

Decomposition of Involutions on Inertially Split Division Algebras

Patrick J. Morandi

B.A. Sethuraman

December 22, 2000

Abstract

Let F be a Henselian valued field with $\text{char}(\overline{F}) \neq 2$, and let S be an inertially split F -central division algebra with involution σ^* that is trivial on an inertial lift in S of the field $Z(\overline{S})$. We prove necessary and sufficient conditions for S to contain a σ^* -stable quaternion F -subalgebra, and for (S, σ^*) to decompose into a tensor product of quaternion algebras. These conditions are in terms of decomposability of an associated residue central simple algebra \overline{S} that arises from a Brauer group decomposition of S .

1 Introduction

Let S be a central simple algebra with center F . If S has an involution σ , then a fundamental problem is to determine when does (S, σ) decompose. In other words, when are there proper F -subalgebras S_1 and S_2 such that $S = S_1 \otimes_F S_2$ and $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2$ for some involutions σ_i on S_i ? If $\deg(S) = 4$ and $\sigma|_F = \text{id}$, then a theorem of Albert [?, Ch. XI, Thm. 9] says that S decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras. However, it may not be the case that (S, σ) decomposes; the first example of an indecomposable involution was given by Amitsur, Rowen, and Tignol in [?]. In [?], Knus, Parimala, and Sridharan gave a necessary and sufficient condition on an algebra of degree 4 with involution (of the first kind) to decompose. For algebras with involution of larger degree, it is generally a difficult question to determine when it decomposes.

In [?] Dherte studied decomposability of involutions on certain Malcev-Neumann division algebras. More precisely, if K/F is an elementary Abelian 2-extension with Galois group G , and if $f \in Z^2(G, K^*)$, then one can construct the Malcev-Neumann series division ring $S(A/K/F)$, where A is the crossed product algebra $(K/F, G, f)$. The center \widehat{F} of $S(A/K/F)$ is a field of formal series over F , and $S(A/K/F)$ has a maximal subfield \widehat{K} such that $\text{Gal}(\widehat{K}/\widehat{F}) = G$. Moreover, $S(A/K/F) = (\widehat{K}/\widehat{F}, G, \widehat{f})$ for a cocycle \widehat{f} related to f . If $S(A/K/F) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \widehat{K} z_g$ with $z_g z_h = \widehat{f}(g, h) z_{gh}$ and $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K x_g$ with $x_g x_h = f(g, h) x_{gh}$, then there is an involution σ^* on $S(A/K/F)$ with $\sigma^*|_{\widehat{K}} = \text{id}$ and $\sigma^*(z_g) = z_g$, and an involution σ on A with $\sigma|_K = \text{id}$ and $\sigma(x_g) = x_g$. Dherte proved that $S(A/K/F)$ has a

σ^* -stable \widehat{F} -central quaternion algebra if and only if A has a σ -stable F -central quaternion algebra, and also that $(S(A/K/F), \sigma^*)$ decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras if and only if (A, σ) decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras. A fundamental technique in [?] was valuation theory; the field \widehat{F} has a Henselian valuation with residue field F , and so this valuation extends to $S(A/K/F)$.

In this paper we study the question of decomposability of involutions on inertially split division algebras defined over Henselian valued fields. To be more precise, let F be a Henselian valued field with $\text{char}(\overline{F}) \neq 2$. If S is an F -central division algebra, then S is said to be inertially split if there is an inertial extension K/F such that K is a splitting field for S . The structure of inertially split division algebras was investigated by Jacob and Wadsworth in [?, Sec. 5]. In particular, by [?, Lemma 5.14], there is a natural but not unique decomposition of S , up to similarity, as $S \sim I \otimes_F N$, where I is similar to an inertial division algebra and N is a nicely semiramified division algebra (see [?, Sec. 2, 3] for definitions). For the Malcev-Neumann algebra $S(A/K/F)$ mentioned above, by viewing $f \in Z^2(G, \widehat{K}^*)$, the cocycle \widehat{f} factors as $\widehat{f} = fc$ with c a symmetric cocycle having values in \widehat{F}^* , and this factorization gives a similarity relation $S(A/K/F) \sim (A \otimes_F \widehat{F}) \otimes_{\widehat{F}} (\widehat{K}/\widehat{F}, G, c)$, which is a special case of the decomposition of [?]. The algebra A , if it is a division algebra, is the residue algebra of the inertial algebra $A \otimes_F \widehat{F}$.

Suppose that $S \sim I \otimes_F N$ as above has exponent 2. By starting with an involution σ^* on S that is trivial on an inertial lift of $Z(\overline{S})$, and by representing S and I as generalized crossed products in a natural way, we produce an involution σ on I , and σ induces an involution $\overline{\sigma}$ on an \overline{F} -central simple algebra \overline{I} . The algebra \overline{I} is, in some sense, the residue algebra of the central simple algebra I . We prove that S has an F -central quaternion subalgebra stable under σ^* if and only if \overline{I} has an \overline{F} -central quaternion subalgebra stable under $\overline{\sigma}$, and (S, σ^*) decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras if and only if $(\overline{I}, \overline{\sigma})$ decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras. We thus extend Dherte's results to the context of inertially split algebras over a Henselian valued field with residue characteristic not 2. We remark that in [?, Thm. 5] it is proved that if D is a division algebra of exponent 2 over a Henselian valued field F (with $\text{char}(\overline{F}) \neq 2$) and if D has an involution σ of the first kind with $\overline{\sigma} = \text{id}$ on \overline{D} , then D can be decomposed as $D = S \otimes_F T$ with S inertially split and T totally ramified, and each of S and T are stable under σ . Moreover, $(T, \sigma|_T)$ decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras. Thus, at least for involutions with $\overline{\sigma} = \text{id}$, the question of decomposability reduces to the case of inertially split division algebras.

If F is a field with Henselian valuation v , recall (e.g., [?, Thm.]) that v extends uniquely to a valuation on any finite dimensional F -division algebra. If D is an F -central division algebra with valuation, we will denote the value group of D by Γ_D and the residue division algebra by \overline{D} . The group of valuation units of D will be denoted U_D , and the subgroup of 1-units by $U_{1,D}$. If d is in the valuation ring of D , we denote the image of d in \overline{D} by \overline{d} . Recall that the valuation ring is stable under F -algebra automorphisms of D and under involutions of the first kind; this is a consequence of [?, Thm.]. Therefore, an F -algebra automorphism φ (resp. involution) of D induces an automorphism $\overline{\varphi}$ (resp. involution) of \overline{D} by $\overline{\varphi}(\overline{d}) = \overline{\varphi(d)}$.

We give some more notation that we will use throughout this paper. If F is a field, then $\text{Br}(F)$ is the Brauer group of classes of central simple F -algebras, and $\text{Br}_2(F)$ is the 2-torsion subgroup of $\text{Br}(F)$. If S is a central simple algebra and B is a subalgebra of S , then $C_S(B)$ is the centralizer of B in S . For $s \in S^*$, the inner automorphism $x \mapsto sxs^{-1}$ will be denoted by $\text{Int}(x)$, and the group of F -algebra automorphisms of S by $\text{Aut}_F(S)$. The reduced norm on S will be denoted by Nrd , or by Nrd_S if we are working with more than one central simple algebra.

If S is an F -central simple algebra, then an involution σ on S is an anti-automorphism of S with $\sigma^2 = \text{id}$. If $\sigma|_F = \text{id}$, then σ is said to be of the first kind; otherwise σ is of the second kind. We will write $\text{Sym}(S, \sigma)$ and $\text{Skew}(S, \sigma)$ to denote the F -subspaces of symmetric and skew-symmetric elements of σ , respectively. If σ is of the first kind and if $n = \deg(S)$, then it is known that $\dim_F(\text{Sym}(S, \sigma)) = \frac{1}{2}n(n + \varepsilon)$ with ε either 1 or -1 . We refer to ε as the *type* of σ . Involutions of type 1 are often called orthogonal, and symplectic if they are of type -1 . If σ is of the second kind and if F_0 is the fixed field of F under σ , then $\text{Sym}(S, \sigma)$ is an F_0 -subspace of S , and $\dim_{F_0}(\text{Sym}(S, \sigma)) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{F_0}(S)$.

In this paper we will use generalized crossed products, which we now describe briefly. More details and proofs about generalized cross products can be found in [?] or [?]. If Z/F is a finite Galois extension with $G = \text{Gal}(Z/F)$ and if C is a Z -central simple algebra, then a *generalized cocycle* of G with values in C^* is a pair (ω, f) of maps with

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_Z(C), \\ f &: G \times G \rightarrow C^*\end{aligned}$$

such that $\omega_g|_Z = g$ for $g \in G$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_g \circ \omega_h &= \text{Int}(f(g, h)) \circ \omega_{gh}, \\ f(g, h)f(gh, k) &= \omega_g(f(h, k))f(g, hk)\end{aligned}$$

for all $g, h, k \in G$. We will refer to the set of generalized cocycles of G with values in C^* by $\mathcal{Z}(G, C^*)$.

Given a generalized cocycle $(\omega, f) \in \mathcal{Z}(G, C^*)$, we can construct the *generalized crossed product* $(C, G, (\omega, f)) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} Cx_g$, where multiplication is determined by

$$\begin{aligned}x_g c &= \omega_g(c)x_g, \\ x_g x_h &= f(g, h)x_{gh}\end{aligned}$$

for all $c \in C$ and $g, h \in G$. This is an F -central simple algebra containing C , and the centralizer of Z in $(C, G, (\omega, f))$ is precisely C . We will call the x_g *cocycle generators* for $(C, G, (\omega, f))$.

Conversely, if S is an F -central algebra containing Z , then set $C = C_S(Z)$. We can write S as a generalized crossed product in the following way. By the Noether-Skolem theorem,

for each $g \in G$ there is an $x_g \in S^*$ with $\text{Int}(x_g)|_Z = g$. Let $\omega : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_F(C)$ be given by $\omega_g = \text{Int}(x_g)|_C$, and define $f : G \times G \rightarrow C^*$ by $f(g, h) = x_g x_h x_{gh}^{-1}$. A short calculation shows that $(\omega, f) \in \mathcal{Z}(G, C^*)$ and $S = \bigoplus_{g \in G} C x_g$, so $S = (C, G, (\omega, f))$.

If (ω, f) and (ω', f') are two generalized cocycles of G with values in C^* , then they are said to be *cohomologous* if there are elements $c_g \in C^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega'_g &= \text{Int}(c_g) \circ \omega_g, \\ f'(g, h) &= c_g \omega_g(c_h) f(g, h) c_{gh}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

for all $g, h \in G$. The F -algebras $(C, G, (\omega, f))$ and $(C, G, (\omega', f'))$ are isomorphic if and only if (ω, f) and (ω', f') are cohomologous.

2 Involutions on Generalized Crossed Products

In this section we investigate involutions on generalized crossed products. As pointed out in the introduction, if S is an inertially split F -central division algebra, we will decompose $S \sim I \otimes_F N$, and we will represent S and I as generalized crossed products, each of the form $(C, G, (\omega, f))$ with C a division algebra and $Z(C)$ an elementary Abelian 2-extension of F with Galois group G . We will use the results of this section to associate to an involution on S an involution on a residue algebra \bar{I} , and to write S , I , and \bar{I} as generalized crossed products in a convenient way with regard to the involutions.

We will use the following lemma to show that if S has an involution of the first kind, then S has an involution that is the identity on the subfield $Z(C)$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let T be an F -central simple algebra of exponent 2. Suppose that Z is a subfield of T that contains F such that $C_T(Z)$ is a division algebra. Then there is an involution σ on T with $\sigma|_Z = \text{id}$. Moreover, if σ is an involution on $C_T(Z)$ that is trivial on Z , then σ extends to an involution on T .*

Proof. Since $2[T] = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$, there is an involution τ of the first kind on T by [?, §16, Thm. 1]. The field $\tau(Z)$ is F -isomorphic to Z , so there is an $x \in T^*$ with $xax^{-1} = \tau(a)$ for all $a \in Z$. Applying τ to the equation $xa = \tau(a)x$, we get $\tau(a)\tau(x) = \tau(x)a$. If $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, then

$$xax^{-1}(\tau(x) + \varepsilon x) = \tau(a)(\tau(x) + \varepsilon x) = (\tau(x) + \varepsilon x)a.$$

Multiplying by x^{-1} on the left gives

$$ax^{-1}(\tau(x) + \varepsilon x) = x^{-1}(\tau(x) + \varepsilon x)a$$

which implies that $x^{-1}(\tau(x) + \varepsilon x) \in C_T(Z)$, or $\tau(x) + \varepsilon x \in xC_T(Z)$. Since both $\tau(x) + x$ and $\tau(x) - x$ cannot be zero, one is invertible as $C_T(Z)$ is a division algebra. By conjugating σ by whichever is nonzero, we obtain an involution on T that is the identity on Z .

Now, suppose that σ is an involution of the first kind on $C_T(Z)$. Since $2[T] = 0$, there is an involution τ on T with $\tau|_Z = \text{id}$ by the first paragraph. Then $\tau(C_T(Z)) = C_T(Z)$, so τ restricts to an involution of the first kind on $C_T(Z)$. Therefore, there is an $x \in C_T(Z)$ with $\sigma(x) = \pm x$ and $\tau|_{C_T(Z)} = \text{Int}(x) \circ \sigma$. Then $\tau(x) = \pm x$, and so $\text{Int}(x^{-1}) \circ \tau$ is an involution on T that extends σ . ■

Let Z/F be an elementary Abelian 2-extension with Galois group G . If C is a Z -central division algebra, we show that if $T = (C, G, (\omega, f))$ is a generalized crossed product that has an involution σ with $\sigma|_Z = \text{id}$, then we may find new cocycle generators to represent $T = \bigoplus_g Cx_g$ with $\sigma(x_g) = x_g$. Note that the previous lemma shows that T has an involution σ with $\sigma|_Z = \text{id}$ provided that $[T] \in \text{Br}_2(F)$.

Proposition 2.2 *Let C/Z be a division algebra and let $T = (C, G, (\omega, f)) = \bigoplus_g Cx_g$ be a generalized crossed product. Suppose that σ is an involution on T with $\sigma|_Z = \text{id}$. Then the generalized cocycle (ω, f) can be replaced by a cohomologous cocycle (ω', f') in such a way that σ can be extended to $T = (C, G, (\omega', f')) = \bigoplus_g Dx'_g$ with $\sigma(x'_g) = x'_g$.*

Proof. We note a few things that we will use in the proof. First, let $\lambda_g = \omega_g \circ \sigma$, an antiautomorphism of C . The map λ_g^2 is an automorphism that fixes Z . Moreover, if $c_g = \sigma(x_g)x_g^{-1}$, then we claim that $c_g \in C^*$ and $\lambda_g^2 = \text{Int}(c_g^{-1})|_C$. To see that $c_g \in C$, we must show that it commutes with Z . If $a \in Z$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x_g)x_g^{-1}a &= \sigma(x_g)g(a)x_g^{-1} = \sigma(g(a)x_g)x_g^{-1} \\ &= \sigma(x_g a)x_g^{-1} = a\sigma(x_g)x_g^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $c_g \in C^*$. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_g^2 &= \omega_g \circ \sigma \circ \omega_g \circ \sigma = \text{Int}(x_g)|_C \circ \sigma \circ \text{Int}(x_g)|_C \circ \sigma \\ &= \text{Int}(x_g)|_C \circ \text{Int}(\sigma(x_g)^{-1})|_C \circ \sigma^2 = \text{Int}(x_g\sigma(x_g)^{-1})|_C = \text{Int}(c_g^{-1})|_C, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the second claim. Furthermore, since $\sigma(x_g) = c_g x_g$, applying σ to this equation yields $x_g = c_g x_g \sigma(c_g) = c_g \lambda_g(c_g) x_g$, giving $c_g \lambda_g(c_g) = 1$. We wish to find $b_g \in C^*$ so that $\sigma(b_g x_g) = b_g x_g$. For this to happen, we must have $c_g \lambda_g(b_g) = b_g$. To produce b_g for a fixed g , choose $u \in C$ so that if $b_g = u + c_g \lambda_g(u)$, then $b_g \neq 0$. Such a u exists; if $c_g \neq -1$, then set $u = 1$. If $c_g = -1$, then let $u \in Z$ be any element with $g(u) \neq u$. This will guarantee that $b_g = u + c_g \lambda_g(u) \neq 0$. We then get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_g(b_g) &= \lambda_g(u) + \lambda_g^2(u) \lambda_g(c_g) = \lambda_g(u) + c_g^{-1} u c_g \lambda_g(c_g) \\ &= \lambda_g(u) + c_g^{-1} u. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $c_g \lambda_g(b_g) = u + c_g \lambda_g(u) = b_g$, as desired. Therefore, if we define new cocycle (ω', f') by

$$\omega'_g = \text{Int}(b_g) \circ \omega_g,$$

$$f'(g, h) = b_g \omega_g(b_h) f(g, h) b_{gh}^{-1}$$

and set $x'_g = b_g x_g$, then we have the desired condition $\sigma(x'_g) = x'_g$. ■

Given an involution σ on $(C, G, (\omega, f)) = \oplus_{g \in G} Cx_g$, we next determine necessary and sufficient conditions on a generalized cocycle (ω, f) for σ to satisfy $\sigma(x_g) = x_g$ for all $g \in G$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $(C, G, (\omega, f)) = \oplus_g Cx_g$ be a generalized crossed product. If σ is an involution of the first kind on C , then σ can be extended to $(C, G, (\omega, f))$ with $\sigma(x_g) = x_g$ if and only if for all $g, h \in G$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{gh}(\sigma(f(g, h))) &= f(h, g), \\ (\omega_g \circ \sigma)^2 &= \text{id}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, suppose that σ satisfies $\sigma(x_g) = x_g$. For all $c \in C$, we have $\sigma(cx_g) = x_g \sigma(c) = \omega_g(\sigma(c))x_g$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} cx_g &= \sigma(\sigma(cx_g)) = \sigma(\omega_g(\sigma(c))x_g) \\ &= x_g \sigma(\omega_g(\sigma(c))) \\ &= \omega_g(\sigma(\omega_g(\sigma(c))))x_g. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\omega_g \circ \sigma)^2 = \text{id}$ on C .

Next, we have $x_g x_h = f(g, h)x_{gh}$, so

$$\sigma(x_g x_h) = x_h x_g = f(h, g)x_{hg} = f(h, g)x_{gh}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x_g x_h) &= \sigma(f(g, h)x_{gh}) = x_{gh} \sigma(f(g, h)) \\ &= \omega_{gh}(\sigma(f(g, h)))x_{gh}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f(h, g) = \omega_{gh}(\sigma(f(g, h))).$$

These calculations clearly reverse to show that given an involution σ on C , then σ extends to $(C, G, (\omega, f)) = \oplus_g Cx_g$ with $\sigma(x_g) = x_g$ if and only if the cocycle (ω, f) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{gh}(\sigma(f(g, h))) &= f(h, g), \\ (\omega_g \circ \sigma)^2 &= \text{id} \end{aligned}$$

for all $g, h \in G$. ■

3 Involutions on Inertially Split Division Algebras

Let (F, v) be a Henselian valued field with $\text{char}(\overline{F}) \neq 2$. If S is an inertially split F -central division algebra of exponent 2, we describe the involutions we will use and how we will write S as a generalized crossed product. By abuse of notation, we will write v for the unique extension of v to any finite dimensional division algebra containing F .

Let Z be an inertial lift in S of $Z(\overline{S})$. Then Z/F is Abelian Galois with $\text{Gal}(Z/F) \cong \text{Gal}(Z(\overline{S})/\overline{F}) \cong \Gamma_S/\Gamma_F$, and $\text{Gal}(Z/F)$ is an elementary Abelian 2-group; these facts can be found in [?, Lemma 5.1, Cor. 6.10]. By Lemma 2.1, there is an involution σ^* on S with $\sigma^*|_Z = \text{id}$. Let $C = C_S(Z)$. Then C/Z is inertial with $\overline{S} = \overline{C}$ by [?, Lemma 1.8]. The involution σ^* restricts to an involution of the first kind on C since $\sigma^*|_Z = \text{id}$. We may write S as a generalized cocycle $S = (C, G, (\omega, k))$, where $G = \text{Gal}(Z/F)$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.2, we may assume that $S = \bigoplus_{g \in G} C y_g$ with $\sigma^*(y_g) = y_g$ for all $g \in G$. As described in the proof of [?, Thm. 5.6(b)] we may factor our generalized cocycle as $(\omega, k) = (\omega, fc)$ such that (ω, f) is a generalized cocycle with $f(g, h) \in U_C$ for all $g, h \in G$ and $c \in Z^2(G, F^*)$ is a symmetric cocycle with values in F^* . Let $I = (C, G, (\omega, f))$. Then I is similar to an inertial division algebra, although I itself need not be a division algebra. The generalized cocycle (ω, f) satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 because (ω, fc) satisfies them and c is symmetric with values in F^* . Therefore, there is an involution σ on $I = \bigoplus_{g \in G} C x_g$ with $\sigma|_C = \sigma^*|_C$ and $\sigma(x_g) = x_g$.

Our aim is to describe decomposability of (S, σ^*) in terms of decomposability of an associated involution on a residue algebra \overline{I} . Since I may not be a division algebra, we must define \overline{I} . The pair (ω, f) is a generalized cocycle with $f(g, h) \in U_C$ for each $g, h \in G$. Therefore, there is a well defined function $\overline{f} : G \times G \rightarrow \overline{C}^*$ given by $\overline{f}(g, h) = \overline{f(g, h)}$. Also, $\omega_g \in \text{Aut}_F(C)$ with $\omega_g|_Z = g$, and since $v \circ g = v$, we see that $v \circ \omega_g$ is a valuation on C that extends v . Thus, by [?, Thm.], $v \circ \omega_g = v$. This implies that ω_g sends the valuation ring of C to itself, so there is an induced map $\overline{\omega}_g : \overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{C}$, and $\overline{\omega}_g \in \text{Aut}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{C})$. If we define $\overline{\omega} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{C})$ by $\overline{\omega}_g = \overline{\omega}_g$, then it is easy to see that $(\overline{\omega}, \overline{f})$ is a generalized cocycle for G with values in \overline{C}^* . So, we have an \overline{F} -central simple algebra $(\overline{C}, G, (\overline{\omega}, \overline{f}))$, and we set $\overline{I} = (\overline{C}, G, (\overline{\omega}, \overline{f}))$. Alternatively, if V_F and V_C are the valuation rings of F and C , respectively, then $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V_C x_g$ is the unique up to isomorphism Azumaya V_F -order in I , and $A/J(A) = (\overline{C}, G, (\overline{\omega}, \overline{f}))$. Finally, we describe the residue involution $\overline{\sigma}$ on \overline{I} . The involution $\sigma|_C$ on the division algebra C induces an involution $\overline{\sigma}|_{\overline{C}}$ on \overline{C} . The generalized cocycle $(\overline{\omega}, \overline{f})$ clearly satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3, so there is an involution $\overline{\sigma}$ on $\overline{I} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \overline{C} \overline{x}_g$ with $\overline{\sigma}|_{\overline{C}} = \overline{\sigma}|_{\overline{C}}$ and $\overline{\sigma}(\overline{x}_g) = \overline{x}_g$.

To help us go between S and \overline{I} , we will use the “leading monomial” map on S . Recall that on $S = \bigoplus_g C y_g$, where $\text{Int}(y_g)|_C = \omega_g$ and $y_g y_h = (fc)(g, h) y_{gh}$, we have $\text{Int}(y_g)|_Z = g$. Under the map $\theta_S : \Gamma_S/\Gamma_F \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\overline{Z}/\overline{F})$ of [?, Prop. 1.7], we have $\theta_S(v(y_g) + \Gamma_F) = \overline{g}$. Therefore, via the identification $\theta_S : \text{Gal}(Z/F) \cong \Gamma_S/\Gamma_F$, we have $v(y_g) + \Gamma_F = g$. Consequently, the values $v(y_g)$ are distinct modulo $\Gamma_F = \Gamma_C$. So, for an arbitrary $a = \sum_g a_g y_g \in S$, we have $v(a) = v(a_g y_g)$ for a uniquely determined “monomial” $a_g y_g$. We set $\mu(a) = a_g y_g$, and call

$\mu(a)$ the leading monomial of a . We point out the properties of the leading monomial map in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let μ be the leading monomial map on S . Then $v(\mu(a)) = v(a)$. Also, $\mu(\sigma^*(a)) = \sigma^*(\mu(a))$. Finally, $\mu(a) = au$ for some 1-unit u .*

Proof. The first property comes immediately from the definition of μ . For the second, we note that if $a_g \in C$, then $\sigma^*(a_g y_g) = y_g \sigma(a_g) = \omega_g(\sigma(a_g)) y_g$, so σ^* takes monomials to monomials. Moreover, Both ω_g and σ are value preserving. These two facts imply that $\mu \circ \sigma^* = \sigma^* \circ \mu$. Lastly, $\mu(a) a^{-1}$ is a 1-unit because $v(\mu(a)) = v(a)$ and a is the sum of $\mu(a)$ and other terms of value strictly greater than $v(\mu(a))$. ■

The leading monomial map is not multiplicative. However, as a consequence of the lemma above, we have $\mu(ab) \equiv ab \pmod{U_{1,S}}$.

The involutions we have constructed are all of the first kind. We note in the next result that all them are of the same type.

Proposition 3.2 *The involutions σ^* , σ , $\sigma|_C$, and $\bar{\sigma}$ are all of the same type.*

Proof. We prove this by considering the following situation: $T = (C, G, (\omega, f)) = \oplus_g C x_g$ and σ is an involution on T that is trivial on Z with $\sigma(x_g) = x_g$. Then $\sigma(c_g x_g) = x_g \sigma(c_g) = \omega_g(\sigma(c_g)) x_g$. Let $\lambda_g = \omega_g \circ \sigma$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we see that $\lambda_g^2 = \text{id}$. The map λ_g is an antiautomorphism of C , so λ_g is an involution of C . Note that $\lambda_g|_Z = g$, so λ_g is an involution of the second kind when $g \neq 1$. Also, $\sigma(c_g x_g) = c_g x_g$ if and only if $\lambda_g(c_g) = c_g$. Consequently, $\text{Sym}(T, \sigma) = \oplus_g \text{Sym}(C, \lambda_g) x_g$. Let $n = \deg(T)$ and $m = \deg(C)$. Then $n = m[Z : F]$. If ε is the type of $\sigma|_C$, then, as $\dim_F(\text{Sym}(C, \lambda_g)) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_F C$ for all $g \neq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_F(\text{Sym}(T, \sigma)) &= \sum_{g \in G} \dim_F(\text{Sym}(C, \lambda_g)) \\ &= \dim_F(\text{Sym}(C, \sigma|_C)) + (|G| - 1) \frac{1}{2} \dim_F(C) \\ &= [Z : F] \left(\frac{1}{2} m(m + \varepsilon) + ([Z : F] - 1) \frac{1}{2} m^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} n(m + \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} n^2 - \frac{1}{2} nm = \frac{1}{2} n(n + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the type of σ on T is ε . We can apply this result to S and to I to get that the types of σ and σ^* are equal to the type of $\sigma|_C$. Also, applying it to $\bar{I} = (\bar{C}, G, (\bar{\omega}, \bar{f}))$, we see that the type of $\bar{\sigma}$ is equal to the type of $\bar{\sigma}|_C$, which is equal to the type of $\sigma|_C$, by [?, §1, Prop. 3]. This shows that the types of σ^* , σ , $\sigma|_C$, and $\bar{\sigma}$ are all equal. ■

We now relate the discriminants of the various involutions. Recall that if (T, τ) is a central simple algebra with involution of the first kind, then the discriminant $\text{disc}(\tau)$ of τ is defined as follows. If $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ is the type of τ , then $\text{disc}(\tau) = (-1)^{\deg(T)} \text{Nrd}(a) F^{*2} \in F^*/F^{*2}$ for any $a \in T^*$ with $\tau(a) = -\varepsilon a$. This definition, for $\varepsilon = 1$, can be found in [?, Def. 7.2],

and for general ε in [?, p. 94]. To define a notation used in the following proposition, recall that there is a split exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow U_F/U_F^2 \longrightarrow F^*/F^{*2} \longrightarrow \Gamma_F/2\Gamma_F \longrightarrow 1,$$

and $U_F/U_F^2 \cong \overline{F}^*/\overline{F}^{*2}$ since F is Henselian with $\text{char}(\overline{F}) \neq 2$. Therefore, there is a group monomorphism $i : \overline{F}^*/\overline{F}^{*2} \rightarrow F^*/F^{*2}$ given by $i(\overline{u}\overline{F}^{*2}) = uF^{*2}$ for any lift $u \in U_F$ of \overline{u} .

Proposition 3.3 *We have $\text{disc}(\sigma^*) = \text{disc}(\sigma) = N_{Z/F}(\text{disc}(\sigma|_C))$, and these are equal to $i(\text{disc}(\overline{\sigma}))$.*

Proof. Let $n = \deg(S)$ and $m = \deg(C)$, and let ε be the type of σ . If $a \in C^*$ with $\sigma(a) = -\varepsilon a$, then $\text{disc}(\sigma|_C)$ is $(-1)^m \text{Nrd}_C(a)$. Since we know, by Proposition 3.2, that the types of σ^* , σ , and $\sigma|_C$ are all the same, we have $\text{disc}(\sigma^*) = (-1)^n \text{Nrd}_S(a)$ and $\text{disc}(\sigma) = (-1)^n \text{Nrd}_I(a)$. However,

$$\text{Nrd}_A(a) = N_{Z/F}(\text{Nrd}_C(a)) = \text{Nrd}_I(a),$$

so $\text{disc}(\sigma^*) = N_{Z/F}(\text{disc}(\sigma|_C)) = \text{disc}(\sigma)$. The equality $\text{disc } \sigma = i(\text{disc } \overline{\sigma})$ is proved in [?, §2, Prop. 3]. ■

4 Decompositions of Involutions

In this section we prove the main theorems of this paper. We continue to use the same notation as in the previous section: F is a Henselian valued field with $\text{char}(\overline{F}) \neq 2$, $S = (C, G, (\omega, fc))$ is an inertially split division algebra, $I = (C, G, (\omega, f))$ is similar to an inertial division algebra, and $\overline{I} = (\overline{C}, G, (\overline{\omega}, \overline{f}))$. We have involutions σ^* on S and σ on I with $\sigma^*|_C = \sigma|_C$ an involution of the first kind on C . Moreover, if $S = \bigoplus_{g \in G} Cy_g$ with $\text{Int}(y_g)|_C = \omega_g$ and $y_g y_h = (fc)(g, h)y_{gh}$, and if $I = \bigoplus_{g \in G} Cx_g$ with $\text{Int}(x_g)|_C = \omega_g$ and $x_g x_h = f(g, h)x_{gh}$, then $\sigma^*(ay_g) = \omega_g(\sigma(a))y_g$ and $\sigma(ay_g) = \omega_g(\sigma(a))x_g$ for all $a \in C$. We have an induced involution $\overline{\sigma}$ on $\overline{I} = (\overline{C}, G, (\overline{\omega}, \overline{f}))$ that satisfies $\overline{\sigma}(\overline{ax_g}) = \overline{\omega_g(\sigma(a))x_g}$.

Let $K = F(\sqrt{a_1}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n})$ be an elementary Abelian extension of F of degree 2^n and let A be an F -central simple algebra of degree 2^n containing K . If A decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras as $A = (a_1, b_1) \otimes_F \dots \otimes_F (a_n, b_n)$ for some $b_i \in F^*$, we say that A has a *decomposition adapted to K* into quaternion algebras.

We now extend [?, Thm. 4.1] to the case of inertially split division algebras over a Henselian valued field of residue characteristic not 2. To help with the argument, we point out that if Q is a quaternion algebra with an involution σ of the first kind, then Q has quaternion generators i and j with $\sigma(i) = \pm i$ and $\sigma(j) = \pm j$. We give a proof of this fact for the convenience of the reader. If σ is symplectic, then this is clear. If σ is orthogonal, let γ be the unique symplectic involution on Q . There is an element v with $\gamma(v) = -v$ and $\sigma = \text{Int}(v) \circ \gamma$. Recall that the square of any element in $\text{Skew}(Q, \gamma)$ is in F . By dimension

count, there is a σ -symmetric element $u \in \text{Skew}(Q, \gamma)$. Then $u^2 \in F$ and

$$u = \sigma(u) = v\gamma(u)v^{-1} = -vuv^{-1},$$

so u and v anticommute. Then u and v are quaternion generators of Q with $\sigma(u) = u$ and $\sigma(v) = -v$.

Theorem 4.1 *The following statements are equivalent.*

1. S contains an F -central quaternion algebra stable under σ^* ;
2. \bar{I} contains an \bar{F} -central quaternion algebra generated by monomials $\overline{ax_g}$ and $\overline{bx_h}$ that are each either symmetric or skew-symmetric with respect to $\bar{\sigma}$;
3. \bar{I} contains an \bar{F} -central quaternion algebra Q stable under $\bar{\sigma}$ with $[Q \cap \bar{Z} : \bar{F}] = 2$ and $[C_{\bar{I}}(Q) \cap \bar{Z} : \bar{F}] = \frac{1}{2}[\bar{Z} : \bar{F}]$;
4. \bar{Z} contains a quadratic extension L of \bar{F} such that $C_{\bar{I}}(L) = L \otimes_{\bar{F}} A$ for some \bar{F} -central subalgebra A of \bar{I} that is stable under $\bar{\sigma}$ and with $[A \cap \bar{Z} : \bar{F}] = \frac{1}{2}[\bar{Z} : \bar{F}]$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let Q be an F -central quaternion subalgebra of S stable under σ^* . As pointed out above, we may assume that Q has generators i and j with $\sigma^*(i) = \pm i$ and $\sigma^*(j) = \pm j$. We may write $i = ay_g v_g$ and $j = by_h v_h$ with $a, b \in C$ and v_g, v_h 1-units in S by Lemma 3.1. We may also assume that a and b are units in C since $\Gamma_C = \Gamma_F$ and scalar multiples of quaternion generators are still quaternion generators. For convenience we view ω_g as acting on S via $\text{Int}(y_g)$. Since $i^2 \in F^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i^2 &= (ay_g v_g)(ay_g v_g) = a\omega_g(v_g a)(fc)(g, g)v_g \\ &= a\omega_g(v_g)\omega_g(a)f(g, g)c(g, g)v_g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as $c(g, g) \in F^*$, we have $a\omega_g(v_g)\omega_g(a)f(g, g)v_g \in F$. Moreover, this element is a unit in S . Therefore, taking residues and using the fact that v_g is a 1-unit, we have $\overline{a\omega_g(a)}f(g, g) \in \bar{F}^*$. This shows that $\overline{ax_g} \in \bar{I}$ satisfies $(\overline{ax_g})^2 \in \bar{F}^*$. Similarly, $(\overline{bx_h})^2 \in \bar{F}^*$. Furthermore, we have $ji = -ij$, and simplifying the equation

$$(by_h v_h)(ay_g v_g) = -(ay_g v_g)(by_h v_h)$$

gives

$$b\omega_h(v_h a)(fc)(h, g)y_{hg}v_g = -a\omega_g(v_g b)(fc)(g, h)y_{gh}v_h.$$

Since $gh = hg$ and $c(g, h) = c(h, g) \in F^*$, this yields

$$b\omega_h(v_h a)f(h, g)\omega_{gh}(v_g) = -a\omega_g(v_g b)f(g, h)\omega_{gh}(v_h).$$

Again, both sides are units, and by taking residues we get $\overline{b\omega_h(\bar{a})}\bar{f}(h, g) = -\overline{a\omega_g(\bar{b})}\bar{f}(g, h)$. Therefore, $\overline{ax_g}$ and $\overline{bx_h}$ anticommute. They then generate an \overline{F} -central quaternion algebra in \overline{I} . For stability under $\bar{\sigma}$ of this quaternion algebra, since $\sigma^*(i) = \pm i$, we have

$$\pm ay_g v_g = \sigma^*(ay_g v_g) = \sigma^*(v_g)\omega_g(\sigma(a))y_g,$$

or $\sigma^*(v_g)\omega_g(\sigma(a)) = \pm a\omega_g(v_g)$. Taking residues, we get $\overline{\omega_g(\bar{\sigma}(\bar{a}))} = \pm \bar{a}$ in $\overline{S} = \overline{C}$. Therefore, $\bar{\sigma}(\overline{ax_g}) = \pm \overline{ax_g}$. Similarly, $\bar{\sigma}(\overline{bx_h}) = \pm \overline{bx_h}$. Therefore, \overline{I} contains an \overline{F} -central quaternion algebra stable under $\bar{\sigma}$ that is generated by monomials, both of which are either symmetric or skew under $\bar{\sigma}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let \tilde{Q} be an \overline{F} -central quaternion algebra in \overline{I} with quaternion generators $\overline{ax_g}$ and $\overline{bx_h}$ satisfying $\bar{\sigma}(\overline{ax_g}) = \pm \overline{ax_g}$ and $\bar{\sigma}(\overline{bx_h}) = \pm \overline{bx_h}$. Let z be a unit in Z such that $g(z) = -z$ and $g'(z) = z$ for all $g' \neq g$ in G . Then $z^2 \in F^*$; moreover, $g(\bar{z}) = -\bar{z}$ and $\bar{z}^2 \in \overline{F}^*$. Thus, $(\overline{ax_g})\bar{z} = -\bar{z}(\overline{ax_g})$. Therefore, $\overline{ax_g}$ and \bar{z} generate an \overline{F} -central quaternion algebra in \overline{I} that satisfies the same conditions as \tilde{Q} . If we can find a lift $a' \in C$ of \bar{a} with $(a'y_g)^2 \in F$ and $\sigma^*(a'y_g) = \pm a'y_g$, then $a'y_g$ and z will generate a σ^* -stable quaternion algebra in S .

We first find a lift a' of \bar{a} that satisfies $(a'y_g)^2 \in F$. Set $H = \langle g \rangle$, and let a be any lift of \bar{a} in C . We have $(ay_g)^2 = a\omega_g(a)f(g, g)c(g, g)$. If $(\overline{ax_g})^2 = \bar{\alpha}$, then $\overline{a\omega_g(a)}\bar{f}(g, g) = \bar{\alpha}$. Therefore, $a\omega_g(a)f(g, g) = \alpha u$ for some 1-unit u in C . We consider the restricted generalized cocycle $(\omega', f') = \text{res}_H^G(\omega, f) \in \mathcal{Z}(H, C^*)$. By setting $a_g = a$ and $a_{\text{id}} = 1$, we get a cocycle (θ, e) equivalent to (ω', f') , defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_h &= \text{Int}(a_h) \circ \omega'_h, \\ e(h, k) &= a_h \omega'_h(a_k) f'(h, k) a_{hk}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

for all $h, k \in H$. If α' is the normalized cocycle in $Z^2(H, F^*)$ with $\alpha'(g, g) = \alpha$, then $(\theta, e/\alpha')$ is a generalized cocycle. If we set $u' = e/\alpha'$, then $u'(g, g) = u$. We thus have $H = \text{Gal}(Z/Z^g)$ and a generalized cocycle $(\theta, u) \in \mathcal{Z}(H, C^*)$ with values in the group of 1-units of C . By [?, Thm. 1.1], there is a group homomorphism $\psi : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C)$ with $(\theta, u') \sim (\psi, 1)$. Therefore, there is a $b_g \in U_{1,C}$ with $b_g \theta_g(b_g) u'(g, g) = 1$. Replacing a by $a' = b_g a$ then gives a monomial $a'y_g$ that satisfies $(a'y_g)^2 = \alpha c(g, g) \in F^*$. Note that $\overline{a'} = \bar{a}$ since b is a 1-unit.

We now adjust our monomial $M = a'y_g$ to get a monomial N with $\sigma^*(N) = \pm N$, but preserving the property $N^2 \in F^*$. Set $\beta = \alpha c(g, g)$. Recall that since $\bar{\sigma}(\overline{ax_g}) = \varepsilon \overline{ax_g}$, where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, we have $\overline{\omega_g(\bar{\sigma}(\bar{a}))} = \varepsilon \bar{a}$. Moreover,

$$\sigma^*(M) = \omega_g(\sigma(a'))y_g = (\omega_g(\sigma(a'))(a')^{-1})a'y_g.$$

Set $v = \varepsilon \omega_g(\sigma(a'))(a')^{-1}$. Then v is a 1-unit in C , and $\sigma^*(M) = \varepsilon v M$. Let $\psi = \text{Int}(M)|_{F(v)}$. Since $M^2 = \beta \in F$, we have $\sigma^*(M)^2 = \beta$, which yields $v\psi(v) = 1$. Note that $\sigma^*(v) = v$ is an easy consequence of the equations $\sigma^*(M) = \varepsilon v M$ and $v\psi(v) = 1$. We will produce an

$s \in F(v)$ with $\sigma^*(sM) = \pm sM$ and $(sM)^2 \in F^*$. Because $\sigma^*|_{F(v)} = \text{id}$, these conditions are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} v\psi(s) &= \pm s, \\ s\psi(s) &\in F^*. \end{aligned}$$

The equation $v\psi(v) = 1$ gives $\psi(v) = v^{-1}$, which implies that ψ is an F -automorphism of the field $F(v) \subseteq C$. Moreover, $\psi^2 = \text{id}$ since $M^2 \in F^*$. We have a 1-cocycle $\varphi \in H^1(\langle \psi \rangle, U_{1,F(v)})$ given by $\varphi(1) = 1$ and $\varphi(g) = v$. Since $U_{1,F(v)}$ is uniquely divisible by $2 = |\langle \psi \rangle|$, this cohomology group is trivial. Thus, there is a $w \in U_{1,F(v)}$ with $v = w/\psi(w)$. Since w is a 1-unit, $w\psi(w)$ is also a 1-unit, so there is a $t \in U_{1,F(v)}$ with $w\psi(w) = t$. If $s = w/t$, then a short calculation shows that $v\psi(s) = \pm s$ and $s\psi(s) = \pm 1$. Therefore, the monomial sM satisfies $(sM)^2 = \pm \beta$ and $\sigma^*(sM) = \pm sM$. Thus, as mentioned in the first paragraph of (2) \Rightarrow (1), $sM = sa'y_g$ and z are monomials that generate a σ^* -invariant F -central quaternion algebra in S .

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let \tilde{Q} be an \bar{F} -central quaternion algebra in \bar{I} with quaternion generators $\overline{ax_g}$ and $\overline{bx_h}$ that are each either symmetric or skew with respect to $\bar{\sigma}$. Let z be a unit in Z such that $g(z) = -z$ and $g'(z) = z$ for all $g' \neq g$. Then $z^2 \in F$. Moreover, $(\overline{ax_g})\bar{z} = -\bar{z}(\overline{ax_g})$. So, $\overline{ax_g}$ and \bar{z} generate an \bar{F} -central quaternion algebra Q' in \bar{I} with the same properties as \tilde{Q} . We have $Q' \cap \bar{Z} = \bar{F}(\bar{z})$, so $[Q' \cap \bar{Z} : \bar{F}] = 2$. Also, it is clear that $C_{\bar{I}}(Q') \cap \bar{Z} = \bar{Z}^g$ since $\text{Int}(\overline{ax_g}) = \text{Int}(\bar{x}_g)$ induces g on \bar{Z} . So, $[C_{\bar{I}}(Q') \cap \bar{Z} : \bar{F}] = \frac{1}{2}[\bar{Z} : \bar{F}]$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2): Let Q be a $\bar{\sigma}$ -stable \bar{F} -central quaternion algebra in \bar{I} that contains a quadratic extension L of \bar{F} inside \bar{Z} and for which $[C_{\bar{I}}(Q) \cap \bar{Z} : \bar{F}] = \frac{1}{2}[\bar{Z} : \bar{F}]$. Set $L' = C_{\bar{I}}(Q) \cap \bar{Z}$. Then, by dimension count, $\bar{Z} = L \otimes_{\bar{F}} L'$. Let $g \in \text{Gal}(\bar{Z}/\bar{F})$ satisfy $L' = \bar{Z}^g$ and $g|_L \neq \text{id}$. Choose $\bar{z} \in L$ with $g(\bar{z}) = -\bar{z}$. Then there is a $j \in Q$ with $j\bar{z} = -\bar{z}j$. Moreover, $\text{Int}(j)$ is the identity on L' since $L' \subseteq C_{\bar{I}}(Q)$. So, $\text{Int}(j)$ is equal to g on \bar{Z} . Thus, $\text{Int}(\bar{x}_g j^{-1})$ is the identity on \bar{Z} , so $\bar{x}_g j^{-1} \in C_{\bar{I}}(\bar{Z}) = \bar{C}$, and so $\bar{x}_g j^{-1} = \bar{u}$ for some $u \in C$. Thus, $j = \overline{ux_g}$ is a monomial. We now show that we can alter j to assume $\bar{\sigma}(j) = \pm j$. Since Q is stable under $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ is trivial on \bar{Z} , we see that $\bar{\sigma}(j)\bar{z}\bar{\sigma}(j)^{-1} = j\bar{z}j^{-1}$. Thus, $\bar{\sigma}(j) = bj$ for some $b \in L = \bar{F}(\bar{z})$. Then $j = \bar{\sigma}(bj) = bjb = bg(b)j$. Therefore, $bg(b) = 1$, so $b = b'/g(b')$ for some $b' \in L$ by Hilbert Theorem 90. Then $b'j$ is a new monomial that satisfies $\bar{\sigma}(b'j) = b'j$ and $(b'j)^2 = j^2 \in \bar{F}$. The monomials \bar{z} and $b'j$ then generate a $\bar{\sigma}$ -stable quaternion subalgebra of \bar{I} .

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4): Suppose that Q is a $\bar{\sigma}$ -stable \bar{F} -central quaternion subalgebra of \bar{I} with $[Q \cap \bar{Z} : \bar{F}] = 2$ and $[C_{\bar{I}}(Q) \cap \bar{Z} : \bar{F}] = \frac{1}{2}[\bar{Z} : \bar{F}]$. If we set $L = Q \cap \bar{Z}$, then $C_{\bar{I}}(L) = L \otimes_{\bar{F}} C_{\bar{I}}(Q) \subseteq Q \otimes_{\bar{F}} C_{\bar{I}}(Q)$. Moreover, since Q is stable under $\bar{\sigma}$, its centralizer $C_{\bar{I}}(Q)$ is also stable under $\bar{\sigma}$. Therefore, setting $A = C_{\bar{I}}(Q)$ gives (4). Conversely, if $L \subseteq \bar{Z}$ is a quadratic extension of \bar{F} with $C_{\bar{I}}(L) = L \otimes_{\bar{F}} A$ for some $\bar{\sigma}$ -stable \bar{F} -central subalgebra A that satisfies $[A \cap \bar{Z} : \bar{F}] = \frac{1}{2}[\bar{Z} : \bar{F}]$, then we may write $\bar{I} = C_{\bar{I}}(A) \otimes_{\bar{F}} A$ by the double centralizer theorem, and $L \subseteq C_{\bar{I}}(A)$. Furthermore, dimension count shows that $C_{\bar{I}}(A)$ is a quaternion algebra. It is stable under $\bar{\sigma}$ since A is stable under $\bar{\sigma}$. Finally, $C_{\bar{I}}(Q) = A$ by the double centralizer

theorem, so $[C_{\overline{J}}(Q) \cap \overline{Z} : \overline{F}] = [A \cap \overline{Z} : \overline{F}] = \frac{1}{2}[\overline{Z} : \overline{F}]$. ■

The next two results will be used in the proof of Proposition 4.4 below. That proposition is a special case of the second of our main results, Theorem 4.5, and it will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Lemma 4.2 *Let J be an inertial F -central division algebra. If τ is an involution on \overline{J} of the first kind, then there is a unique up to isomorphism involution σ on J with $\overline{\sigma} = \tau$.*

Proof. By [?, §1, Prop. 4], there is an involution σ on J with $\overline{\sigma} = \tau$. Suppose that σ' is a second lift of τ . Then $\overline{\sigma} = \overline{\sigma'} = \tau$. There is a $u \in J$ with $\sigma(u) = \pm u$ and $\sigma' = \text{Int}(u) \circ \sigma$. Since $\Gamma_J = \Gamma_F$, we may assume that u is a unit. Then $\tau = \text{Int}(\overline{u}) \circ \tau$, so $\overline{u} \in \overline{F}^*$. We then may further modify u to assume that $\overline{u} = \overline{1}$. By Hensel's lemma, $u = x^2$ for some $x \in F(u) \subseteq J$. Moreover, since the type of σ and σ' are the same, being equal to the type of τ by [?, §1, Prop. 3], $\sigma(u) = u$. This yields $\sigma(x) = x$, so $u = \sigma(x)x$. Therefore, $\sigma' = \text{Int}(\sigma(x)x) \circ \sigma$, which proves that σ' and σ are isomorphic as involutions. ■

Proposition 4.3 *Let J be an F -central inertial division algebra and let σ be an involution of the first kind on J . If \tilde{B} is an \overline{F} -central subalgebra of \overline{J} with $\overline{\sigma}(\tilde{B}) = \tilde{B}$, then there is an inertial lift B of \tilde{B} in J with $\sigma(B) = B$.*

Proof. Let B be an inertial lift of \tilde{B} in J ; existence of B follows from [?, Thm. 2.9]. Set $B' = C_J(B)$. Then $J = B \otimes_F B'$ by the double centralizer theorem. Also, $\overline{B'} = C_{\overline{J}}(\tilde{B})$ and $\overline{J} = \overline{B} \otimes_{\overline{F}} \overline{B'}$. By hypothesis, $\overline{\sigma}$ restricts to an involution on \overline{B} , and so it also restricts to an involution on $\overline{B'} = C_{\overline{J}}(\overline{B})$. We have $\exp(B) = \exp(\overline{B}) \leq 2$ by the isomorphism $\text{IBr}(F) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\overline{F})$ of [?, Thm. 2.8], so B has an involution of the first kind. Similarly, B' has an involution of the first kind. Then, by [?, §1, Prop. 4], there are involutions τ and ρ on B and B' , respectively, with $\overline{\tau} = \overline{\sigma}|_{\overline{B}}$ and $\overline{\rho} = \overline{\sigma}|_{\overline{B'}}$. By [?, §1, Prop. 3], τ and $\overline{\tau}$ are of the same type, σ and $\overline{\sigma}$ are of the same type, and ρ and $\overline{\rho}$ are of the same type. Therefore, as $\overline{\sigma} = \overline{\sigma}|_{\overline{B}} \otimes \overline{\sigma}|_{\overline{B'}}$, the involutions σ and $\tau \otimes \rho$ are of the same type on J . Thus, there is a $u \in J^*$ with $\sigma(u) = u$ and $\tau \otimes \rho = \text{Int}(u) \circ \sigma$. We may assume that $v(u) = 0$ since $\Gamma_J = \Gamma_F$. So, $\overline{\tau \otimes \rho} = \text{Int}(\overline{u}) \circ \overline{\sigma}$. However, since τ and ρ both reduce to $\overline{\sigma}$, this gives $\overline{\sigma} = \text{Int}(\overline{u}) \circ \overline{\sigma}$. From this we see that $\overline{u} \in \overline{F}^*$. So, we may further modify u to assume that $\overline{u} = \overline{1}$. Since $\text{char}(\overline{F}) \neq 2$, there is an $x \in F(u) \subseteq J$ with $u = x^2$. This forces $\sigma(x) = x$. In particular, we see that $\text{Int}(u) = \text{Int}(\sigma(x)x)$. This yields

$$B = \rho(B) = u\sigma(B)u^{-1} = (\sigma(x)x)B(\sigma(x)x)^{-1},$$

which then implies that $\sigma(B) = (\sigma(x)x)^{-1}B\sigma(x)x$, so $\sigma(x^{-1}Bx) = x^{-1}Bx$. Therefore, $x^{-1}Bx$ is an inertial lift of \tilde{B} that is stable under σ . ■

As mentioned earlier, the following proposition will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Proposition 4.4 *Let J be an F -central inertial division algebra and let σ be an involution of the first kind on J . Then (J, σ) decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras*

if and only if $(\overline{J}, \overline{\sigma})$ decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras. Furthermore, if J contains a maximal subfield K that is an elementary Abelian 2-extension of F , then J has a decomposition adapted to K into quaternion algebras stable under σ if and only if \overline{J} has a decomposition adapted to \overline{K} into quaternion algebras stable under $\overline{\sigma}$.

Proof. First note that the second statement implies the first since any tensor product of quaternion algebras has a decomposition adapted to an appropriate Kummer extension. More concretely, if $A = (a_1, b_1) \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F (a_n, b_n)$ is a division algebra, then A has a decomposition adapted to $F(\sqrt{a_1}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n})$. We therefore prove only the second statement.

Let K be an elementary Abelian 2-extension of F that is a maximal subfield of J . Note that K/F is inertial since J/F is inertial. If $J = \otimes_{i=1}^n Q_i$ is a decomposition adapted to K into σ -stable quaternion algebras, then there are quadratic subextensions K_i of K with $K = K_1 \cdots K_n$ and $K_i \subseteq Q_i$. Since J/F is inertial, it is clear that $\overline{J} = \otimes_{i=1}^n \overline{Q_i}$, and $\overline{\sigma}(\overline{Q_i}) = \overline{Q_i}$ since $\sigma(Q_i) = Q_i$. Moreover, $\overline{K_i} \subseteq \overline{Q_i}$, so this decomposition of \overline{J} is adapted to \overline{K} .

Conversely, suppose that $\overline{J} = \otimes_{i=1}^n \widetilde{Q_i}$ is a tensor product of $\overline{\sigma}$ -stable quaternion algebras, and that this decomposition is adapted to \overline{K} . There are quadratic subextensions $\widetilde{K_i}$ of \overline{K} with $\widetilde{K_i} \subseteq \widetilde{Q_i}$. By Proposition 4.3, there are σ -stable quaternion algebras $Q_i \subseteq J$ with $\overline{Q_i} = \widetilde{Q_i}$. Let $\sigma_i = \sigma|_{Q_i}$. If $J' = \otimes_{i=1}^n Q_i$ and $\sigma' = \otimes_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$, then J' is a division algebra with $\overline{J'} = \overline{J}$ by [?, Thm. 1]. By the uniqueness of inertial lifts [?, Thm. 2.8], we have $J' \cong J$. We then identify $J' = J$. From this we see that $\overline{\sigma'} = \overline{\sigma}$, so, by Lemma 4.2, σ' and σ are isomorphic involutions on J . Since (J, σ') decomposes as a tensor product of quaternion algebras, (J, σ) also decomposes as a tensor product of quaternion algebras; in particular, if $\sigma = \text{Int}(\sigma'(x)x) \circ \sigma'$, then (J, σ) decomposes as $\otimes_{i=1}^n \sigma'(x)Q_i\sigma'(x)^{-1}$. Moreover, this decomposition is adapted to K because, by uniqueness of inertial lifts, $\sigma'(x)Q_i\sigma'(x)^{-1}$ contains a lift K_i of $\widetilde{K_i}$. ■

In the following theorem, we give necessary and sufficient conditions on when S decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras stable under the involution σ^* . This theorem extends [?, Thm. 4.3] to the case of inertially split division algebras. We will consider the case where $\overline{S} = \overline{Z}$, or, equivalently, when Z is a maximal subfield of S ; we then only need to work with crossed products and not generalized crossed products. Recall that a crossed product $(Z/F, G, h)$ decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras adapted to Z if and only if h is similar to a symmetric cocycle with values in F^* by [?, Cor. 1.4]. We will write $Z^2(G, F^*)_{\text{sym}}$ for the group of all symmetric cocycles for G with values in F^* , and we will use [?, Cor. 1.4] in the proof below. If $A = \otimes_{r=1}^n (a_r, b_r)$ is a tensor product of quaternion algebras, and if $i_r, j_r \in (a_r, b_r)$ with $i_r^2 = a_r$, $j_r^2 = b_r$ and $j_r i_r = -i_r j_r$, then we will refer to the set $\{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_n, j_n\}$ in the proof below as a set of *quaternion generators* for A .

Theorem 4.5 *With the notation of this section, suppose further that $\overline{S} = \overline{Z}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

1. The algebra S decomposes into a tensor product of quaternion algebras stable under σ^* ;
2. The algebra S has a decomposition adapted to Z as a tensor product of quaternion algebras stable under σ ;
3. The algebra I has a decomposition adapted to Z as a tensor product of quaternion algebras stable under σ ;
4. The algebra \bar{I} has a decomposition adapted to \bar{Z} as a tensor product of quaternion algebras stable under $\bar{\sigma}$.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1): This is clear.

(1) \Rightarrow (4): we use the same ideas as in the argument for (1) \Rightarrow (2) of Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $\{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_n, v_n\}$ forms a set of quaternion generators for S with each u_i and v_i either symmetric or skew-symmetric with respect to σ^* . We may write $u_i = c_i y_{g_i} w_i$ and $v_i = d_i y_{h_i} z_i$ with $c_i, d_i \in Z$ and w_i, z_i 1-units in S by Lemma 3.1. Moreover, by replacing u_i and v_i by scalar multiples, we may assume that c_i and d_i are units since $\Gamma_Z = \Gamma_F$. A calculation similar to that of the proof of Theorem 4.1 shows that $\{\overline{c_i x_{g_i}}, \overline{d_i x_{h_i}} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ forms a set of quaternion generators for \bar{I} . Since $\bar{S} = \bar{Z}$, we see that $h_j \neq h_i$ if $j \neq i$, because $\text{Int}(\overline{x_{h_i}}) = h_i$ sends $\overline{c_i x_{g_i}}$ to $-\overline{c_i x_{g_i}}$ and fixes $\overline{c_j x_{g_j}}$ if $j \neq i$. Let $a_i \in Z$ be a unit that satisfies $h_i(a_i) = -a_i$ and $h_j(a_i) = a_i$ if $j \neq i$. Then $\{\overline{a_1}, \overline{d_1 x_{h_1}}, \dots, \overline{a_n x_{h_n}}\}$ forms a set of quaternion generators for \bar{I} , and the corresponding decomposition is adapted to \bar{Z} . Furthermore, since $\bar{\sigma}(\overline{d_i x_{h_i}}) = \pm \overline{d_i x_{h_i}}$, the quaternion factors are each stable under $\bar{\sigma}$.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (3): This is the second statement of Proposition 4.4.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that $I = (Z/F, G, f) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} Z x_g$ is a tensor product of quaternion algebras each stable under σ . Then we can write $I = (Z/F, G, e)$ with $e \in Z^2(G, F^*)_{\text{sym}}$ by [?, Cor. 1.4]. Moreover, by considering how one writes a tensor product of quaternion algebras as a crossed product, we can write $I = \bigoplus Z z_g$ with $z_g z_h = e(g, h) z_{gh}$, and each z_g is a product of (commuting) elements of a set of quaternion generators of I . By the stability under σ of these quaternion subalgebras together with the fact that $\sigma|_Z = \text{id}$, we see that each of the quaternion generators is fixed by σ . We thus have $\sigma(z_g) = z_g$. For the algebra S we have $S = (Z/F, G, ec)$, and since $c \in Z^2(G, F^*)_{\text{sym}}$, so also is $ec \in Z^2(G, F^*)_{\text{sym}}$. Moreover, if $z_g = c_g x_g$ for some $c_g \in Z$, then $g(c_g) = c_g$ because $\sigma(z_g) = z_g$. Therefore, for $S = (Z/F, G, fc) = \bigoplus Z y_g$, if we set $w_g = c_g y_g$, we have $\sigma^*(w_g) = y_g c_g = g(c_g) y_g = w_g$ and $w_g^2 = (ec)(g, g) \in F^*$. So, S is a tensor product of quaternion algebras each stable under σ^* ; if we write $G = \langle g_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle g_n \rangle$ and let Z_i be the fixed field of $\prod_{j \neq i} \langle g_j \rangle$, then the quaternion algebras are $Z_i \oplus Z_i w_{g_i}$, which are stable under σ^* since $\sigma^*|_Z = \text{id}$ and $\sigma^*(w_{g_i}) = w_{g_i}$. This decomposition is clearly adapted to Z since the quaternion algebra $Z_i \oplus Z_i w_{g_i}$ contains the field Z_i . ■

In [?, Thm. 4.3] the analogue of condition (2) does not appear. However, the argument given for (2) \Rightarrow (1) in that paper does in fact show that the analogue of this condition is equivalent to the other three conditions of [?, Thm. 4.3].

References

Department of Mathematical Sciences, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003

E-mail address: `pmorandi@nmsu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, California State University, Northridge, Northridge, California 91330

E-mail address: `al.sethuraman@email.csun.edu`