

# California Geographical Society

## Student Oral Paper Evaluation Form

Name of Student: \_\_\_\_\_ UNDERGRADUATE/GRADUATE  
(circle one)

Title of Paper: \_\_\_\_\_

### EVALUATION

5	4	3	2	1	0
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	None/Unacceptable

1.	<i>Abstract</i>	Abstract is clear, complete, and accurately reflects content of presentation, including research question, methods, results, and significance of the project.	5	4	3	2	1	0
2.	<i>Introduction</i>	Clearly states objectives of the study and relationship to earlier work	5	4	3	2	1	0
3.	<i>Methodology</i>	Methods are appropriate and properly applied	5	4	3	2	1	0
4.	<i>Content</i>	Topic is relevant, original, interesting, scholarly	5	4	3	2	1	0
5.	<i>Results and discussion</i>	Results logical and clearly presented; conclusions consistent with results	5	4	3	2	1	0
6.	<i>Demeanor and professionalism</i>	Diction and pace are appropriate, eye contact maintained, responds effectively to questions. Maintains professional conduct throughout the session.	5	4	3	2	1	0
7.	<i>Organization and timing</i>	Topical sequence logical, appropriate time given to sections of presentation, keeps within allotted time	5	4	3	2	1	0
8.	<i>Maps and graphics</i>	Makes use of good quality and self-prepared (when appropriate) visual aids, especially maps; <b>gives proper citation to aids produced by others</b>	5	4	3	2	1	0

<b>COLUMN TOTALS</b>						
----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**TOTAL POINTS** \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

Judge's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_  
(sum of column totals above)