hints
 - Grade distributions
- **Volunteer Exercise** (get ready, some of you! ☺)
- **Introduction to Crosstabulation**
 - aka Cross-classification, Xtabs, 2ways, etc.
- **Lots of examples, today & next week** (SPSS, memes, *et al*)
- **Group Lab Exercise**

6 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard



Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

What's Left...

- 3 more lectures, 4 more labs...

Date	Lecture	Lab
Tue Nov 25	Crosstabs	Crosstabs (any data; no interval vars)
Tues Dec 2	Dependence	Chi-square (<i>same data & vars</i>) Extra Credit: Reality shows
Thu Dec 4	Association	Extra Credit: MOAs
Tue Dec 9 & Thu Dec 11	Work session –	• No new readings, lectures, quizzes, labs, etc. • Attendance not weighed in grade • Instructor available all day (as always) for anything

7 SOC424 @ CSUN w/ Ellis Godard

SOC424 – Statistics w/ Dr. Ellis Godard

"CROSSTABS"

aka crosstabulations, xtabs, contingency tables, two-way tables, comparison tables, conditional tables, cross-tables, et al.

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

HW9 Hints for Extra Credit...

- **Third Variables**
 - Third box
 - = Control variable
 - Intentionally before Elaboration lecture
- **Five missing values**
 - SPSS gives options to ID 1, 2, or 3 missing values, or *one series* (e.g. -1 to -3, or 97 to 99) plus 1 more
 - If a variable has *two nonconsecutive series* (e.g.: -1 & -2, 98 & 99):
 - You could recode one or more series to reduce # (don't!)
 - You could ignore any values that have no cases in your sample (check!!)

8 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Volunteer Exercise

- Request
- ID
- "Task"
- Summarize

On board...

10 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Fall 2025 lecture example

	Camera	No Cam.	
Vol.	2 100%	6 18%	8 23%
Didnt	0 0%	27 82%	27 77%
	2	33	

13 SOC424 @ CSUN w/ Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Organization of Crosstabs

- Elementary parts
 - Each row is a value of the DV ("effect")
 - Each column is a value of the IV ("cause")
 - Each intersection (cell) is the combination of values of that row and that column
- Summary elements
 - The "row totals" (the "marginals") are just the frequency distribution of the DV, for all cases
 - Each column is just the frequency distribution of the DV, for a particular value of the IV
 - Think about using "select if" to look at males and females separately, and getting a frequency distribution for each one

11 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Spring 2025 lecture example

	Camera	No Cam.	
Vol.	0 (0%)	7 (19.5%)	7 (18.5%)
Didnt	1 (100%)	29 (80.5%)	30 (81.5%)
	1	36	n=37

14 SOC424 @ CSUN w/ Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Examining Crosstabulations

- Always do these:
 - Compare percentages, not raw frequencies
 - Compare across, not up & down (which just uses one column, always just adds to 100%, and doesn't involve variation in the IV)
 - Look for the "modal percentages" (the largest percent) in each row (not column)
 - Do they fall on the "main diagonal", the line of cells predicted by your research hypothesis?
- Might also do these:
 - Compare each column to the marginals
 - Compare each column to a reference group
 - Could be one particular column, or another sample, or even another variable (e.g. those absent)

12 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Fall 2024 lecture example

	on camara	no on camera	
did volunteer	6 100%	5 15%	11 21%
didnt	0 0%	29 85%	29 79%

13 SOC424 @ CSUN w/ Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Fall 2023 lecture example

	on camara	no on camera	
did volunteer	1 100%	1 3%	2 (15%)
didnt	0 0%	31 97%	31 (85%)
	1	32	33

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Spring 2021 lecture example

	on camara	no on camera	
did volunteer	2 (66%)	5 (12%)	7 (15%)
didnt	1 (33%)	38 (88%)	39 (85%)
	3	43	

19 SOC424 @ CSUN w/ Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Spring 2023 lecture example

	on camara	no on camera	
did volunteer	2 (33%)	3 (7.5%)	5 (12.4%)
didnt	4 (66%)	37 (92.5%)	41 (87.6%)
			46

17 SOC424 @ CSUN w/ Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Compare %, not Counts

- Raw frequencies (numbers of cases) can be misleading
- Especially since we want to **compare across rows**
- Instead, use percentages
- but make sure to **use column percents** and not row percents

20 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Summer 2021 lecture example

	on camara	no on camera	
did volunteer	1 (25%)	3 (23%)	4 (24%)
didnt	3 (75%)	10 (77%)	13 (76%)

18 SOC424 @ CSUN w/ Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Here. 17 is more than 8...

The Nonequivalence of High School Graduates:
Educational Attainment and Employment Status at Age 25

	High School Dropout	GED	High School Graduate	
Jobless	17	8	31	56
Employed	267	106	1435	1808
	284	114	1466	1864

Source: NLSY, analyzed by Cameron and Heckman 1991.

- Suggests that dropouts more often jobless than those w/ a GED
- But there are more than twice as many dropouts (vs. GED respondents) in the sample! **ONLY COMPARE PERCENTS!!**

21 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

...but percents show the reverse

The Nonequivalence of High School Graduates
Educational Attainment and Employment Status at Age 25

	High School Dropout	GED	High School Graduate	
Jobless	17 6.0% E=8.53	8 7.0%	31 2.1%	56 3.0%
Employed	267 94.0% E=375.46	106 93.0%	1435 97.9%	1808 97.0%
	284 15.3%	114 6.1%	1466 78.6%	1864

Source: NLSY, analyzed by Cameron and Heckman 1991.

- Those w/ GED slightly *more* likely to be jobless
- Probably not a statistically significant difference

22 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

What is the Relationship Between Obedience and Education?

Count (Row Percent)	Obeying is Most Important		Row Total
	Yes	No	
0-11 Yrs	74 (34.4)	141 (65.6)	215 (22.0)
12+ Yrs	120 (15.7)	643 (84.3)	763 (78.0)
Column Total	194 (80.2)	748 (19.8)	978 (100.0)

BOOO!!! NOOOO!!!

25 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

What is the Relationship Between Obedience and Education?

- Individuals who value obedience above all may have more difficulty developing self-direction required for advanced learning, thus increasing their dropout rates (**obedience is IV, dropping out is DV**)
- Individuals who drop out of school are more likely to occupy a subordinate position at work, where obedience is valued (**reversed**)
- Older respondents are both more likely to value obedience and more likely to have not finished high school (**third variable**)

23 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Use Column Percents Instead!

Count (Column Percent)	Obeying is Most Important		Row Total
	Yes	No	
0-11 Yrs	74 (38.1)	141 (18.0)	215 (22.0)
12+ Yrs	120 (61.9)	643 (82.0)	763 (78.0)
Column Total	194 (80.2)	748 (19.8)	978 (100.0)

Bruh, this one... don't make it hard @

26 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

A 2x2 Table (counts only) Uh-oh... Something's missing! @

	Obeying is Most Important		Row Total
	Yes	No	
0-11 Yrs	74	141	215
12+ Yrs	120	643	763
Column Total	194	748	978

Source: 1991 GSS, Ellis Godard

27

General Happiness by REGION Region of the United States

Page 1 of 1

Count	Col	Pct	North	East	South	East	West	Row
	"st	"	1	"	2	"	3	"
								Total
Very Happy	1	"	185	"	149	"	133	"
	"	"	27.5	"	36.3	"	31.7	"
Pretty Happy	2	"	412	"	215	"	245	"
	"	"	61.2	"	52.3	"	58.3	"
Not Too Happy	3	"	76	"	47	"	42	"
	"	"	11.3	"	11.4	"	10.0	"
Column Total			673		411		420	
Total			44.7		27.3		27.9	
								100.0

Some differences...

27 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Statistics (HAPPY by REGION)

This is the test (but that's the next lecture.)

Significant because $p < .05$

Chi-Square	Value	DF	Significance
Pearson	10.39295	4	.03430
Likelihood R	10.38473	4	.03442
Mantel-Haenszel	2.69448		.10070

This is the test statistic

This is the p value

Minimum Expected Frequency = 45.090

28 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

HAPPY General Happiness by REGION Controlling for..

RACE Race of Respondent Value = 1 White

Differs for whites...

		REGION				Page 1 of 1
Count	"	North Ea	South Ea	West		Row
Col Pct	"st	st	st	st		Total
"	1	2	3	"		
HAPPY	"	"	"	"	"	"
Very Happy	1	162	123	124	"	409
	"	28.0	40.5	33.2	"	32.6
Pretty Happy	2	361	150	219	"	730
	"	62.5	49.3	58.6	"	58.1
Not Too Happy	3	55	31	31	"	117
	"	9.5	10.2	8.3	"	9.3
Column		578	304	374		1256
Total		46.0	24.2	29.8		100.0

31 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

General Happiness by RACE

Varies by race...

		RACE			Page 1 of 1
Count	"	White	Black	Other	Row
Col Pct	"	1	2	3	Total
"	"	"	"	"	"
HAPPY	"	"	"	"	"
Very Happy	1	409	46	12	467
	"	32.6	22.9	25.5	31.1
Pretty Happy	2	730	116	26	872
	"	58.1	57.7	55.3	58.0
Not Too Happy	3	117	39	9	165
	"	9.3	19.4	19.1	11.0
Column		1256	201	47	1504
Total		83.5	13.4	3.1	100.0

29 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Strong Association for Whites

Very significant...

Chi-Square	Value	DF	Significance
Pearson	16.12853	4	.00285
Likelihood Ratio	16.07367	4	.00292
Mantel-Haenszel	3.33124	1	.06798

linear association

32 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

SPSS Output

VERY significant...

Chi-Square	Value	DF	Significance
Pearson	24.79683	4	.00006
Likelihood Ratio	22.44551	4	.00016
Mantel-Haenszel	16.98205	1	.00004

Minimum Expected Frequency = 5.156

30 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

HAPPY General Happiness by REGION Region of the United States Controlling for..

RACE Race of Respondent Value = 2 Black

Not for blacks

		REGION				Page 1 of 1
Count	"	North Ea	South Ea	West		Row
Col Pct	"st	st	st	st		Total
"	1	2	3	"		
HAPPY	"	"	"	"	"	"
Very Happy	1	18	23	5	"	46
	"	22.2	24.7	18.5	"	22.9
Pretty Happy	2	44	56	16	"	116
	"	54.3	60.2	59.3	"	57.7
Not Too Happy	3	19	14	6	"	39
	"	23.5	15.1	22.2	"	19.4
Column		81	93	27		201
Total		40.3	46.3	13.4		100.0

33 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

No Association for Blacks

And not significant

Chi-Square	Value	DF	Significance
Pearson	2.35582	4	.67063
Likelihood Ratio	2.39818	4	.66296
Mantel-Haenszel	.08926	1	.76512

34 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

I understand crosstabs.

1. Strongly Agree
2. Agree
3. Neutral
4. Disagree
5. Strongly Disagree

THUMBS UP?

37 SOC424 w/ Dr. Ellis Godard -- Slide 29

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

RACE Race of Respondent Value = 3 Other

REGION

Count " Col Pct "North Ea South Ea West

Row

HAPPY

Very Happy

Pretty Happy

Not Too Happy

Column

Total

35 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Nor for others... There's a pattern, but...

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Reminder: Crosstabs & χ^2

If they cross the line, can you still remain friends? *What is your gender? Crosstabulation

		What is your gender?		Total
		Male	Female	
If they cross the line, can you still remain friends?	Yes	Count 71	167	238
	% within What is your gender?	91.0%	78.0%	81.5%
No	Count 7	47	54	
	% within What is your gender?	9.0%	22.0%	18.5%
Total	Count 78	214	292	
	% within What is your gender?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Here, females are more than twice as likely to say they can't still remain friends (22% vs. 9%);

males are more likely to say they can, and modal percentages fall along the "main diagonal";

& the difference is statistically significant ($p < .05$).

38 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

& No Association for "Other" Races

Chi-Square	Value	DF	Significance
Pearson	1.96551	4	.74210
Likelihood Ratio	1.88961	4	.75605
Mantel-Haenszel	1.27015	1	.25974

linear association

Minimum Expected Frequency - 2.681

Cells with Expected Frequency < 5 - 6 OF 9 (66.7%)

It's not significant

36 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard

Admin Format Percentages Bivariate Threeway Wrapup

Now, I understand crosstabs.

1. Strongly Agree
2. Agree
3. Neutral
4. Disagree
5. Strongly Disagree

THUMBS UP?

39 SOC424 w/ Dr. Ellis Godard -- Slide 30



SPSS Instructions

- Univariate First (!!)
- You don't need to report/submit it, but you should always be in the habit of checking, in case there are issues...
 - Missing values to deal with? Crosstabs shouldn't have *any* missing values!
 - Very small sample size?
 - Valence of values?
- *Analyze – Descriptive Stats – Crosstabs*
 - Rows are dependent variable
 - Columns are independent variable
 - *Statistics: Chi-square*
 - *Cells: Counts-Observed, Percents-Column*
 - ONLY the "column percents" (a phrase I used in lecture literally 24 times)

40 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard



Optional SPSS Demo (inc extra credit?)

- Another example:
 - HeavyMetal & Recycling (from music.sav)
- Univariate First (!!)
- Missing values?
- Valence of values?
- *Analyze – Descriptive Stats – Crosstabs*
 - Rows are dependent variable
 - Columns are independent variable
 - *Statistics: Chi-square*
 - *Cells: Counts-Observed, Percents-Column*

41 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard



For your next lab...

- Pick any *other* dataset (except the one for HW9)
- Crosstabulate any 2 variables
 - Nominal or ordinal only
 - 2x2 fine for now; 3-5 values generally best
 - Univariate first – *check missings!!*
- Print results & circle modal percentages
- Summarize percentage comparisons
- Interpret “p” (under “Sig”) for Pearson’s chi-square
 - is the relationship significant? (can you reject H_0 ?)

42 SOC424 @ CSUN - Ellis Godard